CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

This chapter presents the results for the dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF)prevention and -control behavior levels among the family health leaders, and the
relationships between predisposing, reinforcing, and enabling factors. The study
population was 400 family health leaders in Tamode District, Phatthalung Province.
The data were collected by self-administered questionnaire, from 1 April 2004 to 15
June 2004, which was in mid-summer and the rainy season in Thailand, when the
prevalence of DHF is normally high.

Part 1. General Socio-demographic Characteristics

The socio-demographic characteristics of the sample group consisted of gender, age, marital status, religion, education level, occupation, income, number of family members, and training in public health services.

Gender: 62.2% of the study group was female and 37.8% male. 32.0% were aged 36-45 years and 29.5% 26-35 years. For marital status, 70.3% were married/couples and 25.0% were single. Most members of the study group were Buddhist (55.5%), while 44.5% were Muslim. For occupation, most worked in agriculture (59.8%), and 14.3% were employees. Most (48.0%) had incomes of 3,001-6,000 Baht/month, and 21.0% 6,001-9,000 Baht/month. Most families had 1-4

members (53.5%), with 43.75% having 5-8 members. Most families had children aged < 15 years (73.0%). 67.8% of the family health leaders had attended a seminar about public health activities (Table 1).

Table 1: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by demographic characteristics.

Dama anamh!!-		Number	Percentage	
Demographic ch	aracteristics	(N=400)	(100.0)	
Gender	Males	151	37.8	
	Females	249	62.2	
Age	< 17 years	31	7.8	
	18 – 25 years	62	15.5	
	26 – 35 years	118	29.5	
	36 – 45 years	128	32.0	
	46 – 55 years	46	11.5	
	56 – 65 years	13	3.3	
	> 65 years	2	0.5	
	\overline{X} = 34.35 S.D. = 10.98	Min = 13	Max = 71	
Marital status	Single	100	25.0	
	Couple/Married	281	70.3	
	Widowed/Divorced/Separated	19	4.8	
Religion	Buddhism	222	55.5	
	Islam (Muslim)	178	44.5	
Education level	None	5	1.3	
	Primary school (grades 1-6)	137	34.3	
	Secondary school	80	20.0	
	High school/Vocational education	117	29.3	

Table 1: (Cont.) Number and percentage of family health leaders, by demographic characteristics.

Dama awan bir ab		Number	Percentage
Demographic ch	iaracteristics	(N=400)	(100.0)
Education level	Diploma/High vocational education	23	5.8
	Bachelor or equivalent	33	8.3
	Other	5	1.3
Occupation	Agriculture	239	59.8
	Employed	57	14.3
	Commerce	19	4.8
	Housewife	15	3.8
	Official/Private sector	21	5.3
	Unemployed	18	4.5
	Other (student)	31	7.8
Income	0-3,000 Baht/month	66	16.5
	3,001 – 6,000 Baht/month	192	48.0
	6,001 – 9,000 Baht/month	84	21.0
	9,001 - 12,000 Baht/month	27	6.8
	12,001 - 15,000 Baht/month	13	3.3
	>15,000 Baht/month	18	4.5
Family size	1 – 4 members	214	53.5
	5 – 8 members	175	43.7
	9 – 12 members	11	2.8
Family with	Yes	292	73.0
children aged <			
15 years			
	No	108	27.0
Attended public	Yes	271	67.8
health seminar			
	No	129	32.2

Part 2. Variables for predisposing, reinforcing & enabling factors, and DHF prevention/control behaviors

Predisposing factors consist of knowledge about DHF symptoms and attitude towards DHF, and attitude towards DHF-prevention/control behaviors.

According to the study, most of the sample group had high-level knowledge about the disease (79.0%), while knowledge about the DHF prevention/control was at a moderate level, at 21.0% (Table 2).

Table 2: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by knowledge and understanding of dengue, and dengue prevention/control

Vnovilodgo lo	wal	Number	Percent	
Knowledge le	vei	(N=400)	(100.0)	
High (8-11 points)		316	79.0	
Medium (4-7 points)		84	21.0	
Low (0-3 points)		0	0	
$\overline{X} = 8.73$	S.D. 1.48	Min = 4	Max = 11	

It was found that knowledge among the sample group was as follows: 94.5% of the sample group knew that *Aedes* mosquitoes caused dengue symptoms (No. 1), 87.8% knew that the mosquitoes that caused dengue sought food during the daytime (No. 2), 67.8% of the sample group knew that children, from newborns to age 15 years, had a higher risk of contracting dengue than others (No. 5), 64.5% of the sample group knew that the mosquitoes that caused dengue normally laid their eggs in still water (No. 3),

whereas 34.0% of answered correctly about the symptoms of disease (No. 4), 93.8% knew how to prevent and eliminate mosquito-breeding areas, such as vases or other plant bowls, which needed to be drained every 7 days (No. 11), 91.8% knew how to prevent and eliminate breeding areas, especially in saucers, by using salt, detergent, vinegar, or Abate sand, which could kill mosquito larvae (No. 10), 88.8% knew how to prevent and eliminate mosquito-breeding areas in water storage in toilets or bathrooms by using Abate sand and regular cleaning the water containers every 7 days, which might eliminate mosquito larvae (No. 9), 83.% of the sample group knew how to prevent and eliminate mosquito-breeding areas in water containers by putting Abate sand and fish into water containers to kill mosquito larvae (No. 8), 86.5% knew that drinking-water containers needed to be properly covered to prevent and eliminate mosquito-breeding areas (No. 7), and 75.% answered correctly about how to prevent mosquito bite (No. 6) (Table 3).

Table 3: Number and percentage of family health leaders who answered correctly about dengue and dengue prevention/control

Knowledge/ understanding of DHF and DHF	Number	Percentage
prevention/control	(N=400)	(100.00)
1. Causes of dengue infection	378	94.5
2. Time when the mosquitoes that caused dengue seek food	331	87.8
3. Places where mosquitoes lay eggs	258	64.5
4. 4. Symptoms of people infected with dengue	136	34.0
5. High-risk persons for dengue infection	271	67.8
6. Prevention of mosquito bite	300	75.0
7. Eliminating mosquito-breeding areas, especially in	346	86.5
drinking-water containers		
8. Prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in	353	88.3
water containers for general use		
9. Prevention/elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in	355	88.8
water storage in bathrooms/toilets		
10. Prevention/elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in	367	91.8
saucers of food containers		
11. Prevention/elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in	375	93.8
plant bowls		

Attitudes towards dengue hemorrhagic fever

Considered by item, most attitudes were good (i.e. answered "agree" with the positive questions and "disagree" with the negative questions); 92.5% agreed that DHF can be prevented (No. 5); 91.3% agreed that everyone had the same risk of dengue infection (No. 1), and 90.3% agreed that dengue can cause death (No. 4). Incorrect attitudes among the sample group were as follows: 15.5% agreed that people infected with dengue might normally get a red rash on their skin and it was not taken seriously

(No. 7), and healthy people did not seem to be affected by dengue, although mosquitoes that carried the disease had bitten them (14.3%) (No. 6) (Table 4).

Table 4: Percentage of family health leaders by attitude to DHF

	Highly	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Highly	
Attitude to DHF	Agree				Disagree	\overline{X}
	%	%	%	%	%	
Positive statements						
1. Everyone has the same risk	41.5	49.8	4.8	3.3	0.8	4.28
of dengue infection						
2. Dengue can infect more	29.0	50.8	17.7	2.0	0.5	4.06
than once	7.1 0		. =			
3. Dengue can cause death	51.0	39.3	4.7	4.0	1.0	4.35
4. Dengue can be prevented	49.8	42.7	3.0	2.7	1.8	4.36
5. Illness caused by dengue	25.8	45.0	18.0	8.7	2.5	3.47
might waste resources for						
its care and treatment						
Negative statement						
6. Dengue only appears in	3.5	8.3	23.2	48.7	16.3	3.66
children; it does not affect						
adults						
7. Healthy people do not seem	2.5	11.8	23.2	48.7	16.3	4.01
to be affected by dengue,						
even though mosquitoes						
that carry the disease bite						
them						
8. People infected with	4.5	11.0	12.3	46.7	25.5	3.78
dengue normally might get						
a red rash on their skin and						
it is not taken seriously						

Attitude to DHF prevention/control behavior

The prevention/control of DHF attitudes ranged from a high level (84.3%) to a moderate level (15.8%). The average score was 57.17 (from a possible total score of 70), SD = 6.60; the lowest score was 36 and the highest 70, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Number and percentage of family health leaders by level of attitude to DHF prevention/control behavior

Lovel of attitude to DHE mu	Number	Percentage	
Level of attitude to DHF pro	(N=400)	(100.0)	
Positive (52-70 points)	337	84.3
Moderate (33-51 poin	ts)	63	15.8
Negative (14-32 point	s)	0	0
$\overline{X} = 57.17$	S.D. = 6.60	Min = 36	Max = 70

When considering each item, most attitudes were good (i.e., answered "agree" with the positive questions and "disagree" with the negative questions); 91.3% agreed the community needed to get involved to solve DHF problems effectively and continuously (No. 14). 90.2% felt that getting children to use mosquito nets during the daytime might reduce the risk of DHF infection (No. 4). And 89.4% felt that every family member needed to search for and eliminate mosquito-breeding areas inside and outside the house (No. 11). The incorrect attitudes were as follows: 15.3% felt it might take a lot of time and be difficult to eliminate mosquito-breeding areas (No. 6), and 10.5% agreed that putting Abate sand into the water might make it smelly and degrade water quality (No. 9).

Table 6: Percentage of family health leaders, by attitude to DHF prevention/control behavior.

Attitude to DHF prevention/control behavior	Highly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Highly Disagree	\overline{X}
prevention/control benavior	%	%	%	%	%	
Positive statement				-	_	
1. People bitten during the	28.5	50.5	15.3	4.7	1.0	4.01
daytime are at risk of DHF						
infection						
2. Children who stay at home have	18.7	51.3	21.3	6.7	2.0	3.78
a greater risk of DHF infection						
than children who play outdoors						
3. Mosquito larvae cause dengue	43.0	46.0	7.2	2.8	1.0	4.27
4. Having children use mosquito	48.7	41.5	7.5	1.5	7.5	4.36
nets during the daytime might						
reduce the risk of DHF						
infection						
5. Having covers on the tops of	41.0	44.3	8.8	4.8	1.3	4.19
water containers might reduce						
the risk of mosquitoes'						
breeding						
6. Disposal of unwanted matter,	44.3	42.5	6.8	5.0	1.5	4.23
such as broken dishes or						
automobile tires can reduce						
the spread of DHF						
7. Having fish in water	30.5	53.0	11.0	5.5	0	4.08
containers can kill mosquito						
larvae and prevent dengue						

Table 6: (Cont.) Percentage of family health leaders, by attitude to DHF prevention/control behavior.

Attitude to DHF	Highly	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Highly	
prevention/control behavior	Agree				Disagree	\overline{X}
prevention/control benavior	%	%	%	%	%	
8. Every family member needs to	55.7	33.7	5.8	4.4	0.8	4.40
search for and eliminate						
mosquito-breeding areas						
inside and outside the house						
9. Eliminating mosquito-breeding	35.3	53.7	5.8	4.4	0.8	4.18
areas is very easy and						
convenient for everyone						
10. The community needs to get	49.0	42.3	4.7	3.7	0.3	4.36
involved to solve DHF						
problems effectively and						
continuously						
Negative statements						
11. It might take a lot of time and	4.0	11.3	10.3	56.4	18.0	3.73
be difficult to eliminate						
mosquito-breeding areas						
12. Putting Abate sand into the	2.0	8.5	25.8	51.7	12.0	3.63
water may make it smelly and						
degrade water quality						
13. The elimination of mosquito-	2.3	6.0	8.0	49.4	34.3	4.08
breeding areas has been done						
by public health staff						
14. Only the smoky spray	1.8	6.5	11.7	63.5	16.5	3.87
technique would be used to						
eliminate Aedes mosquitoes						

The reinforcing factors comprised information perception about DHF and DHF prevention/control, and information sources and resource efficiency for DHF prevention/control. The study showed that:

Information perceptions about DHF and DHF prevention/control

Most of the family health leaders in the sample group (87.0%) had high-level information perceptions, followed by 12.5% at the moderate level, and 0.5% for low level (Table 7).

Table 7: Family health leaders, by score levels for information perceptions about DHF and DHF prevention/control.

Score levels for i	nformation perceptions about	DHF Nu	mber	Percentage		
and l	OHF prevention/control	(N=	=400)	(100.0)		
High level (8-9	points)	3	348	87.0		
Moderate leve	l (4-7 points)		50	12.5		
Low level (0-3		2	0.5			
$\overline{X} = 8.46$	S.D = .96	Min = 3	N	Max = 9		

The information perceptions of the sample group about prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas, by turning upside down, burning and burying unwanted matter, such as coconut shells, automobile tires, and tins (No. 7) rated at 98.8%, followed by the causes of infection with dengue (No. 2) (98.5%), information on dengue (No. 1) (98.3%), while information on prevention of mosquito bite (No. 9), personal prevention using mosquito nets (No. 7), and the dengue situation (No. 9) all rated 96.5%. Information about the prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in open containers using Abate sand to kill mosquito larvae every 1-3 months

(No. 8) rated 95.3%. Whereas, the same levels of information perception of the sample group (94.8%) were recorded for dengue needed to be treated immediately (No. 4), and children from newborn-15 years of age are at higher risk of DHF infection than others (No. 5). In contrast, the lowest level of information perception was on the reverse of dengue (No. 4) (Table 8).

Table 8: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by information perceptions of DHF and DHF prevention/control.

Information perceptions of DHF and DHF prevention/	Number	Percentage
control	(N=400)	(100.0)
1. Ever received information about dengue	393	98.3
2. Know about the causes of DHF infection	394	98.5
3. Know about the reverse of dengue	329	82.3
4. Know that treatment for dengue needs to be accurate and	379	94.8
immediate5. Know that children from newborn-15 years of age have higher risk of DHF infection than others	379	94.8
6. Know that mosquito larvae can be prevented and eliminated by placing salt, detergent, or vinegar in saucers	346	86.5
of food containers in mosquito-breeding areas in the house 7. Know that the prevention and elimination of mosquito- breeding areas by turning upside down, burning and	395	98.8
burying unwanted matter, such as coconut shells, automobile tires and tins, can prevent dengue 8. Know about the prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in open containers using Abate sand to kill	381	95.3
mosquito larvae every 1-3 months 9. Know that personal prevention from mosquito bite can prevent dengue	386	96.5

Types of information source from which the sample group had received information about DHF and DHF prevention/control

The most popular DHF information source was radio/television (68.5%), whereas the least popular was broadcast tower and voice online (38.5%). The most popular information source about DHF causes of infection was public health staff/health volunteers (88.4%), while the least popular was broadcast tower and voice online (33.8%). In addition, the most popular information source about treatment was public health staff/health volunteers (85.5%), whereas the least popular was broadcast tower and voice online. The most popular information source about prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in the house by putting salt, detergent or vinegar on the food container saucer was public health staff/health volunteers (75.0%), whereas the least popular was broadcast tower and voice online (17.8%) (Table 9).

Table 9: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by information sources about DHF and DHF prevention/control (more than 1 answer)

						Informati	on sources				
	Question item		Public health Radio/Television staff/Health volunteers		Handbill/Poster/ Newspaper		Neighbor/communit y leaders/monks/ teachers/ imam		Broadcast tower and voice online		
		Number p	ercentage (100.0)	Number p (N=400)	ercentage (100.0)	Number p (N=400)	ercentage (100.0)	Number p (N=400)	ercentage (100.0)	Number p (N=400)	ercentage (100.0)
1.	Get information about dengue	333	83.3	275	68.5	234	58.5	170	42.5	154	38.5
2.	Get information about causes of dengue infection	355	88.8	276	69.0	227	56.8	176	44.0	135	33.8
3.	Get information about the reverse of dengue	287	71.8	203	50.8	174	43.5	127	31.8	98	24.5
4.	Get necessary information about treatment for dengue to take action accurately and immediately	342	85.5	241	60.3	198	49.5	133	33.3	99	24.8
5.	Know about children from newborn to 15 years having a higher risk of dengue infection than others	316	79.0	234	58.5	208	52.0	133	33.3	102	25.5
6.	Know about prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in the house by putting salt, detergent, or vinegar on a food container saucer to eliminate mosquito larvae	300	75.0	184	46.0	186	46.5	143	35.8	71	17.8
7.	Know about the prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas by turning upside down, burning and burying unwanted matter, such as coconut shells, automobile tires and tins	361	90.3	236	59.0	218	54.5	167	41.8	113	28.3
8.	Know about the prevention and elimination of mosquito-breeding areas in open containers by using Abate sand to kill mosquito larvae every 1-3 months	358	89.5	199	49.8	187	46.8	132	33.0	82	20.5
9.	Know about personal protection from mosquito bite to prevent dengue	343	85.8	230	57.5	213	53.3	154	38.5	110	27.5

Adequacy of resources for DHF prevention/control

It was found that most of the sample group had inadequate resources for DHF prevention/control (54.5%), whereas only 45.5% of the sample group had adequate resources (Table 10).

Table 10: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by adequacy of resources for DHF prevention/control.

Adequacy of resources for DHF	Number	Percentage		
prevention/control	(N=400)	(100.0)		
Adequate	182	45.5		
Inadequate	218	54.5		

12.2% of the sample group had never had a mosquito net or it had been unavailable for everyone in the family, or it had been very old and unrepaired. 27.2% had not covered every water container and had not taken any measures to prevent and eliminate mosquito larvae and their breeding areas properly.

36.8% of the sample group had inadequate Abate sand and had taken no appropriate preventive measures against mosquito larvae or elimination of their breeding areas (Table 11).

Table 11: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by resources for DHF prevention/control.

	Types of resources		Percentage
Types of resources		(N=400)	(100.0)
Mosquito nets	Adequate	351	87.8
	Inadequate	49	12.2
Covers	Adequate	291	72.8
	Inadequate	109	27.2
Abate sand	Adequate	253	63.2
	Inadequate	147	36.8

The enabling factors comprised advice and follow-up by the public health staff about DHF and DHF prevention/control, and also attendance at a seminar about DHF prevention/control promotion activities.

Most of the sample group had received advice and follow-up by public health staff at a high level (88.0%), followed by advice and follow-up at medium and low levels (10.5 and 1.5%, respectively) (Table 12).

Table 12: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by level of advice and follow-up by public health staff when dengue had spread.

Score levels for advice and follow-up by public		Number	Percentage	
health staff w	health staff when dengue had spread			(100.0)
High level (14-20	High level (14-20 points)			88.0
Moderate level (7	-13 points)		42	10.5
Low level (0-6 po	ints)		6	1.5
$\overline{X} = 17.9$	S.D = 3.5	Min = 2	Max =	20
				

Most of the sample group reported the main information was advice and follow-up by the public health staff about the causes of dengue infection (No. 1) (97.2%), followed by advice about a healthy environment around the house, without mosquito-breeding areas (No. 5) (97.0%), and advice about dengue prevention (No. 3) (96.5%). The lowest scores were for advice about eliminating mosquito-breeding areas every week (No. 8) (91.5%), public health staff had told the sample group about observing the symptoms of people infected with dengue (No. 2), followed by 92.2% of the sample group who had been followed-up and made vigilant. Moreover, advice had been provided to most of the sample group more than once (Table 13).

Table 13: Number, percentage, and mean values of family health leaders, by advice and follow-up by public health staff when dengue had spread.

Advice and follow-up by public health staff when dengue had spread	Ne	ver	and follo	d advice ow-up by
_	N	%	N	⁰ / ₀
Advice about the causes of dengue infection	11	2.8	389	97.2
 Advice about observing symptoms of people infected with dengue 	31	7.8	369	92.2
3. Advice about preventing dengue	14	3.5	386	96.5
4. Advice about the harm of dengue	15	3.8	385	96.2
5. Advice about a healthy environment	12	3.0	388	97.0
around the house, free of mosquito- breeding areas				
6. Advice about cleaning water containers every week	28	7.0	372	93.0
7. Advice about using mosquito nets to prevent mosquito bite during day and	27	6.8	373	93.2
night8. Advice about eliminating mosquito- breeding areas every week	34	8.5	365	91.5
9. Offer of Abate sand by public health staff or health volunteers	23	5.8	377	94.2
10. Advice on using Abate sand	20	5.0	380	95.0

Attendance at seminar and joined promotional activities for DHF prevention/control

Most of the sample group had attended a seminar or joined promotional activities for DHF prevention/control at a low level (35.4%), followed by high and low levels (33.8 and 30.8%, respectively). The average was 5.2 points, S.D. = 3.4, minimum value = 1 point, maximum value = 10 points (Table 14)

Table 14: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by attending seminar or joining promotional activities for DHF prevention/control.

Score levels for attending seminar or joining			Number	Percentage
promotional activities for DHF prevention/control			(N=400)	(100.0)
High level (8-10 p	points)		135	33.8
Medium level (4-	7 points)		123	30.8
Low level (0-6 po	ints)		142	35.4
$\overline{X} = 5.2$	S.D = 3.4	Min = 1	Max = 10	

Most of the sample group had joined DHF prevention/control promotional activities (No. 2) (75.5%), followed by activities for searching for and eliminating mosquito-breeding areas every week (No. 4), and attending a seminar about dengue and DHF prevention/control (No. 1) (70.0 and 62.5%, respectively). 47.5% of the sample group participated in establishing a group for DHF prevention/control (No. 5), while 53.3% used to attend a local debate (No. 3). For frequency of joining promotional activities for DHF prevention/control, it was found that most of the sample group used participated more than once (Table 15).

Table 15: Percentage of family health leaders, by attending seminar or joining promotional activities for DHF prevention/control.

A	ttending seminar or joining promotional activities	Ne	ver	Ev	'er
	for dengue prevention/control				
		N	%	N	%
1.	Attended seminar about DHF and DHF prevention/control	110	27.5	290	62.5
2.	Joined promotional activities for DHF prevention/control	98	24.5	302	75.5
3.	Joined local debate on DHF prevention/control	187	46.8	213	53.3
4.	Joined searching and eliminating mosquito-breeding areas every week	120	30.0	280	70.0
5.	Participated in establishing group or club for DHF prevention/control	210	52.5	190	47.5

Analysis of the dengue prevention/control behaviors among the family health leaders in the study showed that most (51.3%) had good score levels for dengue prevention/control behaviors, followed by satisfactory and poor levels (47.5 and 1.2%, respectively), while the average was 7.6 points, S.D. = 1.8, minimum value = 1 point, maximum value = 10 points (Table 16).

Table 16: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by score levels for DHF prevention/control behaviors.

Capro lovola for de	els for dengue prevention/control behaviors		Percentage
Score levels for de	engue prevention/control benavio	(N=400)	(100.0)
Good (8-10 po	ints)	205	51.3
Fair (4-7 points	s)	190	47.5
Poor (0-3 point	es)	5	1.2
$\overline{X} = 7.6$	S.D = 1.8	Min = 1	Max = 10

The most-practiced dengue prevention/control behavior of the sample group related to a healthy environment and living space, in which it was very important every week to maintain areas without water or overgrown areas, which could serve as mosquito habitats (No. 9) (97.3%), followed by 96.3% for placing covers on the tops of drinking-water containers or containers of water for other uses every time after opening them (No. 1). On the other hand, the least practiced activity was cleaning up or draining water from saucers every week (No. 6) (39.8%), followed by the prevention and elimination of mosquito larvae in vases used in the house, such as on the table, on the shelf, in the spirit house, which needed to be cleared up every week (No. 5) (46.5%).

This study found that the sample group had better practices for dengue prevention/control behaviors, with regular practice of each activity rather than ignorance of practices, except for the practice of cleaning and draining water from saucers every week (No. 6), for which the sample group had answered lack of equipment rather than regular practice, which was the same as the practice for the prevention and elimination of mosquito larvae in vases used in the house that needed to be cleared up every week (No. 5) (Table 17)

Table 17: Number and percentage of family health leaders, by dengue prevention/control behaviors.

DHE managed on /or managed by brands	Regi	ılarly	Ne	ver
DHF prevention/control behavior	practice		practice	
	N	%	N	%
1. Having covers on the top of any water containers for	385	96.3	6	1.5
drinking water or water for other uses every time after				
opening them (N=391)				
2. Use of chemicals saucers of food containers to prevent	249	62.3	5	1.3
laying of mosquito eggs or draining the water every 7				
days (N=251)				
3. Use of Abate sand in toilet/bathroom every 1-3 months	303	75.7	69	17.3
(N=372)				
4. Prevention and elimination of mosquito larvae in any	264	66.0	9	2.3
container by cleaning every week (N=273)				
5. Prevention and elimination of mosquito larvae in vases	186	46.5	3	0.8
in the house by cleaning up every week (N=189)				
6. Cleaning and draining water from saucers every week,	159	39.8	2	0.5
to prevent laying of mosquito eggs (N=161)				
7. Going to bed with a mosquito net during the day or night	368	92.0	1	2.5
(N=369)				
8. Disposal of unwanted matter around the house, such as	368	92.0	10	2.5
tins, coconut shells, and automobile tires by putting				
upside down, burning or burying every week (N= 378)				
9. Taking care every week of a healthy environment in	389	97.3	11	2.8
living spaces, without water-filled or overgrown areas,				
which could be mosquito habitats ($N = 400$)				
10. Searching for and eliminating mosquito breeding areas	30	92.5	370	7.5
every Friday of the week (N= 400)				

Part 3. Relationship between socio-demographic, predisposing, enabling, and reinforcing factors in DHF prevention/control

3.1 Relationship between socio-demographic factors and DHF prevention/control.

The results showed that the relationship between socio-demographics--gender, marital status, religion, occupation--and DHF prevention/control behaviors, were as follows.

Gender: gender was not related to DHF prevention/control behavior without significance at .05 (p = .837); females demonstrated better DHF prevention/control behaviors than males, because more of the sample group of females had attended a seminar on DHF knowledge than males (Table 18).

Table 18: Relationship between gender and DHF prevention/control behaviors.

-	DHF preve	ntion/control			
Gender	beh	avior	Total	Chi-	D 37 1
Gender	Never	Regularly	- (%)	Square	P-Value
	practice	practice			
Male	71	80	151	0.052	0.837
	(47.0)	(53.0)	(100)		
Female	120	129	249		
	(48.2)	(51.8)	(100)		
Total	191	209	400	•	
	(47.8)	(52.2)	(100)		

Marital status: marital status was significantly related to DHF prevention/control behavior, at .05 (p = .011); the couples group had family members in their childhood they had to take for behavior and they had better DHF prevention/control behaviors than the single or widowed groups (Table 19).

Table 19: Relationship between marital status and DHF prevention/control behavior.

	DHF preve	ntion/control			
Marital	beh	avior	Total	Chi-	D 17-1
status	Never	Regularly	- (%)	Square	P-Value
	practice	practice			
Single	35	65	100	8.970	0.011
	(35.0)	(65.0)	(100)		
Couple	145	136	281		
	(51.6)	(48.4)	(100)		
Widowed	11	8	19		
	(57.9)	(42.1)	(100)		
Total	191	209	400		
	(47.8)	(52.2)	(100)		

Religion: religion was significantly related to DHF prevention/control behavior, at .05 (p = .017); which can be explained by the Ministry of Public Health's supporting people's knowledge, and the principal method used was by giving information to people who passed it on, such as monks and imams. In the Islam/Muslim religion, people believe the imam when he suggests they conduct DHF prevention/control behaviors. The Muslim people had better DHF prevention/control behaviors than the Buddhists (Table 20).

Table 20: Relationship between religion and DHF prevention/control behaviors.

-1!-!	D W.L.
eligion	P-Value
lhist	0.034
ı/Muslim	

Occupation: occupation was significantly related to DHF prevention/control behavior, at .01 (p = .008). Tamode District, Phatthalung Province, is a good area for agriculture, and the sample group worked in agriculture when the public health staff selected the family health leaders. They selected the agricultural group because they had more time to attend seminars than other occupations (Table 21).

Table 21: Relationship between occupation and DHF prevention/control behaviors.

	DHF preve	ntion/control				
Occupation	beh	behaviors		Chi-	D 37-1	
Occupation -	Never	Regularly	- (%)	Square	P-Value	
	practice	practice				
Agriculture	128	111	239	17.383	0.008	
	(53.6)	(46.4)	(100)			
Employed	29	28	57			
	(50.9)	(49.1)	(100)			
Commerce	8	11	19			
	(42.1)	(57.9)	(100)			
Housewife	7	8	15			
	(46.7)	(53.3)	(100)			
Official	6	15	21			
	(28.6)	(71.4)	(100)			
Unemployed	7	11	18			
	(38.9)	(61.1)	(100)			
Other	6	25	31			
	(19.4)	(80.6)	(100)			
Total	191	209	400	-		
	(47.8)	(52.2)	(100)			

Age: age was significantly positively related to DHF prevention/control among the family health leaders, at .01 ($r_s = 0.172$,p = .001). This means that if family health leaders were in the higher age range, they would have good DHF prevention/control behaviors (Table 22).

Income: income was significantly positively related to DHF prevention/control behaviors among the family health leaders, at .01 ($r_s = 0.139p = .005$), which means that the family health leaders with high incomes would have good DHF prevention/control behaviors (Table 22).

Education level: educational level was not significantly positively related to DHF prevention/control among the family health leaders, at .01 ($r_s = .025$, p= .612) (Table 22).

Table 22: Correlation between age, income, and education level with DHF prevention/control.

Variables	DHF prevention/control			
v arrables	(r _s)	P-value		
Age	0.172	P = .001**		
Income	0.139	P = .005**		
Education level	0.025	P = .612		

^{*} P< .05

3.2 Relationship between predisposing factors (attitudes to DHF, attitudes to DHF prevention/control) and DHF prevention/control behaviors

Statistical analysis of the relationship between attitude to disease and DHF prevention/control behavior, using Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient, found that:

^{**} P< .01

Attitude to disease among the family health leaders was significantly positively related to DHF prevention/control behavior, at .01 ($r_s = 0.241, p = .000$). The family health leaders who had good attitudes to disease seemed to have good DHF prevention/control behaviors (Table 23).

The DHF prevention/control attitudes of the family health leaders were significantly positively related to their DHF prevention/control behaviors, at .01 ($r_s = 0.328,p = .000$). The family health leaders with good DHF prevention/control attitudes seemed to have good DHF prevention/control behaviors (Table 23).

3.3 The relation between the reinforcing factors--information perception about disease and DHF prevention/control--and information sources and the adequacy of resources for DHF prevention/control, it was found that:

The information perception about disease and DHF prevention/control among the family health leaders had been positively related to the prevention/control behavior of dengue of the family health leaders with significance at .05 ($r_s = 0.165$,p = .001) in which the family health leaders who had received information about disease and DHF prevention/control seemed to have the good behavior on the DHF prevention/control (Table 23).

The adequacy of DHF prevention/control resources was significantly positively related to the prevention/control behaviors of the family health leaders, at .01 ($r_s =$

0.982, p= .000). The sample group with a good adequacy of resources seemed to have good DHF prevention/control behaviors (Table 23).

3.4 Regarding the relationships between the enabling factors, the advice and follow-up by the public health staff about disease, DHF prevention/control, attending a DHF prevention/control seminar and joining promotional activities, it was found that:

The advice and the follow-up by the public health staff about disease and DHF prevention/control was significantly positively related to the DHF prevention/control behaviors of the family health leaders, at .05 ($r_s = 0.126$, p = .012). The family health leaders who had been advised and follow-up by the public health staff about disease and DHF prevention/control were the same ones who had good DHF prevention/control behaviors (Table 23).

Attending a DHF prevention/control seminar and joining promotional activities was significantly positively related to DHF prevention/control behavior among the family health leaders, at .01 ($r_s = 0.211$, p=.000). The family health leaders who had attended a seminar or joined DHF prevention/control promotional activities regularly seemed to have good DHF prevention/control behaviors (Table 23).

Table 23: Correlation between predisposing, reinforcing and enabling factors, and DHF prevention/control behavior.

Variables	DHF prev	DHF prevention/control	
	behavior		
	(r _s)	P- value	
1. Attitude to DHF disease	0.241	P= 0.000**	
2. Attitude to DHF prevention/control	0.328	P = 0.000**	
3. Information perception about disease and DHF	0.165	P = 0.001*	
prevention/control			
4. Adequacy of resources for DHF	0.982	P = 0.000**	
prevention/control			
5. Advice by public health staff about DHF and	0.126	P = 0.012*	
DHF prevention/control			
6. Attendance at seminar and joining DHF	0.211	P = 0.000**	
prevention/control promotional activities			

^{*} P< .05

Analysis of the relationships between the following factors are summarized below: (1) population factors (gender, age, marital status, religion, educational level, occupation and income); (2) predisposing factors (knowledge of dengue and the prevention/control of disease, attitude to dengue and the prevention/control of disease); (3) reinforcing factors (information perceptions about disease and DHF prevention/control, information sources, the adequacy of resources for DHF prevention/control; (4) enabling factors (advice and follow-up by the public health staff about disease and DHF prevention/control, attendance at a seminar and joining DHF

^{**} P< .01

prevention/control promotional activities; and the DHF prevention/control behavior of family health leaders (Table 24).

Table 24: Testing the results of the relations between factors related to DHF prevention/control behaviors of family health leaders.

Studied factors	Statistical test	P-value	Relation
Population factors			
- Gender	Chi-square	0.837	Not relevant
- Age	Correlation	0.001	Relevant
- Marital status	Chi-square	0.011	Relevant
- Religion	Chi-square	0.017	Relevant
- Educational level	Correlation	0.612	Not relevant
- Occupation	Chi-square	0.008	Relevant
- Income	Correlation	0.000	Relevant
Predisposing factors			
 Attitude to DHF 	Correlation	0.000	Relevant
 Attitude to DHF prevention/control 	Correlation	0.000	Relevant
behaviors			
Reinforcing factors			
 Information perception of disease and 	Correlation	0.001	Relevant
DHF prevention/control			
 Adequacy of resources 	Correlation	0.001	Relevant
Enabling factors			
 Advice and follow-up by public health 	Correlation	0.102	Relevant
staff			
 Attendance at seminar and joining 	Correlation	0.001	Relevant
activities			

Part 4. Behaviors for the elimination of mosquito-breeding areas among family health leaders

The survey of interviewees from 400 families found those who had jars/drinking water containers at 72.8%, and no jars/drinking water containers at 27.2%, while jars/water containers for water for use was 92.5%, and no jars/water containers for use was 7.5%. Water storage in bathroom/toilet was 100%, water in vase/planting bowl/basin was 43.8%, whereas those without were 56.2%. Saucers of flowerpots were 68.0%, while those without were 32.0%. Saucers for food containers were 62.5%, and 85.2% of the families had no used automobile tires, families that disposed of unwanted matter, such as tins/coconut shells was 70.8%, and those not disposing of unwanted matter was 29.2% (Table 25).

Table 25: Percentage of families among the family-health-leader sample group with water containers that could serve as mosquito-breeding areas.

Families with water containers	Number	Percentage
1. Jars/drinking water containers	291	72.8
2. Jars/any water containers for use	370	92.5
3. Water storage in bathroom/toilet	400	100.0
4. Vase/plant bowl/basin	175	43.8
5. Saucer of flowerpot	128	32.0
6. Saucer of food container	150	37.5
7. Unused automobile tires	329	82.2
8. Disposal of unused matter, e.g., tins/coconut shells	283	70.8