## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH RESULTS

This study was a descriptive research to study the prevalence, knowledge, attitude, modifying factors, and preventive health action of Diabetes Mellitus among the population. Preventive health action of Diabetes Mellitus includes nutrition, physical health and stress management. In addition, to investigate the association among the factors relevant to health behaviors, Subjects included 350 people $(\geq 30$ years old) from Wangkeeree Sub-District, Huai yot District, Trang Province. The results of this study are presented in 8 parts as follows:

Part 1. Demographic characteristics of the population
Part 2. Knowledge of DM
Part 3. Attitude towards DM
Part 4. Modifying Factors of DM
Part 5. Preventive health action of DM
Part 6. Prevalence of DM

Part 7. Association among knowledge, attitude, modifying factors, and preventive health action of DM

Part 8. Association between preventive health action of DM and the prevalence of DM

## Part 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Population

Data was obtained from 350 respondents, out of which 213 were female ( $60.9 \%$ ) and 137 male ( $39.1 \%$ ). The youngest was 30 years old and the oldest was 86 years old. When divided into five groups the respondents between $30-39$ years old at $34.6 \%$ and $40-49$ years old at $29.4 \%$. The average age of the samples was 47 years. The majority of the respondents were married at $89.4 \%, 9.8 \%$ had been widowed, divorced or separated and $1.7 \%$ were single.

In respect to their occupation, $71.4 \%$ were agriculture related, which was the majority group. The balance was comprised of commercial, government officers, employees, housekeepers and others. The majority of the respondents had a primary school education at $77.4 \%$, while $10.3 \%$ had no formal education. The balance of the 3 levels was comprised of secondary school, certificate/diploma, and Bachelor's Degree or higher.

The highest number of respondents, $87.4 \%$, had no family history of DM, while $12.6 \%$ had a family history of DM. The majority of the respondent, $88.3 \%$, had not had a non-communicable disease.

The highest number of respondents, $61.4 \%$, had a body mass index between $18.5 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $25 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, 25.1 \%$ had body mass index of more than $25 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, and $13.4 \%$ had body mass index of lower than $18.5 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. The highest number of respondent, $41.4 \%$, had their last physical examination within the last six month, $38.6 \%$ had never had a physical examination, $12.3 \%$ had their last physical
examination more than one year ago, and $7.7 \%$ had their last physical between six month and one year ago (Table 4.1).

Table 4.1: Demographic characteristics of the population ( $\mathrm{n}=350$ )

| Characteristics | Number | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (Years) |  |  |
| 30-39 | 121 | 34.6 |
| 40-49 | 103 | 29.4 |
| 50-59 | 42 | 12.0 |
| 60-69 | - 64 | 18.3 |
| $\geq 70$ | - 20 | 5.7 |
| Mean=47.5 SD=12.83 Median | $\mathrm{Min}=30$ | Max=86 |
| Gender |  |  |
| Female | 213 | 60.9 |
| Male | 137 | 39.1 |
| Marital status |  |  |
| Married | - 313 | 89.4 |
| Widowed | 28 | 8.0 |
| Single | - 6 | 1.7 |
| Divorced/Separated | ทยา 3 | 0.9 |
| Occupation |  |  |
| Agriculture UHULA | 1250 SITY | 71.4 |
| Housekeeper | 39 | 11.1 |
| Commercial | 29 | 8.3 |
| Employee | 20 | 5.7 |
| Government officer | 6 | 1.7 |
| Other | 6 | 1.7 |
| Education |  |  |
| Primary school | 217 | 77.4 |
| No formal education | 36 | 10.3 |
| Secondary school | 28 | 8.0 |
| Bachelor's Degree or higher | 8 | 2.3 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 7 | 2.0 |

Table 4.1 : (Cont.) Demographic characteristics of the population $(\mathbf{n}=350)$

| Characteristics | Number | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family History of DM |  |  |
| Have family history of DM | 44 | 12.6 |
| - Parents | 21 | 6.0 |
| - Sibling | 19 | 5.4 |
| - Grandfather/ Grandmother | 4 | 1.1 |
| No family history of DM | 306 | 87.4 |
| Ailment |  |  |
| Heart disease | 7 | 2.0 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | ${ }^{2} 15$ | 4.3 |
| Hypertension | 19 | 5.4 |
| No ailments | 309 | 88.3 |
| Body Mass Index ( $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |
| Lower than 18.5 | 47 | 13.4 |
| 18.5-25 | 215 | 61.4 |
| More than 25 | 88 | 25.1 |
| Last Physical Examination |  |  |
| Within the last 6 months | 145 | 41.4 |
| Between 6 month and 1 year |  | 7.7 |
| More than 1 year ago | 43 | 12.3 |
| Never had an examination | 135 | 38.6 |

## Part 2. Knowledge of DM

Knowledge: The questionnaire concerning knowledge of the disease included 15 items. The total scores concerning the knowledge in this study ranged of 15 points. The average knowledge level was 11.6 , SD was 4.39 , Minimum was 0 and Maximum was 15 . The majority of the study population had a high knowledge of DM at $66.3 \%$, a moderate knowledge of DM at $20.3 \%$, and a low knowledge of DM at $13.4 \%$ of the study population (Table 4.2). The majority of the study
population had correct answers over $80 \%$. The question that correct lower than $80 \%$ was susceptibility of family history of DM, severity complication to blindness, renal's disease, heart disease. The details of the data are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4.2: Distribution of Knowledge of DM

| Knowledge Level <br> (Points) | Number <br> $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | Percentage <br> $(100.0)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low (0-7) | 47 | 13.4 |
| Moderate (8-11) | 71 | 20.3 |
| High (12-15) | 232 | 66.3 |
| Minimum=0 | Maximum=15 | Mean=11.6 |

## Part 3. Attitude of DM

Attitude: The answers recorded from each aspect of attitude towards preventive health actions on the questionnaire, were from a total of 10 items. The total scores concerning the attitude towards preventive health action group in this study ranged from 10 to 40 points. The average attitude was 30.1 , SD was 4.60 , Minimum was 12 and Maximum was 40 . The majority of the study population had a moderate attitude level towards DM at $60.9 \%$, followed by a high attitude level at $26.6 \%$ and a low attitude level at $12.6 \%$ of the study population (Table 4.3). The lowest level of attitude was their attitude towards exercise; they think that hard work can decrease blood sugar level and that hard work was the same as exercise. The details of data are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4. 3 : Distribution of Attitude Level

| Attitude Level <br> (Points) | Number <br> $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | Percentage <br> $(100.0)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low (10-25) | 44 | 12.6 |
| Moderate (26-32) | 213 | 60.9 |
| High (33-40) | 93 | 26.6 |
| Minimum=12 | Maximum $=40$ | Mean=30.1 |

## Part 4. Modifying Factors of DM

Modifying Factors of DM: The questions concerning the modifying factors to preventive action on the questionnaire, included a total of 10 items. The total score concerning modifying factors toward cues to action and social support in this study ranged from 10 to 40 points. The average modifying factors group was 21.3 , SD was 6.59 , Minimum was 11 and Maximum was 40 . The majority of the study population had a low modifying factors level at $75.4 \%$. The moderate modifying factors level was at $20.6 \%$, and the high modifying factors level was at $4.0 \%$ of the study population (Table 4.4). For the last three months, most of the people never reseived cues to action about DM from television, newspapers, magazines, manuals, their families, or health personnel. But most of the people had regular social support about eating, exercising, and relaxing. The details of data are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4.4 : Distribution of the Modifying Factors Level

| Modifying Factor <br> (Points) | Number <br> $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | Percentage <br> $(100.0)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low (10-25) | 264 | 75.4 |
| Moderate (26-32) | 72 | 20.6 |
| High (33-40) | 14 | 4.0 |
| Minimum=11 | Maximum=40 | Mean=21.3 |

## Part 5. Preventive Health Action of DM

The answers recorded from the aspect of preventive health action on the questionnaire, included a total of 15 items. The total score concerning preventive health action in this study ranged from 10 to 60 points. The average preventive health action was 41.8 , SD was 7.36 , Minimum was 17 , and Maximum was 60 . The majority of the study population had an appropriate preventive health action level at $58.3 \%$, followed by an inappropriate level at $29.1 \%$, and a highly appropriate level at $12.6 \%$ of the study population (Table 4.5). The details of data are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4.5 : Distribution of practice level

| Preventive Health | Number |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Action Level | $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | Percentage <br> $(100.0)$ |
| (Points) |  |  |
| Inappropriate (15-37) | 102 | 29.1 |
| Appropriate (38-49) | 204 | 58.3 |
| Highly Appropriate (50-60) | 44 | 12.6 |
| Minimum=17 Maximum=60 |  | Mean=41.8 |

Nutrition's Preventive Health Action: The answer to each aspect of the nutrition's preventive health action level on the questionnaire, included a total of 7 items. The total score for preventive health action in this study ranged from 7 to 28 points. The average score of preventive health action was 20.2 , SD was 3.30 , Minimum was 8 and Maximum was 28 . The majority of the study population had an appropriate preventive health action level at $58 \%$, followed by an inappropriate level at $27.7 \%$ and finally a highly appropriate level at $14.3 \%$ of the study population (Table 4.6). Most of the study population had appropriate knowledge about eating habits and they reported eating vegetables regularly. The details of the data are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4.6 : Distribution of Nutrition's Preventive Health Action Level

| Nutrition's Preventive Health |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Level | Number | Percentage |
| (Points) | $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | $(100 \%)$ |
| Inappropriate (7-18) | 97 |  |
| Appropriate (19-23) | 203 |  |
| Highly appropriate (24-28) | 50 | 58.0 |

Minimum=8 $\quad$ Maximum=28 $\quad$ Mean=20.2 $\quad$ S.D. $=3.30$

Exercise's Preventive Health Action: The answers recorded from each aspect of the exercise's preventive health action level on the questionnaire, consisted of 4 items. The total score ranged from 4 to 16 points. The average exercise preventive health action was 9.4 , SD was 4.43 , Minimum was 4 and Maximum was 16. The majority of the study population had an inappropriate preventive health action level towards exercise at $53.7 \%$, followed by an appropriate
level at $24 \%$ and a highly appropriate level with 22.3 of the study population (Table 4.7). More than $30 \%$ never exercise and had inappropriate knowledge about exercise. The details of the data are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4.7: Distribution of Exercise's Preventive Health Action Level

| Exercise's Preventive Health |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Action Level | Number | Percentage |
| (Points) | $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | $(100 \%)$ |
| Inappropriate (4-10) | 188 | 53.7 |
| Appropriate (11-13) | 84 | 24.0 |
| Highly Appropriate (14-16) | 78 | 22.3 |
| Minimum $=4 \quad$ Maximum $=16$ | Mean=9.4 | S.D. $=4.43$ |

Stress Management's Preventive Health Action: The answers recorded from stress management's preventive health action level part of the questionnaire, included a total of 4 items. The total score ranged from 4 to 16 points. The average stress management's preventive health action was 12.2 , SD was 2.68 , Minimum was 5 and Maximum was 16. The majority of the study population had an appropriate stress management preventive health action level at $37.1 \%$, followed by a highly appropriate level at $34.9 \%$ and an inappropriate level at $28 \%$ of the study population (Table 4.8). Most of the respondents had regularly practiced preventive health actions aimed at stress management. They realized that many problems can be solved by the techniques they use. The details of the data are shown in Appendix D.

Table 4.8: Distribution of Stress Management's Preventive Health Action Level

| Stress Management's <br> Preventive Health Action Level <br> (Point) | Number <br> $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | Percentage <br> $(100 \%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Inappropriate (4-10) |  |  |
| Appropriate (11-13) | 130 | 28.0 |
| Highly appropriate (14-16) | 122 | 37.1 |
| Minimum=5 Maximum=16 | Mean=12.2 | S.D. $=2.68$ |

## Part 6. Prevalence of DM

## Prevalence of DM from blood sugar level

From blood sugar data analysis, the majority of the subjects, 340 , ( $97.1 \%$ ) in this study had a blood sugar level of lower than $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. The remaining ten subjects of the study population had a blood sugar level of at $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and over (2.9\%). The average blood sugar level was $118.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, with the standard deviation of blood sugar level at $40.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, minimum blood sugar level at $58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$, and the maximum blood sugar level at $463 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (Table 4.9).

Table 4.9 : Blood Sugar Level

| Blood Sugar <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | Number <br> $(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | Percentage <br> $(100)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<200$ | 340 | 97.1 |
| $\geq 200$ | 10 | 2.9 |
| Mean $=118.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\mathrm{SD}=40.77 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl} \quad$ Min $=58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | Max $=463 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |

## Demographic characteristic of prevalence of DM

Ten persons from the 350 -study population had of blood sugar level of $\geq 200$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$. The results show that: $1.8 \%$ of the respondents 60 years old or younger had DM and $0.1 \%$ of the respondents over 60 years old had DM. Breaking it down by gender, $5.1 \%$ of the males had DM and $1.4 \%$ of the females had DM. In terms of marital status, $3.5 \%$ of the widowed and $2.8 \%$ of the married respondents had DM. As far as their occupation, $5.1 \%$ of the housekeepers, $5 \%$ of the employees and $2.8 \%$ of the agriculture related had DM. Breaking it down by education, $8.3 \%$ with no formal education, $3.5 \%$ with a secondary school education and $2.7 \%$ with a primary school education had DM. In the family history classification of DM, 4.7\% had parents with DM and $5.2 \%$ had sibling with DM while $2.6 \%$ had no family history of DM. In terms of ailments of DM patients, $60 \%$ of the respondents with DM had uncontrolled blood sugar and $0.3 \%$ had no ailments. In terms of the body mass index (B.M.I.), $2.7 \%$ that had normal B.M.I. had DM and $4.5 \%$ of $>25 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ of B.M.I. had DM. As far as the last physical examination, $6.2 \%$ of the population that had had a physical examination within the past six month had DM and $0.7 \%$ of the population had never had a physical examination had DM (Table 4.10).

Table 4.10 : Demographic Characteristics and blood Sugar Level

| Characteristic | Number$(\mathrm{n}=350)$ | Blood Sugar (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Age |  |  |  |
| $<60$ years | 266 | 1.8 | 98.2 |
| $\geq 60$ years | 84 | 0.1 | 99.9 |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Female | 213 | 1.4 | 98.6 |
| Male | 137 | 5.1 | 94.9 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |
| Married | 313 | 2.8 | 97.2 |
| Widowed | 28 | 3.5 | 96.5 |
| Single | 6 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Divorced/Separated | 3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |
| Agriculture | 250 | 2.8 | 97.2 |
| Housekeeper | 39 | 5.1 | 94.9 |
| Employee | 20 | 5.0 | 95.0 |
| Commercial | 29 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Government Officer | 6 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Other | 6 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Education |  |  |  |
| Primary School | 217 | 2.7 | 97.3 |
| No formal education | 36 | 8.3 | 91.7 |
| Secondary School | 28 | 3.5 | 96.5 |
| Bachelor's Degree or higher | 8 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |

Table 4.10 : (Cont.) Demographic Characteristics and blood Sugar Level

| Characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=350) \end{aligned}$ | Blood Sugar (\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |
| Family History of DM |  |  |  |
| Parents have DM | 21 | 4.7 | 95.3 |
| Sibling have DM | 19 | 5.2 | 94.8 |
| Grandfathers/Grandmother | 4 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| No Family History | 306 | 2.6 | 97.4 |
| Ailment |  |  |  |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 15 | 60.0 | 40.0 |
| Heart Disease | 7 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Hypertension | 19 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| No Ailment | 309 | 0.3 | 99.7 |
| Body Mass Index ( $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| $<18.5$ | 47 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 18.5-25 | 215 | 2.7 | 97.3 |
| >25 | 88 | 4.5 | 95.5 |
|  |  | ยาลัย |  |
| Last Physical Examination |  |  |  |
| Within the last 6 months | 145 | 6.2 | 93.8 |
| More than 6 months ago | 70 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Never had an examination | 135 | 0.7 | 99.3 |

## Blood Sugar Level by History of DM

Fifteen persons from the 350 respondents had a history of DM (or DM's patient from diagnosis by physician). Nine patients or $60 \%$ of the population with a history of DM cannot control their blood sugar (at $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ and over) and six patients or $40 \%$ of the population history of DM can control their blood sugar (lower
$200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). In the people who have no history of DM, I found that one person or $0.29 \%$ of study population with no history of DM had a blood sugar level of more than $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ (Table 4.11 ).

Table 4.11 : Percentage of Blood Sugar by History of DM

| History of DM | Blood Sugar Level |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ | $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(\%)$ |  |
| Have DM | $9(60.00)$ | $6(40.00)$ | 15 |
| Don't have DM | $1(0.29)$ | $334(99.70)$ | 335 |
| Total | $10(2.90)$ | $340(97.10)$ | 350 |

## Part 7. Association between Knowledge, Attitude, and Modifying

 Factors with Preventive Health Action of DMThe association among knowledge, attitude, and modifying factors with preventive health action of DM will be presented in 3 sections as follows:
7.1 The association between the knowledge level and the preventive health action level of DM
7.2 The association between the attitude level and the preventive health action level of DM
7.3 The association between the modifying factors level and the preventive health action level of DM

### 7.1 Association between Knowledge Level and Preventive Health Action Level of DM

From the analysis of the research data the following was concluded: Knowledge of DM is not significantly associated with preventive behavior ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). The results showed that, the study population at Wangkeeree Sub-District had a low knowledge level of DM but they had an appropriate preventive health action and high appropriate preventive health action. Therefore, preventive health action of DM is not associated with knowledge of DM (Table 4.12).

Table 4.12 : Association between Knowledge Level and Preventive Health Action Level of DM

| Knowledge Level | Preventive Health Action Level (\%) |  |  |  | Chi- P-ValueSquare |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inappropriate | Appropriate | Highly | Total (\%) |  |  |
|  | Appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 14 | 29 | 4 | 47 | 1.333 | 0.856 |
|  | (29.7) | (61.7) | (8.6) | (100) |  |  |
| Moderate | 23 | 39 | 9 | 71 |  |  |
|  | (32.4) | (54.9) | (12.7) | (100) |  |  |
| High | 65 | 136 | 31 | 232 |  |  |
|  | (29.1) | (58.6) | (13.3) | (100) |  |  |
| Total | 102 | 204 | 44 | 350 |  |  |
|  | (29.1) | (58.2) | (12.5) | (100) |  |  |

### 7.2 Association between Attitude Level and Preventive Health Action Level of DM

Attitude of DM is significantly associated with preventive health actions ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). The results showed that the study population had a low attitude level and
also had inappropriate preventive health actions. The study population had a moderate attitude and high attitude level; they had appropriate preventive health actions and highly appropriate preventive health action. Therefore, preventive health action of DM is associated with the attitude towards DM (Table 4.13).

Table 4.13 : Association between Attitude level and Preventive Health Action Level of DM

| Attitude Level | Preventive Health Action Level (\%) |  |  | Total (\%) | Chi- <br> Square | P- <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inappropriate | Appropriate | Highly Appropriate |  |  |  |
| Low | 34 |  | 1 | 44 | 41.415 | 0.001 |
|  | (77.2) | (20.4) | (2.2) | (100) |  |  |
| Moderate | 46 |  | 25 | 213 |  |  |
|  | (21.5) | (66.6) | (11.7) | (100) |  |  |
| High | 22 | 53 | (2) 18 | 93 |  |  |
|  | (23.6) | (56.9) | (19.6) | (100) |  |  |
| Total | 102 | 204 | 44 | 350 |  |  |
|  | (21.9) | (58.3) | (12.6) | (100) |  |  |

### 7.3 Association between Modifying Factors and Preventive Health <br> Action of DM

Modifying factors of DM are associated with preventive behavior ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ). The results showed that the study population who had a low modifying factor level had an inappropriate preventive health action, and those with high modifying factor level had an appropriate preventive health action. Therefore, preventive health actions of DM are associated with modifying factors of DM (Table 4.14).

Table 4.14: Association between Modifying Factors and Preventive Health Action of DM

| Modifying | Preventive Health Action Level (\%) |  | Total | Chi- | P- <br> Factors Level | Inappropriate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Appropriate | Highly <br> $(\%)$ | Square | Value |  |  |
| Low | 93 | 138 | 33 | 264 | 41.415 | 0.001 |
| Moderate | $(35.2)$ | $(52.3)$ | $(12.5)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |
|  | 9 | 59 | 4 | 72 |  |  |
| High | $(12.5)$ | $(81.9)$ | $(5.6)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |
|  | 0 | 7 | 7 | 14 |  |  |
|  | $(0)$ | $(50.0)$ | $(50.0)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |
| Total | 102 | 204 | 44 | 350 |  |  |
|  | $(29.1)$ | $(58.2)$ | $(12.7)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |

## Part 8. Association between Preventive Health Actions of DM and the Prevalence of DM

The association between the preventive health actions of DM and the prevalence of DM are presented as follows:
8.1 The association between the total preventive health action and blood sugar level
8.2 The association between the nutrition's preventive health action and blood sugar level
8.3 The association between exercise's preventive health action level and blood sugar level
8.4 The association between stress management's preventive health action level and blood sugar level

### 8.1 Association between Total Preventive Health Action Level and Blood Sugar Level

The study found that blood sugar level is not associated with preventive behavior ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). The study population at Wangkeeree Sub-District showed inappropriate preventive health action and appropriate preventive health action but they had normal blood sugar levels. (lower $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) Therefore, the preventive health action of DM is not associated with blood sugar level (Table 4.15).

Table 4.15: Association between Preventive Health Action Level and Blood Sugar Levels


### 8.2 Association between Nutrition's Preventive Health Action Level and Blood Sugar Levels

From the analysis of the research data, blood sugar level is not associated with nutrition's preventive behavior ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). The results showed that the study population at Wangkeeree Sub-District either had inappropriate nutrition's preventive health action or appropriate nutrition's preventive health action and they had normal blood sugar levels. (lower than $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ) Therefore, nutrition's
preventive health action of DM is not associated with blood sugar levels (Table 4.16).

Table 4.16: Association between Nutrition's Preventive Health Action Level and Blood Sugar Level

| Nutrition's Preventive | Blood Sugar Level (\%) |  | Total | Chi- | P- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Action Level <br> (Points) | $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $(\%)$ | Square | Value |
| Inappropriate |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(7-18)$ | $(99.0)$ | $(1.0)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |
| Appropriate | 244 | 9 | 203 |  |  |
| $(19-28)$ | $(96.4)$ | $(3.6)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |
| Total | 340 | 10 | 350 |  |  |
|  | $(97.1)$ | $(2.9)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |

### 8.3 Association between Exercise's Preventive Health Action Level and

## Blood Sugar Levels

The analysis of the research data found that blood sugar level is not significantly associated with exercise's preventive behavior ( $p>0.05$ ). The results showed that the study population at Wangkeeree Sub-District had inappropriate exercise's preventive health action and appropriate exercise's preventive health action and they had normal blood sugar levels (lower $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). Therefore, reported exercise's preventive health action of DM is not associated with blood sugar levels (Table 4.17).

Table 4.17: Association between Exercise's Preventive Health Action Level and Blood Sugar Levels

| Exercise's Preventive | Blood Sugar Level (\%) |  |  | Total | Chi- | P- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Action Level | $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $(\%)$ | Square | Value |  |
| (Points) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inappropriate | 185 | 3 | 188 | 2.321 | 0.134 |  |
| $(4-10)$ | $(98.4)$ | $(1.6)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |  |
| Appropriate | 155 | 7 | 162 |  |  |  |
| $(11-16)$ | $(95.7)$ | $(4.3)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |  |
| Total | 340 | 10 | 350 |  |  |  |
|  | $(97.1)$ | $(2.9)$ | $(100)$ |  |  |  |

### 8.4 Association between Stress Management's Preventive Health

## Action Level and Blood Sugar Level

The analysis of research data did not reveal a significant association between stress management's preventive health action level and blood sugar level ( $\mathrm{p}>0.05$ ). The results showed that, the study population at Wangkeeree Sub-District had inappropriate stress management's preventive health action and appropriate stress management's preventive health action levels; they had normal blood sugar levels (lower $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ ). Therefore, the stress management's preventive health action of DM is not associated with blood sugar levels (Table 4.18).

Table 4.18: Association between Stress Management's Preventive Health Action Level and Blood Sugar Level

| Stress Management's <br> Preventive Health <br> Action Level (Points) | Blood Sugar Level (\%) |  | Total (\%) | Chi- <br> Square | P- <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ | $\geq 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}$ |  |  |  |
| Inappropriate | 96 | 2 | 98 | 0.323 | 0.566 |
| (4-10) | (98.0) | (2.0) | (100) |  |  |
| Appropriate | 244 | 8 | 252 |  |  |
| (11-16) | (96.8) | (3.2) | (100) |  |  |
| Total | 340 | 10 | 350 |  |  |
|  | (97.1) | (100) | (100) |  |  |

