

**ENERGY INTEGRATION OF GAS SEPARATION PLANT: RETROFIT OF
GAS SEPARATION UNIT, DESIGN OF STABILIZER UNIT AND
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF PROPANE/LPG PRODUCTION**

Pongsakorn Suwannapisit

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science
The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with
The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
Case Western Reserve University and Institut Français du Pétrole
2005
ISBN 974-9651-86-3

122742843

Thesis Title: Energy Integration of Gas Separation Plant: Retrofit of Gas Separation Unit, Design of Stabilizer Unit and Sensitivity Analysis of Propane/LPG Production

By: Pongsakorn Suwannapisit

Program: Petroleum Technology

Thesis Advisors: Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond
Dr. Vivan Thammongkol
Mr. Nipon Kanongchaiyot

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Nantaya Yanumet
..... College Director
(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

Thesis Committee:

Kitipat Siemanond
.....
(Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond)

Vivan Thammongkol
.....
(Dr. Vivan Thammongkol)

Nipon Kanongchaiyot
.....
(Mr. Nipon Kanongchaiyot)

Thirasak Rirksomboon
.....
(Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

Pramoch Rangsunvigit
.....
(Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

ABSTRACT

4673007063: PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

Pongsakorn Suwannapisit: Energy Integration of Gas Separation Plant: Retrofit of Gas Separation Unit, Design of Stabilizer Unit and Sensitivity Analysis of Propane/LPG Production

Thesis Advisors: Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond, Dr. Vivan Thammongkol. and Mr. Nipon Kanongchaiyot, 187 pp. ISBN 974-9651-86-3

Keywords: Pinch Analysis/ Energy Recovery/ Process Integration/
Heat Exchanger Network

Gas separation plant (GSP) of PTT Company is considered as a case study in this research work to minimize energy consumption in the process, which is simulated by commercial simulation software, PROII. There are three subtopics, the first one is the retrofit of gas separation unit and the second one is the energy efficient design of stabilizer unit for the future construction. Both topics are related with pinch analysis concept, using the combination of heat exchanger network and column targeting technique. Studying sensitivity analysis of propane and LPG production is the last topic. The goal is to minimize heat duty of distillation column by varying side drawn tray location and column pressure. In the gas separation unit, two options were presented by adding side reboiler and repiping hot streams. The maximum energy saving is 9.26 and 10.86 % of cold and hot utility consumption. Similarly, the grass root design of the stabilizer unit, the integration technique can save cold and hot utility consumption about 47.63 and 17.40 %. Finally, at side drawn tray no. between 5 and 30 and increasing pressure of 0.3 bar are selected as the condition for producing propane and LPG.

บทคัดย่อ

พงศกร สุวรรณพิสิทธิ์: การบูรณาการความร้อนในโรงแยกก๊าซธรรมชาติ (Energy Integration of Gas Separation Plant: Retrofit of Gas Separation Unit, Design of Stabilizer Unit and Sensitivity Analysis of Propane/LPG Production) อ. ที่ปรึกษา: ดร. กิติพัฒน์ สีมานนท์ ดร.วิวรรณ ชรรรมงคล และ นาย นิพนธ์ คนองชัยยศ 187 หน้า ISBN 974-9651-86-3

งานวิจัยนี้เป็นการศึกษาหาความเป็นไปได้เพื่อลดการใช้พลังงานในกระบวนการแยกก๊าซธรรมชาติของ บริษัท ปตท. จำกัด มหาชน โปรแกรมจำลองกระบวนการ PROII PROVISION ถูกนำมาประยุกต์เพื่อใช้ในการหาคุณสมบัติทางพลังงานกลวิทยา งานวิจัยนี้ได้แบ่งออกเป็น 3 หัวข้อย่อย ได้แก่ การบูรณาการทางความร้อนในหน่วยแยกก๊าซธรรมชาติ, การบูรณาการทางความร้อนและออกแบบเครื่องถ่ายเครื่องแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อนในหน่วยสเตบิไลเซอร์ และการศึกษาผลกระทบในด้านพลังงานเพื่อการผลิตโพรเพน และ ก๊าซหุงต้ม ใน 2 หัวข้อแรกจะเป็นการประยุกต์หลักการของเทคโนโลยีพินซ์ ส่วนหัวข้อสุดท้ายเป็นการหาดำเนินการของชั้นสมดุลที่ใช้ในการผลิตก๊าซหุงต้มและศึกษาผลของการเพิ่มความดันในหอกลั่นที่มีต่อการใช้พลังงานในหอ จากการศึกษาพบว่าในส่วนของหน่วยแยกก๊าซการเพิ่มเครื่องแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อน (side reboiler) ในหอกลั่นจะสามารถลดการใช้พลังงานลงได้มากที่สุดถึง 9.26 และ 10.86 % ของพลังงานในการหล่อเย็นและการให้ความร้อน สำหรับในหน่วยสเตบิไลเซอร์ก็พบว่าการบูรณาการความร้อนสามารถช่วยลดการใช้พลังงานได้ถึง 47.63 และ 17.40 % ของพลังงานในการหล่อเย็นและการให้ความร้อน และการเพิ่มความดันของหอกลั่นแยกโพรเพนอีก 0.3 บาร์และผลิตก๊าซหุงต้มที่ชั้นสมดุลระหว่าง 5 ถึง 30 เป็นสถานะที่เหมาะสมในการผลิต

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work would not have been possible without the assistance of the following individuals.

First of all, I greatly appreciate Asst.Prof. Kitipat Siemanond and Dr. Vivan Thammongkol, my thesis advisors, for providing invaluable recommendations, creative comments, and kindly support throughout the course of this research work.

The other ones that could not be forgotten in this work is Mr.Nipon Kanongchaiyot and PTT's staff. I would like to thank them for a valuable suggestions and comments on the practicality of this work.

I would like to thank Assoc.Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit and Assoc.Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon for being my thesis committees. Their suggestions and comments are very valuable for me and this work.

I am thankful for the partial funding of this thesis work provided by Postgraduate Education and Research Programs in Petroleum and Petrochemical Technology (PTT Consortium). I would like to thank Thailand Research Fund (TRF) for supporting the research fund and PTT Public Company Limited for data and information this work.

Two years in The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University will be meaningless to me, if there won't be my friends and PPC staffs who support, encourage and welcome me all the time.

Last but not least, I am deeply indebted to my family for their forever and unconditionally love, understanding, encouragement and support me all the time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| Title Page | i |
| Abstract (in English) | iii |
| Abstract (in Thai) | iv |
| Acknowledgements | v |
| Table of Contents | vi |
| List of Tables | x |
| List of Figures | xi |
| CHAPTER | |
| I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE SURVEY | |
| 2.1 Process Integration | 2 |
| 2.2 Pinch Technology and Pinch Analysis | 2 |
| 2.3 Pinch Analysis for Heat Exchanger Networks (HENs) | 7 |
| 2.3.1 Setting the Temperature Interval | 8 |
| 2.3.2 Generating Cascade Diagram | 9 |
| 2.3.3 Generating Grand Composite Curve (GCC) | 10 |
| 2.3.4 Design of Heat Exchanger Network | 11 |
| 2.4 Pinch Analysis for Distillation Column (Distillation Column Targeting) | 15 |
| 2.4.1 Converge Simulation of Distillation Column and Indication of Light and Heavy Keys | 17 |
| 2.4.2 Calculation of Minimum Vapor and Liquid Flow Rates and Minimum Vapor and Liquid Enthalpies | 18 |

| CHAPTER | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| 2.4.3 Calculating of Net Heat Deficit (H_{def}) at Each Stage Temperature | 19 |
| 2.4.4 Cascading of Heat Deficit and Generating Column Grand Composite Curve (CGCC) | 21 |
| 2.5 Energy Integration | 24 |
| 2.6 Literature Review | 26 |
| 2.6.1 Development of Methodology for Pinch Analysis | 26 |
| 2.6.2 Applications of Pinch Analysis | 29 |
| III PROCEDURE | 31 |
| 3.1 Retrofit of Gas Separation Unit (Design and Actual Case) | 31 |
| 3.1.1 Data Extraction and Plant Simulation | 31 |
| 3.1.2 Calculation and Designing with Pinch Analysis | 32 |
| 3.1.3 Energy Integration | 33 |
| 3.2 New Design of Stabilizer Unit | 34 |
| 3.1.1 Data Extraction and Plant Simulation | 34 |
| 3.2.2 Calculation and Designing with Pinch Analysis | 34 |
| 3.2.3 Energy Integration | 35 |
| 3.3 Sensitivity Analysis of Propane and LPG Production | 35 |
| IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 37 |
| 4.1 Retrofit of Gas Separation Unit (Design Case) | 37 |
| 4.1.1 Heat Exchanger Networks of Gas Separation Unit | 37 |

| CHAPTER | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| 4.1.2 Distillation Column Targeting of Gas Separation Unit | 42 |
| 4.1.3 Energy Integration of Gas Separation Unit | 44 |
| 4.2 Retrofit of Gas Separation Unit (Actual Case) | 45 |
| 4.2.1 Heat Exchanger Networks of Gas Separation Unit | 46 |
| 4.2.2 Distillation Column Targeting of Gas Separation Unit | 47 |
| 4.2.3 Energy Integration of Gas Separation Unit | 51 |
| 4.3 New Design of Stabilizer Unit | 52 |
| 4.3.1 Heat Exchanger Networks of Stabilizer Unit | 52 |
| 4.3.2 Distillation Column Targeting of Stabilizer Unit | 54 |
| 4.3.3 Energy Integration of Stabilizer Unit | 55 |
| 4.4 Sensitivity Analysis of Propane and LPG Production | 57 |
| V CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 63 |
| REFERENCES | 64 |
| APPENDICES | 67 |
| Appendix A Data and Information of Gas Separation Unit from Simulation Model (Design Case) | 67 |
| Appendix B Data and Information of Gas Separation Unit from Simulation Model (Actual Case) | 120 |
| Appendix C Data and Information of Stabilizer Unit from Simulation Model | 173 |

| CHAPTER | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| Appendix D Data and Information of Propane/LPG Production from Simulation Model | 183 |
| CURRICULUM VITAE | 187 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | PAGE | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Typical ΔT_{\min} values for various types of processes | 6 |
| 2.2 | The hot and cold streams data | 7 |
| 2.3 | Data specification of feed (F), distillate (D) and bottoms (B) | 16 |
| 2.4 | Simulation results for column with ten stages | 17 |
| 2.5 | The result of calculation of minimum vapor and liquid flow rates and minimum vapor and liquid enthalpies | 19 |
| 2.6 | The result from calculating of net heat deficit (H_{def}) at each stage temperature | 20 |
| 2.7 | Data of H_{cas} to construct CGCC | 21 |
| 3.1 | Accuracy parameter of process simulation | 31 |
| 3.2 | Product quality specification of gas separation unit | 32 |
| 3.3 | Product quality specification in case of propane production | 36 |
| 4.1 | Utility usage summary of gas separation plant (GSPII) for ΔT_{\min} between 1 to 9 °C | 40 |
| 4.2 | Energy savings and %energy recovery of gas separation unit | 45 |
| 4.3 | The utility summary for ΔT_{\min} of 1 to 5.551 °C | 46 |
| 4.4 | Reboiler and condenser duty of C2 separator, deethanizer and depropanizer columns | 49 |
| 4.5 | Energy savings and %energy recovery of gas separation unit | 52 |
| 4.6 | ΔT_{\min} experience of Linnhoff March (www.linnhoffmarch.com) | 54 |
| 4.7 | Data of propane and LPG flow rate of actual composition | 59 |
| 4.8 | Result of varying side drawn tray number to produce Propane and LPG | 60 |
| 4.9 | The temperature of stream 78302 when changing pressure | 61 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1 | The rubic cube indicating the development of pinch technology | 3 |
| 2.2 | A simple flow scheme with temperature-enthalpy profiles | 4 |
| 2.3 | Improving flow scheme with temperature-enthalpy | 5 |
| 2.4 | Shifted temperature scale and temperature intervals | 8 |
| 2.5 | Net energy required at each interval | 9 |
| 2.6 | Cascade diagram | 9 |
| 2.7 | Generating grand composite curve | 10 |
| 2.8 | The advantage of grand composite curve in utility consumption | 11 |
| 2.9 | The effect of “rule of thumb” | 13 |
| 2.10 | Threshold problem behavior | 14 |
| 2.11 | Grid diagram | 15 |
| 2.12 | Practical near-minimum thermodynamic condition (PNMTC) | 16 |
| 2.13 | Evaluation of enthalpy deficit | 20 |
| 2.14 | Constructing the CGCC using stagewise enthalpy deficits modification of CGCC | 22 |
| 2.15 | Using column grand composite curve to identify column modifications | 23 |
| 2.16 | Appropriate integration of a distillation column with the background process | 25 |
| 3.1 | Modification of heat exchanger networks | 33 |
| 4.1 | Threshold problem in hot utility | 38 |
| 4.2 | Threshold problem in cold utility | 38 |
| 4.3 | The grand composite curve of various ΔT_{\min} in range of threshold problem | 39 |

| FIGURE | PAGE |
|--|-------------|
| 4.4 Grand composite curve at ΔT_{\min} of existing process (design case) | 40 |
| 4.5 Grid diagram of existing gas separation unit (design case) | 41 |
| 4.6 CGCC of C2 plus separator | 43 |
| 4.7 CGCC of deethanizer column | 43 |
| 4.8 CGCC of depropanizer column | 44 |
| 4.9 The integration of gas separation unit | 45 |
| 4.10 The grand composite curve of various ΔT_{\min} in range of threshold problem (actual case) | 46 |
| 4.11 The GCC of existing unit ($\Delta T_{\min} = 4.24$). | 47 |
| 4.12 The grid diagram of existing unit (actual case) | 48 |
| 4.13 CGCC of C2 separator column (actual case) | 49 |
| 4.14 CGCC of deethanizer column (actual case). | 50 |
| 4.15 CGCC of depropanizer column (actual case). | 50 |
| 4.16 The integration of gas separation unit (actual case). | 51 |
| 4.17 The integration between background process and C2 separator | 52 |
| 4.18 Effect of threshold problem in stabilizer unit | 53 |
| 4.19 The GCC of stabilizer unit with various ΔT_{\min} | 54 |
| 4.20 Grid diagram of stabilizer unit before integration | 55 |
| 4.21 CGCC of stabilizer unit | 56 |
| 4.22 The integration of stabilizer unit | 56 |
| 4.23 The grid diagram of stabilizer unit after integration | 57 |
| 4.24 Diagram of propane and LPG production | 57 |
| 4.25 Effect of side drawn tray number | 58 |
| 4.26 The result of varying pressure at side drawn tray no.6 | 59 |
| 4.27 Material and Energy balance (a) for condenser (b) for reboiler | 61 |
| 4.28 The effect between increasing pressure and %error of UA | 62 |