FOAMING PROPERTIES OF ALCOHOL ETHOXYLATES DERIVED FROM NATURAL PRODUCTS



Supoj Jirawatanaporn

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University in Academic Partnership with The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma, Case Western Reserve University and Institut Français du Pétrole 2009

522083

Thesis Title:	Foaming Properties of Alcohol Ethoxylates Derived from	
	Natural Products	
By:	Mr. Supoj Jirawatanaporn	
Program:	Petrochemical Technology	
Thesis Advisors:	Asst. Prof. Boonyarach Kitiyanan	
	Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej	

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

MMC. College Dean

(Asst. Prof. Pomthong Malakul)

Thesis Committee:

B. Kitiyanan

(Asst. Prof. Boonyarach Kitiyanan)

umauth Chavedey

(Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej)

Thy

(Asst. Prof. Siriporn Jongpatiwut)

Verapat Tantayakow

(Dr. Veerapat Tantayakom)

ABSTRACT

5071029063: Petrochemical Technology Program
Supoj Jirawatanaporn: Foaming Properties of Alcohol Ethoxylates
Derived from Natural Products
Thesis Advisor: Asst. Prof. Boonyarach Kitiyanan,
Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej
121 pp.

Keywords: Alcohol ethoxylates / Critical micelle concentration / Cloud point / Contact angle / Gel range / Foamability / Foam stability

Alcohol ethoxylates (AEs) produced from natural products (palm oil and coconut oil) have been increasingly considered to replace NPEs (nonylphenol ethoxylates). In this work, the properties of fatty alcohol ethoxylates—C₁₂₋₁₄EO₃, C₁₂₋ 14EO5, C12-14EO7, and C12-14EO9—were studied and the results are compared to those from nonylphenol ethoxylates-NPE-6 and NPE-9. The CMC, cloud point, contact angle, and gel range were studied. The foam characteristics were determined in terms of foamability and foam stability by using the simple shaking test, the Ross-Miles foam test, and pneumatic foam test. The results showed that the CMC slightly increased when the number of the ethylene oxide (EO) group increased. An increase in EO number resulted in an increase in cloud point temperature. The contact angle of 1 wt% surfactant aqueous solution on the nonpolar parafilm surface was found to increase from 38 to 53° with increasing the number of the EO group. In gel range experiment, the phase of these ethoxylates were observed at increasing concentration of surfactant solution which occurred the phase in order following; L₁ (micellar solution) \rightarrow H (hexagonal phase) \rightarrow L₂ Lamellar phase. Concentration of surfactant solution and the effect of the number of the EO group affected to the performance of foam of all studied methods. However, the high EO unit around 7 to 9 EO of fatty alcohol ethoxylates showed good foam performance when compared with nonylphenol ethoxylates.

บทคัดย่อ

สุพจน์ จิรวัฒนาภรณ์ : คุณสมบัติในการเกิดฟองของสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแอลกอฮอล์อี ทอกซิเลทที่ผลิตจากผลิตภัณฑ์ธรรมชาติ (Foaming Properties of Alcohol Ethoxylates Derived from Natural Products) อ. ที่ปรึกษา : ผศ. ดร. บุนยรัชต์ กิติยานันท์ และ รศ. ดร. สุเมธ ชวเดช 121 หน้า

สารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดแอลกอฮอล์อีทอกซิเลทที่ผลิตจากผลิตภัณฑ์ธรรมชาติ โดย กระบวนการแปรรูปจากน้ำมันธรรมชาติ เช่น น้ำมันปาล์มและน้ำมันมะพร้าว ผลิตเป็นสารลดแรง ้ตึงผิวในกลุ่มอีทอกซีเลทที่มีชื่อว่า "แฟลฺตีแอลกอฮอล์อีทอกซิเลท" ซึ่งสามารถย่อยสลายได้ง่ายใน ้ธรรมชาติ การประยุกต์ใช้แฟตตีแอลกอฮอล์อีทอกซิเลทมีแนวโน้มมาแทนที่สารลดแรงตึงอัลคิล ้ฟื้นอลอีทอกซิเลท เนื่องจากสารฟื้นอลถูกปลคปล่อยออกมาสู่สิ่งแวคล้อมระหว่างกระบวนการ สถายตัวในธรรมชาติซึ่งสามารถทำถายระบบต่อมไร้ท่อของสัตว์ อย่างไรก็ตามการนำแฟตตีแอ ้ถกอฮอลอีทอกซิลเลตมาใช้งานแทนที่สารลคแรงตึงผิวในปัจจุบัน ยังไม่สามารถทำได้ เนื่องจากยัง ้งาดความรู้พื้นฐานทางกายภาพและเคมี ซึ่งสามารถที่จะนำความรู้ดังกล่าวไปประยุกต์ใช้ในการ ้ กำหนดส่วนผสมที่เหมาะสมกับการใช้งานในด้านต่างๆต่อไป งานวิจัยนี้มุ่งศึกษาแฟตตี แอลกอฮอล์อีทอกซิเลท ได้แก่ C₁₂₋₁₄EO₃, C₁₂₋₁₄EO₅, C₁₂₋₁₄EO₇ และ C₁₂₋₁₄EO₉ โดยการทดสอบ คุณสมบัติอันประกอบด้วย Critical micelle concentration(CMC) อุณหภูมิของจุดขุ่น มุมของ การเปียกบนพื้นผิว การเกิดเจล และการเกิดฟอง โดยศึกษาคุณสมบัติในการเกิดฟองสามวิธี ได้แก่ การเขย่า Ross–Miles foam และ การเป่าอากาศ เปรียบเทียบกับสารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิด โนนิลฟี ้นอลอีทอกซิเลท ได้แก่ NPE-6 และ NPE-9 จากผลการทดสอบพบว่า CMC มีค่ามากขึ้นเล็กน้อย ้เมื่อจำนวนหมู่อีที่ลื่นออกไซด์เพิ่มขึ้นโคยมีค่าอยู่ในช่วง 0.007 ถึง0.014 ร้อยละโคยมวลต่อ ้ปริมาตร อุณหภูมิของจุดขุ่นมีค่ามากขึ้นเมื่อจำนวนหมู่อีทีลีนออกไซค์เพิ่มขึ้น ค่ามุมของการเปียก ้มีค่ามากขึ้นเมื่อจำนวนหมู่อีทีลีนออกไซด์เพิ่มขึ้น คุณสมบัติในการเกิดเจล พบว่าเมื่อความเข้มข้น สารถดแรงตึงผิวเพิ่มขึ้น เกิดการเปลี่ยนแปลงวัฏภาค สารถะถายไมเซถลาร์(Micellar solution) เฮกซะโกนอล(Hexagonal phase)และลาเมลลาร์วัฏภาค (Lamellar phase) เรียงตามลำดับ ้คุณสมบัติในการเกิดฟองพบว่าทั้งจำนวนอีทีถีนออกไซค์และความเข้มข้นของสารลดแรงตึงผิวมี ผลต่อคุณสมบัติในการเกิดฟองทั้งสามวิธี และยังพบว่าสารแฟตตีแอลกอฮอล์อีทอกซิเลทที่มี ้ จำนวนหม่อีที่ลื่นออกไซด์7และ9 โมล มีคุณสมบัติในการเกิดฟองที่ดีเมื่อเทียบกับสารโนนิลฟี นอลอีทอกซิเลท

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been a very memorable and valuable experience as well as a lot of knowledge to the author. It would not have been successful without the assistance of a number of individuals and organizations. The author would like to thank all of them for making this work a success.

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deep gratitude to Asst. Prof. Boonyarach Kitiyanan and Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej, who acted as my thesis advisors, for providing many necessary things throughout this work. I would like to thank them for his constant valuable advice and support. This thesis would not have been successful without their professional aids.

I would like to special thanks to Asst. Prof. Siriporn Jongpatiwut who acted as advisory committee member for giving the valuable comments and recommendation;

Also, I would like to thanks Dr. Veerapat Tuntayakom for being my advisory committee member outside PPC and giving his valuable time to comment and recommendation.

I am grateful for the partial scholarship and partial funding of the thesis work provided by the Postgraduate Education and Research Programs in Petroleum and Petrochemical Technology (PPT Consortium).

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Dr. Sunisa Watcharasing, and Ph.D. students for their excellent suggestions and encouragements as well as making this research to be a fun filled activity. Besides, I would like to especially thank for their efforts to develop my technical writing style.

I would like to express my sincerely gratitude to all faculties and staff of the Petroleum and Petrochemical College for their kind assistance to facilitate all works. Special thanks go to all PPC friends for their friendly assistance and creative suggestions.

Finally, the author would like to express deep appreciation to my parents and my sisters for their endless support, encourage and love throughout the two years study period of my M.S.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	i
Abstract (in English)	iii
Abstract (in Thai)	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	х

CHAPTER		
Ι	INTRODUCTION	1
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1 Surfactants	3
	2.1.1 Structure of Surfactant	3
	2.1.2 Types of Surfactant	4
	2.1.3 Alcohol Ethoxylate Surfactant	4
	2.1.4 Micellization	5
	2.2 Foam	6
	2.2.1 Foam Formation	6
	2.2.2 Foam Structure	8
	2.2.3 Foam Stability	10
	2.2.4 Application of Foam Test	11
	2.2.4.1 Bartsch of Shaking Foam Test	11
	2.2.4.2 Ross-Miles Foam Test	13
	2.2.4.3 Pneumatic Foam Test	15
	2.3 Wetting	17
	2.3.1 Wetting of Solid Surface	17

Р	A	G	E
	4 1	U	_

	2.3.2 Contact Angle	17
	2.3.3 Contact Angle Measurement	18
III	EXPERIMENTAL	21
	3.1 Materials	21
	3.1.1 Surfactants	21
	3.2 Experimental Procedures	21
	3.2.1 Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC)	22
	3.2.2 Cloud Point Temperature	22
	3.2.3 Contact Angle Measurement	22
	3.2.4 Foam Characteristics Experiments	23
	3.2.4.1 Simple Shaking Foam Test	23
	3.2.4.2 Ross-Miles Foam Test	23
	3.2.4.3 Pneumatic Foam Test	23
	3.2.5 Gel Range Experiments	24
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	27
	4.1 Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC) Results	27
	4.2 Cloud Point Results	29
	4.3 Contact Angle Results	32
	4.4 Foaming Test Results	35
	4.4.1 Ross-Miles foam test results	35
	4.4.1.1 Effect of ethylene oxide content	35
	4.4.1.2 Effect of surfactant concentration of alcohol	
	ethoxylates	38
	4.4.2 The Pneumatic foam test results	40
	4.4.2.1 Effect of ethylene oxide content	40
	4.4.2.2 Effect of surfactant concentration of alcohol	
	ethoxylates	45
	4.4.3 The Simple Shaking foam test results	49

V

4.5 Dynamic Surface Tension Results	54
4.5.1 Effect of ethylene oxide content	54
4.5.2 Effect of surfactant concentration	57
4.6 Gel Range	59
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	65
5.1 Conclusions	65
5.2 Recommendations	66
REFERENCES	67
APPENDICES	
Appendix A Experimental Data of Critical Micelle	
Concentration (CMC)	71
Appendix B Experimental Data of Foam Measurements	77
Appendix C Experimental Data of Dynamic Surface tension	86
Appendix D Experimental Data of Gel Range	115
CURRICULUM VITAE	121

LIST OF TABLES

TABL	ΓABLE	
3.1	General properties of studied surfactants	21
4.1	Critical micelle concentration (CMC) of single alcohol	29
	ethoxylate and nonylphenol ethoxylates surfactant system at 30°C	
4.2	The cloud point of 1 wt% surfactant of fatty alcohol	31
	ethoxylates, nonylphenol ethoxylates and reference surfactants	
4.3	The contact angle of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	34
	nonylphenol ethoxylates at 1 wt% after 1 minute on	
	nonpolar parafilm, and HPDE surface	
Al	Average surface tension as a function of concentration of	71
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₃ single system at 30°C	
A2	Average surface tension as a function of concentration of	72
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₅ single system at 30°C	
A3	Average surface tension as a function of concentration of	73
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₇ single system at 30°C	
A4	Average surface tension as a function of concentration of	74
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₉ single system at 30°C	
A5	Average surface tension as a function of concentration of	75
	NPE-6 single system at 30°C	
A6	Average surface tension as a function of concentration of	76
	NPE-9 single system at 30°C	
B1	Foam height of single surfactant systems at concentration of	77
	0.01 wt% and temperature of 49°C	
B2	Foam height of single surfactant systems at concentration of	77
	0.1 wt% and temperature of 49°C	

TABLE

B3	Foam height of single surfactant systems at concentration of	78
	1 wt% and temperature of 49°C	
B4	Foam height of single surfactant systems at concentration of	78
	0.01 wt% and room temperature	
B5	Foam height of single surfactant systems at concentration of	79
	0.1 wt% and room temperature	
B6	Foam height of single surfactant systems at concentration of	79
	1 wt% and room temperature	
B7	Foam height of single $C_{12-14}EO_3$ systems at concentration of	80
	0.01, 0.1, 1 wt% and room temperature from initial time to	
	60 minutes	
B8	Foam height of single $C_{12-14}EO_5$ systems at concentration of	81
	0.01, 0.1, 1 wt% and room temperature from initial time to	
	60 minutes	
B9	Foam height of single $C_{12-14}EO_7$ systems at concentration of	82
	0.01, 0.1, 1 wt% and room temperature from initial time to	
	60 minutes	
B10	Foam height of single $C_{12-14}EO_9$ systems at concentration of	83
	0.01, 0.1, 1 wt% and room temperature from initial time to	
	60 minutes	
B11	Foam height of single NPE-6 systems at concentration of	84
	0.01, 0.1, 1 wt% and room temperature from initial time to	
	60 minutes	
B12	Foam height of single NPE-9 systems at concentration of	85
	0.01, 0.1, 1 wt% and room temperature from initial time to	
	60 minutes	
Cl	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_3$ at concentration	86
	of 0.01 wt%	

C2	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_3$ at concentration	89
	of 0.1 wt%	
C3	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_3$ at concentration	90
	of 1 wt%	
C4	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_5$ at concentration	93
	of 0.01 wt%	
C5	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_5$ at concentration	94
	of 0.1 wt%	
C6	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_5$ at concentration	95
	of 1 wt%	
C7	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_7$ at concentration	96
	of 0.01 wt%	
C8	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_7$ at concentration	97
	of 0.1 wt%	
C9	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_7$ at concentration	98
	of 1 wt%	
C10	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_9$ at concentration	99
	of 0.01 wt%	
C11	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_9$ at concentration	101
	of 0.1 wt%	
C12	Dynamic surface tension data of $C_{12-14}EO_9$ at concentration	102
	of l wt%	
C13	Dynamic surface tension data of NPE-6 at concentration of	103
	0.01 wt%	
C14	Dynamic surface tension data of NPE-6 at concentration of	106
	0.1 wt%	
C15	Dynamic surface tension data of NPE-6 at concentration of 1	108
	wt%	

.

TABLE

PAGE

C16	Dynamic surface tension data of NPE-9 at concentration of	111
	0.01 wt%	
C17	Dynamic surface tension data of NPE-9 at concentration of	113
	0.1 wt%	
C18	Dynamic surface tension data of NPE-9 at concentration of 1	114
	wt%	
DI	Viscosity behavior as a function of concentration of	115
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₃ single system at 25°C	
D2	Viscosity behavior as a function of concentration of	116
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₅ single system at 25°C	
D3	Viscosity behavior as a function of concentration of	117
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₇ single system at 25°C	
D4	Viscosity behavior as a function of concentration of	118
	C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₉ single system at 25°C	
D5	Viscosity behavior as a function of concentration of NPE-6	119
	single system at 25°C	
D6	Viscosity behavior as a function of concentration of NPE-9	120
	single system at 25°C	

.

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE

Schematic of surfactant monomer.	3
Schematic of a monomer and a micelle.	5
Schematic of normal micelle and inverse micelle.	5
Schematic of a surfactant association structures with	6
increasing surfactant concentration.	
Schematic of foam formation.	7
Schematic of foam structure.	7
Schematic of two general foam structures.	8
The stretch portion of foam lamella (film easticity).	9
The Marangoni effect.	9
The liquid drainage in lamellae.	10
The contact angle of liquid droplet at equilibrium.	17
The contact angle by the sessile drop technique.	19
Schematic of foam pipette and foam receiver of the	25
Ross-Miles foam apparatus.	
Schematic of foam column of the Pneumatic foam test	26
apparatus.	
Critical micelle concentration (CMC) of single fatty alcohol	28
ethoxylates surfactant system at 30°C.	
Critical micelle concentration (CMC) of single nonylphenol	29
ethoxylates surfactant system at 30°C.	
Cloud point of 1 wt% surfactant of fatty alcohol ethoxylates	30
and nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of	
the EO group.	
Wettability (Contact angle, degrees) of fatty alcohol	33
ethoxylates and nonylphenol ethoxylates at 1wt% after 1	
minute on nonpolar parafilm, and HPDE surface at 30°C.	
	Schematic of surfactant monomer. Schematic of a monomer and a micelle. Schematic of normal micelle and inverse micelle. Schematic of a surfactant association structures with increasing surfactant concentration. Schematic of foam formation. Schematic of foam structure. Schematic of two general foam structures. The stretch portion of foam lamella (film easticity). The Marangoni effect. The liquid drainage in lamellae. The contact angle of liquid droplet at equilibrium. The contact angle of liquid droplet at equilibrium. The contact angle by the sessile drop technique. Schematic of foam pipette and foam receiver of the Ross–Miles foam apparatus. Schematic of foam column of the Pneumatic foam test apparatus. Critical micelle concentration (CMC) of single fatty alcohol ethoxylates surfactant system at 30°C. Critical micelle concentration (CMC) of single nonylphenol ethoxylates surfactant system at 30°C. Cloud point of 1 wt% surfactant of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the EO group. Wettability (Contact angle, degrees) of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and nonylphenol ethoxylates at 1wt% after 1 minute on nonpolar parafilm, and HPDE surface at 30°C.

4.5	Foam characteristics of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	37
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 0.01 wt% surfactant, and temperature of 49°C	
	using the Ross-Miles foam test.	
4.6	Foam characteristics of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	37
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 0.1 wt% surfactant and temperature of 49°C	
÷	using the Ross-Miles foam test.	
4.7	Foam characteristics of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	38
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 1 wt% surfactant and temperature of 49°C	
	using the Ross-Miles foam test.	
4.8	Foam characteristics of fatty alcohol ethoxylates as a	39
rie.	function of the surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1	
	wt% and temperature of 49°C using the Ross-Miles foam	
	test; (a) $C_{12-14}EO_3$, (b) $C_{12-14}EO_5$, (c) $C_{12-14}EO_7$, and	
	(d) $C_{12-14}EO_{9}$.	
4.9	Foam characteristics of nonyl phenol ethoxylates as a	40
	function of the surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1	
	wt%, and temperature of 49°C using the Ross-Miles foam	
	test; (a) NPE-6, and (b) NPE-9.	
4.10	Foam characteristics of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	42
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 0.01 wt% surfactant using the pneumatic foam	
	test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room temperature; (a)	
	Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	

4.11	Foam characteristics of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	43
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 0.1 wt% surfactant using the pneumatic foam	
	test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room temperature; (a)	
	Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	
4.12	Foam characteristics of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	44
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 1 wt% surfactant using the pneumatic foam test,	
	air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room temperature; (a)	
	Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	
4.13	Foam characteristics of $C_{12-14}EO_3$ as a function of the	46
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	pneumatic foam test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min , and room	
	temperature; (a) Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	
4.14	Foam characteristics of $C_{12-14}EO_5$ as a function of the	46
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	pneumatic foam test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room	
	temperature; (a) Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	
4.15	Foam characteristics of $C_{12-14}EO_7$ as a function of the	47
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	pneumatic foam test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room	
	temperature; (a) Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	
4.16	Foam characteristics of $C_{12-14}EO_9$ as a function of the	47
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	pneumatic foam test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room	
	temperature; (a) Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	

4.17	Foam characteristics of NPE-6 as a function of the surfactant	48
	concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the pneumatic	
	foam test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room temperature;	
	(a) Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	
4.18	Foam characteristics of NPE-9 as a function of the surfactant	48
	concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the pneumatic	
	foam test, air flow rate = 20 ml/min, and room temperature;	
	(a) Foamability, and (b) Foam stability.	
4.19	Foam characteristics of $C_{12-14}EO_3as$ a function of the	50
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	simple shaking foam test at room temperature.	
4.20	Foam characteristics of C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₅ as a function of the	50
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	simple shaking foam test at room temperature.	
4.21	Foam characteristics of C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₇ as a function of the	51
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	simple shaking foam test at room temperature.	
4.22	Foam characteristics of C ₁₂₋₁₄ EO ₉ as a function of the	51
	surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the	
	simple shaking foam test at room temperature.	
4.23	Foam characteristics of NPE-6 as a function of the surfactant	52
	concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the simple	
	shaking foam test at room temperature.	
4.24	Foam characteristics of NPE-9 as a function of the surfactant	53
	concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the simple	-
	shaking foam test at room temperature.	

4.25	Foamability of C12-14EO3, C12-14EO5, C12-14EO7, C12-14EO9,	53
	NPE-6, and NPE-9 as a function of the surfactant	
	concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 wt% using the simple	
	shaking foam test at room temperature.	
4.26	Dynamic surface tension of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	55
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 0.01 wt%.	
4.27	Dynamic surface tension of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	56
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 0.1 wt%.	
4.28	Dynamic surface tension of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	56
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the number of the	
	EO group at 1 wt%.	
4.29	Dynamic surface tension of fatty alcohol ethoxylates as a	58
	function of the surfactant concentration of 0.01, 0.1, and 1	50
	wt% at temperature of 30°C: (a) $C_{12,14}EO_2$ (b) $C_{12,14}EO_2$ (c)	
	$C_{12,14}EO_7$ and (d) $C_{12,14}EO_9$	
4 30	Dynamic surface tension of nonvintenal ethoxylates as a	50
4.50	function of the surfactant concentration of $0.01, 0.1, and 1$	59
	with at temperature of 20°C: (a) NPE 6 (b) NPE 0	
4 2 1	Wissesity behavior of C _ FO as a function of the	(1
4.31	Viscosity behavior of $C_{12-14}EO_3$ as a function of the	01
4.22	surfactant concentration at $25 ^{\circ}$ C.	
4.32	Viscosity behavior of $C_{12-14}EO_5$ as a function of the	61
	surfactant concentration at 25 °C.	
4.33	Viscosity behavior of $C_{12-14}EO_7$ as a function of the	62
	surfactant concentration at 25 °C.	
4.34	Viscosity behavior of $C_{12-14}EO_9$ as a function of the	62
	surfactant concentration at 25 °C.	

xvii

PAGE

FIGURE

4.35	Viscosity behavior of NPE-6 as a function of the surfactant	63
	concentration at 25°C.	
4.36	Viscosity behavior of NPE-9 as a function of the surfactant	63
	concentration at 25°C.	
4.37	Gel boundary diagram of fatty alcohol ethoxylates and	64
	nonylphenol ethoxylates as a function of the surfactant	
	concentration at 25°C. (\Box = low viscosity liquid; \blacksquare = gel).	