## Feynman's Path Integrals

The best places to find out about path integrals is in Feynman's paper (4). Our approach is not to use path integrals as a way of arriving at quantum mechanics, although Feynman has used this point in his book with Hiblis (4). We assume knowledge of quantum mechanics and deduce the path integrals formalism (12) from it. This gets us into the subject quickly.

## II. 1 Defining the path Integrals.

The wave function of $a$ non-relativistic spinless particle in one dimension evolves according to Schroedinger's equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& H \psi=i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}  \tag{IIT}\\
& H=T+V=\frac{P^{2}}{2 m}+V=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{\partial m} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial} x^{2}+V .
\end{align*}
$$

Our interest is in the propagator $K$ or Green's function
which satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) G^{\prime}\left(t_{b}, t_{a}\right)=-i \hbar 1 S\left(t_{t}-t_{a}\right) \tag{IIT}
\end{equation*}
$$

in operator notation. In coordinate space this is written for the propagator as

$$
\left(H-i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) K\left(x_{t}, x_{a} ; t_{b}, t_{a}\right)=-i \hbar S\left(x_{t}-x_{a}\right) S\left(t_{b}-t_{a}\right) \cdot(H .4)
$$

$G$ and $K$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(x_{b}, x_{a} ; t_{b}, t_{a}\right)=\left\langle x_{b}\right| G^{\prime}\left(t_{b}, t_{a}\right)\left|x_{a}\right\rangle \tag{IIIS}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing $\underset{b}{(1}{ }_{b}^{\dagger}$ a means having a solution to the time dependent Schrodinger's equation in the sense that if $\psi\left(t_{n}\right)$ is the state of the system at the time $t_{a}, \psi\left(t_{b}\right)$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi\left(t_{b}\right)=\left(t_{b}, t_{a}\right) \psi\left(t_{a}\right) \tag{II.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the state at the time $t_{b}$. For the tine independent $H$ an operator solution of Eq. ( $\mathbb{K}$.3) can immediately be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t_{b}, t_{a}\right)=\theta\left(t_{b}-t_{a}\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H\left(t_{b}-t_{A}\right)\right\} \tag{II:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta\left(t_{b}-t_{a}\right)$ is the step function. Since $H$ is assumed to be time independent we can, without loss of generality, take $t_{a}=0$ and $t_{b}=t$. Then for $t>0$ we can

$$
\left.x_{b}, x_{a} ; t\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{t}\right| \operatorname{sip}\left\{-\frac{i}{t} H t\right\}\left|x_{a}\right\rangle
$$

where the argument $t_{a}=0$ has been deleted.

The path integrals arise from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A}=\left(\epsilon^{A / N}\right)^{N} \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\lambda=i t / \hbar$ yields

$$
K\left(x_{b}, x_{n} ; t\right)=\left\langle x_{b}\right| e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N} \ldots e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}\left|x_{n}\right\rangle
$$

with the products in the bracket taken $N$ times. Now we make use of a fundamental fact about the exponential of two operators, namely

$$
e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}=e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda v / N}+O\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{N^{2}}\right) \cdot(\dot{H}, 11)
$$

This can be proved easily ${ }^{*}$ and in a power series expansion the coeffient of the $\frac{\lambda^{2}}{N^{2}}$ term is

$$
A=\frac{1}{2}[V, T]_{C}
$$

In subsequent manipulation we assume that the $O\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}}\right)$ term is well behaved, that is stays bounded when applied to states, and so on: For reasonable potentials this assumption is justisfied. More is said on this topic in the appendix A.

That we are now arriving for is to replace the term

$$
\left[e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}\right]^{N}=\left[e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda V / N}+O\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}}\right)\right]^{N}
$$

by the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda v / N}\right]^{N} \tag{II.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For real numbers ( rather than operators), this replacement is a

[^0]reflection of a fundamental fact about the exponential. For operators a bit of care is required, and the trick is to express the difference of Eqs. (II.12) and (II.13) is a peculiar way;
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda V / N}\right]^{N}-\left[e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}\right]^{N}} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
-\lambda T / N & -\lambda V / N \\
e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}
\end{array}\right]\left[e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}\right]^{N-1} \\
& +\left[e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda V / N}\right]\left[e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda V / N}-e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}\right]\left[e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}\right]^{N-2} \\
& +\ldots+\left[\begin{array}{l}
e^{-\lambda T / N}-\lambda V / N
\end{array}\right]^{N-1}\left[e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda V / N}-e^{-\lambda(T+V) / N}\right] . \\
& \text { (II.14) }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Eq. (I.14) is an identity. It contains $N$ terns, each of which has the factor $\{\operatorname{erp}(-x T / N) \operatorname{erp}(-\lambda V / N)-\operatorname{erp}(-\lambda(T+N / N)\}$ which by Eq. (II.II) is of order $\frac{1}{N^{2}}$. Hence in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the difference is zero. In appendix A, mention is made for various finer points in the estimate.

We have therefore Justisfied the replacement of Eq. (I.10) by

$$
K\left(x_{b}, x_{n} ;^{t}\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle x_{b}\right|\left\{e^{-\lambda T / N} e^{-\lambda V / N}\right\}^{N}\left|x_{a}\right\rangle
$$

From here, getting the path integrals is just a few easy steps. The identity operator, in the form

$$
\int d x_{j}\left|x_{j}><x_{j}\right| \quad ; \quad j=1,2, \ldots, N-1
$$

is inserted between each terms in the product in Eq. (II. 5 ), yielding

$$
K\left(x_{b}, x_{a} ; t\right)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int d x_{i} \ldots \int d_{N-1} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\left\langle x_{j+1}\right| e_{e}^{-\lambda T / N} e_{(\mathbb{I} \cdot 17)}^{-\lambda V / N}\left|x_{j}\right\rangle
$$

for convenient we have talien $x_{h}=x_{0}, x_{b}=x_{N}$. The multiplication operator $V$ is diagonal in coordinate space so that

$$
\operatorname{epp}(-\lambda V / N)\left|x_{j}\right\rangle=\left|x_{j}\right\rangle \operatorname{erp}\left(-\lambda V\left(x_{j}\right) / N\right) \cdot \quad(I I \cdot 18)
$$

Next we require coordinate space matrix elements of $e^{-\lambda T / N}$ between states $\langle s|$ and $|f\rangle$, and to obtain these we insert a complete set of momentum states

$$
1=\int d p|p\rangle\langle p|
$$

with

$$
\langle p \mid f\rangle=\frac{1}{(2 \pi h)^{1 / 2}} \operatorname{e>p}(-i p f / \hbar) . \quad(\pi \cdot 19)
$$

This requires

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle s| e^{-\lambda T / N}|f\rangle & =\int d p\langle s| e^{-\lambda T / N}|p\rangle\langle p \mid f\rangle \\
& =\int d p \operatorname{e} p\left(-\lambda p^{2} / \partial m N\right)\langle s \mid p\rangle\langle p \mid f\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi t} \int d_{p} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda p^{2}}{i v H}\right) \exp (i p(s-f) / t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the gaussian integration. The general formula is

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d y \exp \left(-a y^{2}+b y\right)=\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left(b^{2} / 4 a\right): \quad(I I, 21)
$$

More detials of this formula is contained in appendix B. Using, Eq. (I.21), Eq. (H.20) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle s| \exp (-\lambda T / N)|f\rangle=\left[\frac{m N}{2 \lambda \pi \hbar^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{m N}{2 \lambda \hbar^{2}}(s-f)^{2}\right) . \tag{L,22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (II.18) and (II.22) are inserted into Eq. (II.17) to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(x_{b}, x_{n} ; t\right)= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int d x_{1} \cdots \int d x_{N-1}\left[\frac{m N}{2 \lambda \pi \hbar^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \exp \left\{-\frac{r N\left(x_{i+1}-x_{j}\right)^{2}}{2 \lambda \hbar^{2}}-\frac{V\left(x_{j}\right)}{N}\right\} . \tag{I.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $\epsilon=t / N=\hbar \lambda / i N$ and combine the exponential in Eq. ( $\pi .23$ );

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(x_{b}, x_{n} ; t\right)= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int d x_{1} \cdots \int d x_{N-1}\left[\frac{m}{\partial \pi i \in \hbar}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\left[\frac{m}{2 \epsilon}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)^{2}-\epsilon V\left(x_{j}\right)\right]\right\} \cdot(\pi \cdot 24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. $(\mathbb{I} \cdot 24)$ is the path integrals expression for the propagator. A few words are in order, however, on why this is called a "path integrals " or " sum over histories ".

Imagine that the points $x_{a}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N-1}, x_{b}$ are connected by lines. Then we have a broken lines path from $x_{a}$ to $x_{b}$. The sum in the exponential of Eq. (I I.24) can be integrated as a Riemann sum of a certain integral Elong that path:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\left[\frac{m}{2 \epsilon}\left(x_{j+1}-x_{j}\right)^{2}-\epsilon V\left(x_{j}\right)\right] \sim \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\frac{m}{2}\left[\frac{d}{d z} x(z)\right]^{2}-v(x)\right\} d r . \tag{II.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrand in Eq.(II. 25 ) is well known in classical mechanics. It is just the lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{m}{2}\left[\frac{d_{-} x(z)}{d_{z}}\right]^{2}-V(x(z)) \tag{IT.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the classical system which when quantized has the hamiltonian Fig. (II • $\mathbf{d}$ ) . Furthermore, the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{0}^{t} a^{p}\{x(z)\} d z \tag{II.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is no less prominant an object in classical mechanics. The argument in Eq. (I I.24) is thus $\frac{i S}{\hbar}$, with $S$ evaluated along the broken line path connecting $x_{k}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{N-1}, x_{b}$.

The integral over the quantitis $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N-1}$ can be interpreted as summing over all possible broken line paths connecting $x_{a}$ and $x_{b}$ and Eq. (I I.24) has become

$$
\left.K\left(x_{b}, x_{a} ; t\right)=C \sum_{\substack{\text { over all } \\ \text { poths from } x_{a} t_{0} x_{b}}} \exp \left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[x(z)]\right\}\right)(\text { II } \cdot 2 \varepsilon)
$$

where $C$ is called normalized constant and defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\left[\frac{m}{i \pi i \hbar t}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{IL.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $\Xi q$. (II $\cdot 24$ ). A final cosmetic expression on Eq. (I I.24) is to be written as

$$
K(a, b)=\int_{x_{n}}^{x_{b}} \mathcal{D}[x(\tau)] \text { exp }\left\{\frac{i}{t} \varsigma[x(z)]\right\},(\pi, 3 \infty)
$$

where the notation $\mathcal{D}[x(x)]$ means the mathematical measure of the (4) integration variable $x(2)$. Ye call this expression "Feynman's path integration ".

## II. 2 Gaussian Integration

The simplest path integrals are those in which all the varibles appear up to the second degree in the exponent. In quantum mechanics this corresponds to a case in which the action $S$ involves the pat' $x(z)$ up to and including the second order.

To illustrate how the method work in such a case, consijer a particle those Lagrangian has the form

$$
\alpha\{\dot{x}, x ; r\}=a(z) \dot{x}^{2}+b(r) \dot{x} x+c(r) x^{2}+d(r) \dot{x}+e(r) x+f(r) .
$$

$$
\text { (II. } 31 \text { ) }
$$

The action is the integral of this function with respect to the time between two end points. We wish to determine

$$
K(a, b)=\int_{a}^{b} J J[x(z)] \text { exp }\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L}\{\dot{x}, x ; z\} d z\right\},(I T .3 z)
$$

the integral is over all rathe mich form $\left(x_{h}, 0\right)$ to $\left(x_{b}, t\right)$.

> Cf course : it is possible to carry out this integral over all paths in the way which was first described in section I.1. But we shall not go through this tedious calculation, since we $c=n$ determine tins most important characteristics of the propagator in the following manner.

Let $x_{d 1}(z)$ be the classical path between the specified end
points. This is tine fath which is an extrema for the action $S$. In the notation we have been using

$$
S_{c 1}(i, b)=S\left\{x_{c 1}(r)\right\} .
$$

We can represent $x(z)$ in terms of $x_{c \mid}(z)$ and a nov varible $x^{\prime}(z)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(\tau)=x_{e 1}(\tau)+x^{\prime}(\tau) . \tag{II.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is to say, instead of defining a point on the path by its distance $x(\tau)$ from an arbitary coordinate axis, we measure the deviation $x^{\prime}(\tau)$ from the calssical path $\times$ ( 2 .

At each $Z$ the variable $X(2)$ and $X^{\prime}(\tau)$ differ by the constant $x_{e l}(z)$. Therefore, clearly, $d x_{i}=d x_{i}^{\prime}$ for each specific point $z_{i}$ in the subdivision of time. In general, we may say $\mathcal{D}[x(z)]=D\left[x^{\prime}(n]\right.$.

The integral for the action can be written

$$
S\{x(2)\}=S\left\{x_{c}(2)+x^{\prime}(z)\right\}
$$

Tins can be $\begin{gathered}\text { busily shown that we can write }\end{gathered}$

$$
S\{x(r)\}=S\left\{x_{e 1}(r)\right\}+\int_{0}^{+}\left[a(r) x^{\prime^{\prime 2}}+b(z) \dot{x}^{\prime} x^{\prime}+C(z) x^{\prime 2}\right] d r
$$

The integrals over all paths does not depend upon the classical path, so that the propagator can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
K(a, t)= & \quad \exp \left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} S(a, t)\right\} \\
& \left.x \int_{0}^{a} D\left[x^{\prime}(z)\right] e v p<\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t}\left[a(z) \dot{x}^{12}+b(z) \dot{x}^{\prime} x+c(\tau) x^{12}\right] d z\right\} . \tag{II.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Since all paths $x^{\prime}(z)$ start from and return to the point $x^{\prime}(z)=0$, the integrals over all paths can be a function of tire at the end points. This means that the propariotor can be written as

$$
K(a, b)=F(t, c) \exp \left\{\frac{i}{b} S(a, b)\right\} .
$$

So that $K(a, b)$ is determined except for a function of $t$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { It follows from the works of Van Vleck }{ }^{(9)} \text { and Pauli } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

that for the local problem, Eq. (I. 38 ) can also be written as

And in $h$ dimensions coordinate space this formula is generalized to be

$$
K(a, b)=\left[\frac{1}{2 \pi i \hbar}\right]^{2 / 2}\left\{\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{a} \partial x_{b}} S(a, b)\right]\right\}^{1 / 2} \exp \left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} S(a, b)\right\},(\pi \cdot 40)
$$

where $X_{X}^{\prime}(7)$ is the $V_{\text {- }}$ dimensional coordinate space variable. A details of the evaluations of this result will appear in appendix $C$.

However, the propagator of Ens. (II. 39 ) or (I I.40) are satified for the system which contain the local potential only. They cannot be used for the norilocal potential.

## II. 3 Application to the local Problems.

It is of interest to examine some simple problems of the quadratic Lagrangian system. The simplest one is of the free particle, the Lagrangian of this system is given by

$$
\dot{d}=\frac{\sin }{2} \dot{x}^{2}
$$

By the routine calculation of the classical solution $x_{d l}$ ( $\tau$ ) of the equation of motion of this system, the action function can be evaluated to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{c l}(a, b)=\frac{m}{\partial t}\left(x_{b}-x_{n}\right)^{2} \tag{II.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Van Vleck-Pauli's results in Eq. (I I.39), the propagator (12) becomes

$$
K(a, b)=\left[\frac{m}{2 \pi i \hbar t}\right]^{1 / 2} \exp \left\{\frac{i m}{\partial \hbar t}\left(x_{b},-x_{n}\right)^{2}\right\} \cdot(\text { II .43) }
$$

Another problem is of the free electron moving in two dimensions under the influence of a constant magnetic field which presented in perpendicular direction to the plane of electronic motion. The Lagrangian of such a system, with the symmetric gauge of the magnetic field, $\vec{A}=(-y B, \times B, 0)$, is given to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{m}{\dot{\alpha}}\left(\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}\right)+\frac{m}{2} \Omega(\dot{x} \dot{y}-y \dot{x}) \tag{II.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega=\frac{e B}{m c}$, the cyclotron frequency.
Applying llamilton's theorem (13) to this lagrangian in both directions
and evaluate the classical solutions $X_{c l}(\tau)$ and $Y_{c l}(\tau)$ for the corresponding equations. The action function can be calculated to be

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{c l}(a, b)= & \frac{m \Omega}{4} \cot \left(\frac{\Omega \pi}{2}\right)\left\{\left(x_{b}-x_{a}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{b}-y_{A}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& +\frac{m \Omega}{2}\left(x_{a} y_{b}-x_{b} y_{a}\right) . \tag{II.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Van Vleck-Pauli's result in Eq. (II. 39 ), the propagator becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
K(a, b)= & {\left[\frac{m}{\partial h_{i} \hbar t}\right]\left[\frac{\Omega t}{2 \sin (\Omega+/ 2)}\right] } \\
& \times \operatorname{ery}\left\{\frac { \operatorname { i m } } { 2 \hbar } \left[\frac{\Omega}{2} \cot \left(\frac{\Omega}{2} t\right)\left\{\left(x_{b}-x_{a}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{b}-y_{a}\right)^{2}\right\}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\Omega\left(x_{a} Y_{b}-x_{b} / a\right)\right]\right\} . \quad(I I \cdot 46)
\end{align*}
$$

The last problem we will study here is of the simple harmonic oscillator. The lagrangian of this system is

$$
\alpha=\frac{m}{2}\left[\dot{x}^{2}-\omega^{2} x^{2}\right]
$$

The classical action function of this problem can be evaluated systematically and it becomes

$$
S_{e l}(a, b)=\frac{r n \omega}{2 \sin (\omega t)}\left[\cos (\omega t)\left\{x_{b}^{2}+x_{a}^{2}\right\}-2 x_{b} x_{a}\right] .
$$

(II. 48 )

Using Van Vleck-Pauli's result in Eq. (I I.39), the propagator becomes

## 

$$
\begin{align*}
K(a, b)= & {\left[\frac{i m \omega}{2 \pi i \hbar \sin (\omega t)}\right]^{1 / 2} } \\
& \left.x \exp \left\{\frac{i m \omega}{2 \hbar \sin (\omega t)}\left[\cos (\omega t) ; x_{b}^{2}+x_{a}^{2}\right\}-2 x_{b} x_{a}\right]\right\} . \tag{IT,49}
\end{align*}
$$

In next section we will take attention on the problem which contain nonlocal potential. The simplest one is known as the nonlocal harmonic oscillator.

## II. 4 Nonlocal Harmonic Oscillator.

Path integral theory of the nonlocal harmonic oscillator was first done by Beak (14). The Lagrangian is given by

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^{2}-\frac{m \nu^{2}}{4 t} \int_{0}^{t}[x(r)-x(6)]^{2} d b, \quad(I I \cdot 50)
$$

and its corresponding action function $S[x(2)]$ is

$$
S[x(z)]=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{m}{2} \dot{x}^{2}(z) d \tau-\frac{m \nu^{2}}{4 t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t}[x(\tau)-x(b)]^{2} d \sigma d z
$$

And the path integral expression for the propagator is written to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
K(a, b)= & \int_{a}^{b} f \partial[x(r)] \exp \left\{\frac { i } { \hbar } \left[\frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \dot{x}^{2}(r) d r\right.\right.
\end{aligned} \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\frac{m \nu^{2}}{4 t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t}[x(r)-x(6)]^{2} d \Delta d r\right]\right\} \text { (II .Sd) } \\
& \text { As is shown by Beak (15) and Sa-yakanit (10) that this } \\
& \text { propagator cannot be written in the form of Eqs. (II.3a)or (II.40). } \\
& \text { Jut this problem takes the Lagrangian in quadratic form, so that it }
\end{aligned}
$$


can be written in the form of Eq. (II 38 ). However, following Papalopoulns's idea we can transform the nonvocal problem into the local one and Ers. (I I.39) and (II.40) are satisfy.

At first step towards our attention we express the action function appear in Eq. (I I.51) by

$$
S\{x(z)\}=\frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\dot{x}^{2}-\nu^{2} x^{2}\right] d r+\frac{m)^{2}}{\partial t}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} x(r) d \tau\right\}_{\text {III . 53) }}^{2}
$$

Inserting this action function into the expression of the propagator in Iq. (II . 52 ), our path integral takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
K(a, b)= & \int_{a}^{b} \mathcal{B}[x(z)] \exp \left\{\frac { i } { \hbar } \left[\frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\dot{x}^{2}-\nu^{2} x^{2}\right] d z\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{m \nu}{\partial t}\left\{\int_{a}^{t} x(z) d \tau\right\}^{2}\right]\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Ce can generate the path integration through the averaging by a linear exponential functional involving an auxiliary random force $F$ independent of the time $\tau$. Sore explicitely we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(a, b)=\left[\frac{i t}{2 \pi \hbar m v^{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d F \exp \left\{\frac{-i t}{\partial \hbar m \nu^{2}} F^{2}\right\} K_{0}(a, b) \tag{II.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where.

$$
K_{0}(a, b)=\int_{a}^{b} \mathscr{D}[x(z)] \operatorname{e>p}\left\{\frac{i}{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\frac{m}{2}\left[\dot{x}^{2}-\nu^{2} x^{2}\right]+F x\right] d z\right\}
$$ (I I.56)

The propagator of Eq. (I I.56) is of the force ammonic oscillator and this can be found in the literature (16) (17). So that the propagator of the
nonlocal harmonic oscillator is ottained after inserting the propagator for the force hamionic oscillator into Eq. (II.56) and perforaing the $F$-integration. The propagator becomes (10).

$$
\begin{align*}
K(a, b)= & {\left[\frac{m}{\partial \operatorname{ji\hbar t}}\right]\left[\frac{\nu t}{\partial \sin (\nu t / 2)}\right]^{2} } \\
& \times \exp \left\{\frac{(m \nu)}{4 \hbar} \cot (\nu t / \alpha)\left[x_{b}-x_{a}\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{II.57}
\end{align*}
$$




[^0]:    * : An expression is conveniently generated by looking at derivative of $\exp (\partial T / N) \operatorname{axp}(-\lambda(T+V) / N) \exp (\lambda V / N)$.

