MICROEMULSION FORMATION AND DETERGENCY WITH OILY SOIL



Parichat Tanthakit

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
and Case Western Reserve University

2009

Thesis Title:

Microemulsion Formation and Detergency with Oily Soil

By:

Parichat Tanthakit

Program:

Petrochemical Technology

Thesis Advisors:

Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej

Dr. Chantra Tongcumpou

Prof. John F. Scamehorn

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Asst. Prof. Pomthong Malakul)

Thesis Committee:

France & Sumanth Charadej (Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit) (Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej)

B. Kithyanan - Che Egaze (Asst. Prof. Boonyarach Kitiyanan)

(Dr. Chantra Tongcumpou)

(Asst. Prof. Wirogana Ruengphrathuengsuka)

(Prof. John F. Scamehorn)

ABSTRACT

4791006063: Petrochemical Technology

Parichat Tanthakit: Microemulsion Formation and Detergency with

Oily Soil

Thesis Advisors: Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej, Dr. Chantra

Tongcumpou and Prof. John F. Scamehorn 126 pp.

Keywords: Detergency/ Microemulsion/ Motor Oil/ Mixed Surfactant

The ultimate objective of this work was to form microemulsions with different oils at low salinity for the detergency process. In this study, three surfactants-alkyl diphenyl oxide disulfonate (ADPODS, Dowfax 8390), bis (2ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinic acid sodium salt (AOT), and sorbitan monooleate (Span 80)— were used to obtain a proper balance between hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity in order to form microemulsions with motor oil at low salinity. Under a microemulsion-based formulation, the motor oil removal increased with increasing total surfactant concentration and the maximum oily soil removal was around 0.1% total surfactant concentration for all three types of fabrics-pure cotton, polyester/cotton (65/35), blend and pure polyester). Detergency was found to improve with increasing hydrophilicity of the fabric with cotton being the cleanest after washing and polyester the most difficult to clean. An interesting characteristic of microemulsion-based formulations is that a substantial fraction of oil removal was found to occur in the rinse step. In this work, the low oil removal in the wash step was found to result from the ultralow oil/water interfacial tension and the surfactant loss due to the surfactant adsorption onto the fabric surface. From the results, the number of rinses and the volume of water per rinse can profoundly affect detergency in these systems, and it was found that the higher the amount of rinse water, the lower the residual surfactant on the fabric surface.

In this study, the effects of water hardness and builder on both the phase diagrams of aqueous microemulsions with motor oil and the laundry detergency of oil removal from a polyester/cotton blend was investigated. Water hardness and builder were found to insignificantly affect the microemulsion phase diagram with motor oil. A mixed surfactant system of 0.1% C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₃SO₄Na (Alfotera) and 5% C₁₂₋₁₄H₂₅₋₂₉O(EO)₅H (Tergitol) at 4% salinity was used to study the effects of water hardness; the addition of any studied builder–sodium tripolyphosphate (STPP) or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) – showed approximately the same effect on oil removal. From the detergency results, the total oil removal decreased with increasing water hardness while the interfacial tension (IFT) increased. When hard water was used in laundering, the total oil removal was improved at a certain level, even though an excess amount of STPP or EDTA was added in the selected formulation.

บทคัดย่อ

ปาริฉัตร ตัณฑกิจ: การเกิดไมโครอิมัลชั่นเพื่อประยุกต์ใช้ในกระบวนการซักล้างน้ำมัน (Microemulsion Formation and Detergency with Oily Soil) อ. ที่ปรึกษา: รศ.คร. สุเมธ ชว เคช คร. จันทรา ทองคำเภา และ ศ.คร. จอห์น เอฟ สกามีฮอร์น 126 หน้า

วัตถุประสงค์หลักของงานวิจัยนี้ คือ การสร้างระบบไมโครอิมัลชั่นกับน้ำมันชนิดต่างๆ ในปริมาณเกลือต่ำเพื่อใช้กับกระบวนการทำความสะอาค โคยในการศึกษานี้ สารลดแรงตึงผิว 3 ชนิคถูกเลือกมาใช้ในการเกิดไมโครอิมัลชั่นกับน้ำมันเครื่อง ได้แก่ คาวแฟกซ์ 8390, เอโอที และ ซอร์บิแทนโมโนโอถิเอต หรือ สแปน 80 ซึ่งสามารถสร้างความสมคุลที่เหมาะสมของค่าความ สมคุลย์ความชอบน้ำและความชอบน้ำมันในการทำให้เกิดไมโครอิมัลชั่นกับน้ำมันเครื่อง สำหรับ สูตรที่ใช้ไมโครอิมัลชั่นเป็นพื้นฐาน พบว่าประสิทธิภาพของการทำความสะอาคน้ำมันเครื่อง เพิ่มขึ้นเมื่อปริมาณความเข้มข้นของสารลคแรงตึงผิวเพิ่มขึ้น และพบว่าที่ปริมาณ 0.1 เปอร์เซ็นต์ ของความเข้มข้นสารลดแรงตึงผิวให้ประสิทธิภาพสูงสุดในการทำความสะอาดบนวัสดุ 3 ชนิด คือ ผ้าฝ้าย, ผ้าโพลีเอสเทอร์ และ ผ้าผสมโพลีเอสเทอร์/ฝ้าย นอกจากนี้ยังพบว่า การทำความสะอาค น้ำมันเครื่องบนผ้าฝ้ายให้ประสิทธิภาพสูงสุด และการทำความสะอาคบนผ้าโพลีเอสเทอร์ให้ ประสิทธิภาพต่ำที่สุดคุณลักษณะที่น่าสนใจของประสิทธิภาพการซักล้างโดยใช้สูตรการทำความ สะอาคที่อาศัยไมโครอิมัลชั่นเป็นพื้นฐาน คือ ปริมาณของน้ำมันเครื่องโคยมากจะถูกชะล้างออกใน ขั้นตอนการถ้าง ซึ่งพบว่ามีปริมาณน้ำมันเครื่องส่วนน้อยที่ถูกทำความสะอาคในขั้นตอนการซัก ซึ่ง มีผลมาจากค่าแรงตึงผิวระหว่างวัฏภาคที่ต่ำและการสูญเสียสารลดแรงตึงผิวซึ่งมาจากการคูคซับ ของสารลดแรงตึงผิวบนผิวผ้า ในขั้นตอนการซัก ทั้งนี้จากผลการศึกษา ยังพบว่า ปริมาณน้ำและ จำนวนครั้งการซักล้างทำความสะอาคมีผลต่อประสิทธิภาพในการทำความสะอาค ปริมาณสารลดแรงตึงที่ตกค้างอยู่บนผิวผ้าหลังกระบวนการทำความสะอาคลคลงเมื่อปริมาณน้ำใน การถ้างเพิ่มขึ้น

ในการศึกษานี้ ยังได้ทำการศึกษาผลกระทบของน้ำกระด้างและสารเติม (builder) ต่อ การเกิด ไม โครอิมัลชั่นและประสิทธิภาพการทำความสะอาด ซึ่งพบว่า น้ำกระด้างและสารเติม มี ผลน้อยต่อการเกิดไม โครอิมัลชั่น โดยในการศึกษานี้ ได้เลือกระบบสารลดแรงตึงผิวผสมระหว่าง 0.1 เปอร์เซ็นต์ของอัลโฟเทอร่า และ 5 เปอร์เซ็นต์ของเทอจิตอล และ 4 เปอร์เซ็นต์ของเกลือ โซเดียมคลอไรด์ ใช้ในการทดสอบเปรียบเทียบการทำความสะอาดในระบบที่มีสารเติมต่างกัน 2 ชนิด ซึ่งพบว่า ให้ผลการทำความสะอาดไม่แตกต่างกันมากนัก และจากการศึกษา พบว่า เมื่อน้ำ กระด้างมีความเข้มข้นสูงขึ้น ประสิทธิภาพการซักล้างจะต่ำลง ในขณะที่ค่าแรงตึงผิวเพิ่มขึ้น นอกจากนี้ ยังพบว่า เมื่อใช้สารเติมในระบบการทำความสะอาดในน้ำกระด้าง จะมีผลทำให้ ประสิทธิภาพการซักล้างเพิ่มขึ้นถึงปริมาณหนึ่งเท่านั้น ถึงแม้ว่า จะใส่สารเติมลงไปในปริมาณที่ มากเกินพอก็ตาม

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has brought me a lot of knowledge in the area of detergency. It would not have been possible without the assistance from many persons and organizations. The author would like to acknowledge all of them for their support towards this work.

First of all, the author gratefully acknowledge Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej as a Thai thesis advisor and Dr. Chantra Tongcumpou as a coadvisor, for several constructive suggestions and discussion throughout the course of this work. The author would like to express my gratefulness to, Prof. John F. Scamehorn, an oversea advisor for his guidance, suggestion and encouragement throughout this work.

The Royal Golden Jubilee PhD. Program (RGJ) under the Thailand Research Fund is greatly acknowledged for providing a Ph.D. scholarship to the author. Mr. Robert J. Wright is acknowledged for his valuable advice toward papers and thesis correction.

The author is indebted to Ms. Anuradee Withayapanyanon, Dr. Ummarawadee Yanatatsaneejit and all friends in the surfactant group at University of Oklahoma for their valuable suggestions and encouragements.

The author would like to express her sincere gratitude to all faculties and staff at the PPC all assistance to facilitate her thesis work.

Lastly, the author would like to offer sincere gratitude to her family especially, her beloved aunt for their love, caring, sharing her problems, supporting and understanding her all the time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
Titl	e Page	i
Abs	stract (in English)	iii
Abs	stract (in Thai)	v
Ack	knowledgements	vii
Tab	le of Contents	viii
List	of Figures	xiv
List	of Tables	xxii
СНАРТІ	ER	
I	INTRODUCTION	1
П	BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Microemulsions	4
	2.1.1 Types of Microemulsios	4
	2.2 Application of Microemulsion for Detergency	7
	2.3 Principal Mechanisms of Oily Soil Removal	8
	2.3.1 Roll-up Mechanism	9
	2.3.2 Emulsification	10
	2.3.3 Solubilization	11
	2.4 Maximum Detergency (D _{max}) and Spreading Effect	12
	2.5 Factors Affecting Oily Soil Removal	13
	2.5.1 Nature of Oil	13
	2.5.2 Surfactant System	14
	2.5.3 Salt	15
	2.5.4 Substrate	15
	2.5.5 Water Hardness	16
	2.5.6 Builders	16

CHAPTER

		PAGE
	2.5.7 Other Factors	
	2.6 Motor Oil	17
	2.7 Palm Oil	18
		18
III	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	20
	3.1 Materials	20
	3.2 Methodology	20
	3.2.1 Phase Behavior and Microemulsion Formation	21
	3.2.2 Surface Tension Measurements	22
	3.2.3 Fish Diagram Study	22
	3.2.4 Detergency Experiment	22
	3.2.4.1 Fabric Pretreatment and Soiling	22
	Procedure	
	3.2.4.2 Laundry Procedure	23
	3.2.4.3 Detergency Measurement	23
	3.2.4.4 Oil Removal Measurement	24
	3.2.4.5 Dynamic IFT Measurement	24
	3.2.4.6 Concentration of Surfactant	24
	Measurement	
IV	MICROEMULSION FORMATION AND	25
	DETERGENCY OF OILY SOIL: IV EFFECT OF	
	RINSE CYCLE DESIGN	
	4.1 Abstract	25
	4.2 Introduction	26
	4.3 Experimental Procedures	28
	4.3.1 Materials	28
	4 3 2 Phase Studies	28

CHAPT	ER	PAGE
	4.2.2 Soufe of Tourier Measurements	20
	4.3.3 Surface Tension Measurements	28
	4.3.4 Fish Diagram Study	29
	4.3.5 Fabric Pretreatment and Soiling Procedure	29
	4.3.6 Laundry Procedure	30
	4.3.7 Oil Removal Measurements	30
	4.3.8 Dynamic IFT Measurements	30
	4.4 Results and Discussion	31
	4.4.1 Effect of Surfactant Composition on	31
	Microemulsion Formation	
	4.4.2 Effect of Oil-to-Surfactant solution Ratio	33
	4.4.3 Effect of Surfactant Concentration on	34
	Detergency Performance	
	4.4.4 Effect of Salinity on Detergency Performance	36
	4.4.5 Fish Diagram of Motor Oil with the Selected	37
	Formulation	
	4.4.6 Correlation of Microemulsion Formation and	41
	Detergency Performance	
	4.4.7 Effects of Quantity of Rinsing Water and	43
	Number of Rinses on Oil Removal	
	4.4.8 Correlation of Detergency Performance and	48
	Equilibrium IFT in the Rinse Step	
	4.5 Acknowledgements	49
	4.6 References	50
V	MICROEMULSION FORMATION AND	55
	DETERGENCY OF OILY SOIL: V RESIDUAL	
	SURFACTANT ON FABRIC	
	5.1 Abstract	55

CHAPTEI	CHAPTER	
	5.2 Introduction	56
	5.3 Experimental Procedures	58
	5.3.1 Materials	58
	5.3.2 Phase Studies	59
	5.3.3 Surface Tension Measurement	59 59
		59 59
	5.3.4 Fish Diagram Study	60
	5.3.5 Fabric Preparation and Soiling Method	
	5.3.6 Laundry Procedure	60
	5.3.7 Oil Removal Measurement	61
	5.3.8 Dynamic IFT Measurement	61
	5.3.9 Surfactant Concentration Measurement	61
	5.4 Results and Discussion	61
	5.4.1 Effect of Surfactant Composition on	61
	Microemulsion Formation	
	5.4.2 Effect of Surfactant System on Detergency	64
	Performance	
	5.4.3 Fish Diagram of Motor Oil with the Selected	66
	Formulation	
	5.4.4 Effect of Amount of Rinsing Water on Oil	71
	Removal	
	5.4.5 Correlation between IFT and the Total Oil	72
	Removal Using the Selected Formulation	
	5.4.6 Correlation between IFT of Washing Solution	73
	and Oil Removal in the Wash Step	
	5.4.7 Correlation between Equilibrium IFT and Oil	74
	Removal in Washing Process	
	5.4.8 Correlation between Remaining ADPODS in	75
	Washing Solution and Detergency Efficiency	

СНАРТЕ	CHAPTER	
	5.5 Acknowledgements	83
	5.6 References	84
VI	MICROEMULSION FORMATION AND	88
	DETERGENCY OF OILY SOIL: EFFECT OF	
	WATER HARDNESS AND BUILDER	
	6.1 Abstract	88
	6.2 Introduction	89
	6.3 Experimental Procedures	90
	6.3.1 Materials	90
	6.3.2 Phase Studies	91
	6.3.3 Surface Tension Measurement	92
	6.3.4 Dynamic IFT Measurement	92
	6.3.5 Fabric Preparation and Soiling Method	92
	6.3.6 Laundry Procedure	93
	6.3.7 Oil Removal Measurement	93
	6.3.8 Analysis of Water Hardness	93
	6.4 Results and Discussion	94
	6.4.1 Effect of Surfactant Composition on the	94
	Microemulsion Phase Diagram	
	6.4.2 Effect of Water Hardness on Microemulsion	98
	Formation and IFT	
	6.4.3 Effect of Total Surfactant Concentration on	103
	Detergency Performance	
	6.4.4 Effect of Water Hardness and Builder on	106
	Detergency Performance	

CHAPTER	
6.4.5 Effect of Builder on Free Divalent Cation	110
Concentration	
6.5 Acknowledgements	112
6.6 References	112
VII CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	117
7.1 Conclusions	117
7.2 Recommendations	118
REFERENCES	119
CURRICULUM VITAE	124

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
	CHAPTER II	
2.1	The relationship between microemulsion structure and	6
	interfacial tension of salinity scan and HLB value	
2.2	The contact angle between an oil droplet and substrate in bath	10
2.3	Roll-up mechanism shows complete removal of oil droplets	10
	from substrate by hydraulic currents when $\theta > 90^{\circ}$	
2.4	Snap-off mechanism shows incomplete removal of oil	10
	droplets from substrate by hydraulic currents when $\theta < 90^{\circ}$.	
	A small droplet remains attached to the substrate	
	CHAPTER III	
3.1	Experimental procedure of microemulsion formation	21
	CHAPTER IV	
4.1	Solubilization parameters (SP) and Winsor Types of	32
	microemulsions as a function of salinity at an initial oil to	
	water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1; (a) 2% ADPODS and 2%	
	Span 80 were fixed, (a-1) 3% AOT, (a-2) 4% AOT and (a-3)	
	5% AOT; (b) 2% ADPODS and 5% AOT were fixed, (b-1)	
	2% Span 80, (b-2) 4% Span 80 and (b-3) 5% Span 80; (c)	
	5% AOT and 5% Span 80 were fixed, (c-1) 1.5% ADPODS,	
	(c-2) 2% ADPODS and (c-3) 3% ADPODS. SP* is SP at	
	optimum salinity S* where SP of oil (SPo) equals SP of	
	water (SPw) into middle phase microemulsion phase.	

FIGURE		PAGE
4.2	Equilibrium IFT at different salinities and oil to surfactant solution volumetric ratios with the selected formulation	34
	(1.5% ADPODS, 5% AOT and 5% Span 80) at 30 °C.	
4.3	Effect of total surfactant concentration on oil removal at the	35
	optimum salinity (2.83%) with the selected formulation (13	
	parts ADPODS, 43.5 parts AOT, and 43.5 parts Span 80).	
4.4	Effects of NaCl concentration on oil removal at different	36
	salinities with 0.115% total surfactant concentration of the	
	selected formulation (13 parts ADPODS, 43.5 parts AOT,	
	and 43.5 parts Span 80) and two rinse steps.	
4.5	Schematic representation of normal fish phase diagram.	38
	Roman numerals refer to the Winsor Type microemulsion	
	existing at that condition.	
4.6	Equilibrium IFT and dynamic IFT at 20 min as a function of	38
	total surfactant concentration at 30 °C using the selected	
	formulation (13 parts ADPODS, 43.5 parts AOT, and 43.5	
	parts Span 80 with 2.83% NaCl).	
4.7	Surface tension of the mixed surfactant system with and	39
	without salinity for the motor oil system	
4.8	Fish phase diagram of our formulation (13 parts ADPODS,	41
	43.5 parts AOT, and 43.5 parts Span 80) at an oil-to-water	
	volumetric ratio of 1 to 1 at 30 °C.	
4.9	Oil removal and equilibrium IFT between washing solution	42
	and oil as a function of salinity with the selected formulation	
	(13 parts ADPODS, 43.5 parts AOT, and 43.5 parts Span 80)	
	and two rinses of 1000 mL each.	

FIGURE		PAGE
4.10	Oil removal in each cleaning step with different volumes of	44
	rinsing water and different times of rinses using the selected	
	formulation (W = the wash step; R1= the first rinse; R2 =	
4 1 1	the second rinse; R3 = the third rinse and so on)	3.7
4.11	Cumulative oil removal with different volumes of rinsing	44
	water and different numbers of rinse step using the selected	
	formulation of total surfactant concentration of 0.115% (W =	
	the wash step; R1= the first rinse; R2 = the second rinse;	
	R3 = the third rinse and so on)	
4.12	Dynamic IFT between rinsing water and dyed oil with the	46
	selected formulation and total surfactant concentration of	
	0.115%: (a) 2 rinses with 1000 mL each (b) 4 rinses with	
	500 mL each and (c) 6 rinses with 333.33 mL each	
4.13	Schematic representation of normal fish phase diagram.	47
	Roman numerals refer to the Winsor Type microemulsion	
	existing at that condition.	
4.14	Oil removal in each step related to the equilibrium IFT	49
	between washing (or rinsing) solution and oil with the	
	selected formulation (13 parts ADPODS, 43.5 parts AOT,	
	and 43.5 parts Span 80).	
	CHAPTER V	
5.1	Solubilization parameters (SP) and Winsor Types of	63
	microemulsions as a function of salinity at an initial oil-to-	
	water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1; (a) 1% ADPODS and 3%	
	Span 80 were fixed, (a-1) 3% AOT, (a-2) 4% AOT and (a-3)	
	5% AOT; (b) 5% AOT and 3% Span 80 were fixed, (b-1)	
	0.5% ADPODS, (b-2) 0.75% ADPODS and (b-3) 1%	

FIGURE	
ADPODS; (c) 0.5% ADPODS and 5% AOT were fixed, (c-	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	65
polyester/cotton blend (65/35) at various salinities and a total	
surfactant concentration of 0.119% compared to a	
commercial liquid detergent.	
Equilibrium and dynamic IFT at 20 min as a function of total	67
surfactant concentration at 30 °C using the selected	
formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio).	
Surface tension plot with total surfactant concentration of the	68
selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80	
ratio) with and without salinity.	
Fish phase diagram of the selected formulation (1:10:6 of	69
ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio) at an oil-to-water volumetric	
ratio of 1 to 1 at 30 °C.	
The cumulative oil removal of different rinsing methods (a)	70
1000 mL, (b) 500 mL, and (c) 333.33 mL for each rinse	
using the selected formulation of total surfactant	
concentration of 0.119% at different salinities.	
Total oil removal with different volumes of rinsing water	71
and different salinities using the selected formulation (1:10:6	
of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio).	
Total oil removal and IFT of the washing solution as a	72
function of salinity with the 0.119% surfactant concentration	
of the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span	
80 ratio) and two rinses of 1000 mL each.	
	ADPODS; (c) 0.5% ADPODS and 5% AOT were fixed, (c-1) 1% Span 80, (c-2) 2% Span 80 and (c-3) 3% Span80. SP* is SP at optimum salinity (S*). Effect of surfactant system (both single and mixed surfactant systems) on both total oil removal and IFT on a polyester/cotton blend (65/35) at various salinities and a total surfactant concentration of 0.119% compared to a commercial liquid detergent. Equilibrium and dynamic IFT at 20 min as a function of total surfactant concentration at 30 °C using the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio). Surface tension plot with total surfactant concentration of the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio) with and without salinity. Fish phase diagram of the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio) at an oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1 at 30 °C. The cumulative oil removal of different rinsing methods (a) 1000 mL, (b) 500 mL, and (c) 333.33 mL for each rinse using the selected formulation of total surfactant concentration of 0.119% at different salinities. Total oil removal with different volumes of rinsing water and different salinities using the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio). Total oil removal and IFT of the washing solution as a function of salinity with the 0.119% surfactant concentration of the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span

FIGURE		PAGE
5.9	The relationship between the IFT and the remaining ADPODS in washing solution and the oil removal in the wash step as a function of salinity with the 0.119% surfactant concentration of the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80 ratio).	74
5.10	Oil removal in each step related to the equilibrium IFT between washing solution and oil at different salinities with the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80).	75
5.11	The ADPODS remaining in the rinsing water after the first or second rinse steps at various salinities and different amounts of rinsing water.	77
5.12	The amount of ADPODS left in different rinse steps and the total oil removal after (a) the first rinse (b) the second rinse at different amounts of rinsing water.	78
5.13	The percentage of residual ADPODS on the fabric surface at different rinse methods based on the initial ADPODS and 100 g fabric (a) after first rinse and (b) after second rinse.	80
5.14	The residual ADPODS on fabric in each step based on the initial ADPODS amount (a) on the soiled fabric (b) on unsoiled fabric at different rinsing methods under the selected formulation (1:10:6 of ADPODS:AOT:Span 80) with the optimum salinity and at 30 °C.	82

FIGURE PAGE CHAPTER VI 6.1 Solubilization parameters (SP) and phase height fraction as a 95 function of NaCl concentration and C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₃SO₄Na concentration at an initial oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1 and 5% $C_{12-14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$ and: (a-1) 0.1% $C_{14-14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$ $_{15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$, (a-2) 0.3% $C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$, (a-3) 0.5% $C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$, and (a-4) 1% $C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$. 6.2 Solubilization parameters (SP) and phase height fraction as a 97 function of NaCl concentration and C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₃SO₄Na concentration at an initial oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1 and 0.1% $C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$ and: (b-1) 3% $C_{12-14}H_{25-1}$ $_{29}O(EO)_5H$, (b-2) 5% $C_{12-14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$, and (b-3) 8% $C_{12-14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$. 6.3 Solubilization parameters (SP) as a function of NaCl 98 concentration and water hardness at an initial oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1 with 5% C₁₂₋₁₄H₂₅₋₂₉O(EO)₅H and $0.1\% C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$. 99 6.4 Euilibrium IFT between the dyed motor oil and the aqueous solution of the selected formulation (2 parts C₁₄- $_{15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$ and 98 parts $C_{12-14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$) at different NaCl concentrations and water hardness levels. 6.5 Equilibrium and dynamic IFT at 20 min as a function of total 101 surfactant concentration at 30 °C using the selected formulation (0.1% C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₃SO₄Na and 5% C₁₂₋₁₄H₂₅₋ $_{29}O(EO)_5H$, or 2 parts $C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$ and 98 parts C_{12} . $_{14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$) with 4% salinity. 101 6.6 Surface tension of the mixed surfactant system with 4%

salinity for the motor oil system.

FIGURE		PAGE
6.7	Fish phase diagram of the selected formulation (2 parts C ₁₄ . ₁₅ (PO) ₃ SO ₄ Na and 98 parts C ₁₂₋₁₄ H ₂₅₋₂₉ O(EO) ₅ H) at an oilto-water volumetric ratio of 1 to 1 at different degrees of water hardness.	102
6.8	Effect of total surfactant concentration on oil removal of the selected formulation (2 parts $C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$ and 98 parts $C_{12-14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$) at 4% of salinity and 30 °C.	104
6.9	Standard photographs of: (a) unsoiled fabric, (b) soiled fabric with dyed motor oil, and (c) fabric after washing with 0.3% total surfactant concentration of the selected formulation (2 parts $C_{14-15}(PO)_3SO_4Na$ and 98 parts $C_{12-14}H_{25-29}O(EO)_5H$) with the 4% salinity.	104
6.10	The total oil removal and the equilibrium IFT between oil and washing solution of the selected formulation at 4% salinity with different degrees of water hardness using a polyester/cotton blend at 30 °C.	106
6.11	The total oil removal and the equilibrium IFT between oil and washing solution of the selected formulation at 4% salinity with different degrees of water hardness using a polyester/cotton blend at 30 °C.	107
6.12	Total oil removal at different builder concentrations using 0.3% total surfactant concentration of the selected formulation without adding water hardness at 30 °C.	109
6.13	Total oil removal at different builder-to-water hardness molar ratios using a 0.3% total surfactant concentration, prepared from the selected formulation and 500 ppm water hardness in both washing solution and rinsing water.	109

FIGURE		PAGE	
6.14	Amount of free divalent cations remaining in solution at different builder-to-water hardness molar ratios with and without the polyester/cotton blend fabric, in the absence of surfactants.	110	
6.15	Amount of free divalent cations remaining in solution at different builder-to-water hardness molar ratios with and without the polyester/cotton blend fabric using a washing solution containing 0.3% total surfactant concentration prepared from the selected formulation.	111	

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
	CHAPTER VI	
6.1	The detergency performance of motor oil removal compared	105
	to previous studies	