

CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Carbon Aerogels Characterization

4.1.1 Surface and Pore Characteristics

A surface area and pore volume of carbon aerogels (CAs) depend on the concentration of benzoxazine precursor, which uses dimethylformamide (DMF) as a diluent via ambient pressure drying process, as shown in Table. 4.1. All investigated benzoxazine concentrations give a high surface area, which are 313, 341, and 312 m²/g for 25, 35, and 45 % w/w of benzoxazine concentration, respectively. The different benzoxazine concentrations do not affect the surface areas. The micropore volume is in the range of 0.137 to 0.151 cm³/g. However, there is a change in the mesopore volume, when 35 % w/w of benzoxazine was used to synthesize CAs. In this work, 25 % w/w of benzoxazine concentration was selected to study as this concentration shows good interconnected structures of CAs and requires the least time for the gelation process.

Table 4.2 summarizes the surface area of CAs prepared with different dioxane. The surface area of the sample with the DMF to dioxane mole ratio of 100 is $313 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$, which is close to the surface areas of samples prepared with other mole ratios. However, the change in the ratio resulted in different micropore volumes. That is the lower the ratio, the lower the micropore volume. The opposite is true for the mesopore volume.

Table 4.1 Physical properties of synthesized c	carbon aerogels by varying benzoxazine
concentration	

Concentration	Surface	Micropore	Mesopore	Gelation
	area (m^2/g)	volume (cm^3/g)	volume (cm ³ /g)	time (hr)
25 % w/w	313	0.151	0.056	1.15
35 % w/w	341	0.137	0.172	1.3
45 % w/w	312	0.141	0.050	3

Mole ratios of	Surface area	Micropore volume	Mesopore volume
DMF/Dioxane	(m ² /g)	(cm ³ /g)	(cm ³ /g)
100/0	313.0	0.151	0.056
90/10	332.3	0.161	0.143
75/25	331.2	0.143	0.231
50/50	311.0	0.125	0.255

Table 4.2 Physical properties of carbon aerogels by varying dioxane solution

4.1.2 Microstructure Analysis

Microstructure of the CAs was investigated by using scanning electron micrograph as shown in Figure 4.1. The micrographs show that the synthesized CAs at the benzoxazine concentration of 25 and 35 % w/w (Figure 4.1A and 4.1B) show interconnected structure of cluster and consists of three-dimensional networks of carbon particles, while the benzoxazine concentration of 45 % w/w (Figure 4.1C) leads to aggregated carbon particles. The result implies that increasing the benzoxazine concentration induces the agglomeration of carbon particles.

The micrographs of CAs prepared from different DMF to dioxane mole ratios are shown in Figure 4.2. At a high mole ratio of DMF (Figure 4.2A), the structure of CAs consists of three-dimensional networks of carbon particles and fine surfaces that contain the different pore size. However, the lower ratio leads to carbon particle agglomeration and smooth surface that consists of large pore size. From the surface and pore characteristics, 25 % w/w of benzoxazine mixed with the 50/50 DMF to dioxane mole ratio was selected to further study because of its high surface area and mesopore volume, which may improve the desorption temperature and the desorption behaviors of LiAlH₄.



Figure 4.1 Scanning electron micrographs of synthesized CAs by varying benzoxazine concentration, 25%w/w (A), 35%w/w (B), 45%w/w (C).





4.2 Hydrogen Desorption Characterization

4.2.1 TPD Results

TPD curves were used to investigate the thermal desorption behaviors of mixed and unmixed LiAlH₄. Table 4.3 summarizes the desorption temperature of all tested samples from TPD curves. As-received LiAlH₄ releases hydrogen at 145 and 215 °C. Surprisingly, LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr has the same hydrogen desorption behavior as the as-received sample in the first hydrogen desorption temperature, while the second hydrogen desorption temperature decreases by 10 °C for the milled sample compared with the as-received one.

The addition of CAs affects the hydrogen desorption behavior of LiAlH₄. It can be seen that mixing 5 wt% CAs with LiAlH₄ has very little effect on the first step of the desorption temperature as it has the same behavior as the asreceived LiAlH₄ and LiAlH₄ milled 0.5 hr but its desorption temperature decreases to 195 °C. However, when 15 wt% CAs was used, the desorption temperature decreases by 30 and 20 °C. The result implies that mixing with a certain amount of CAs is needed to show any positive effects on the desorption temperature of LiAlH₄. The results are in agreement with Suttisawat *et al.* (2010), who concluded that the Al-H bond could be destabilized by adding an electronegative carbon substrate. In addition, Berseth *et al.* (2009) suggested that adding carbon nanotubes and C₆₀ fullerences with NaAlH₄ alters the Al-H bonding; consequently, the Al-H bonding was weaken and the desorption temperature of NaAlH₄ was decreased to a lower temperature.

It is well known that catalysts are an important factor that affects the desorption temperature of LiAlH₄. This work further substantiates the effects of a catalyst on the desorption of LiAlH₄. It can be seen that mixing 5 wt% TiO₂, Degussa P 25, with LiAlH₄ has significant effects on the desorption temperature as mixing with TiCl₃. Samples mixed with TiO₂ and TiCl₃ have lower desorption temperatures, 95 and 175 °C. The results agree with Langmi *et al.* (2010) who concluded that LiAlH₄ doped with a low amount of TiCl₃ decreased the desorption temperature by 60 to 75 °C in the first desorption step. Mao *et al.* (2009) also reported that adding 5 mol% TiO₂ with LiAlH₄-LiBH₄ decreased the desorption temperatures in the first

and second desorption steps by 27 and 50 °C, respectively. However, at the same weight ratio, mixing with a catalyst has more pronounced affects on the desorption temperature than mixing with CAs.

Co-mixing between CAs and catalysts with LiAlH₄ was also studied. LiAlH₄ co-mixed with 5 wt% CAs and 5 wt% TiO₂ or TiCl₃ affects on the desorption temperature more than mixing with only 10 wt% CAs. For example, the desorption temperatures of the co-mixed sample with 5 wt% CAs and 5 wt% TiO₂ or TiCl₃ decreases to 95 and 170 °C in the first and second steps. Comparison between the comixed samples with the sample mixed with either a catalyst or CAs, shows that the addition of 5 wt% CAs does not affect the first desorption temperature, but it does on the second desorption temperature. In other words, while the catalysts contribute to the decrease in both first and the second desorption temperatures, CAs only affect the second one. The observation is similar to a report by Suttisawat *et al.* (2008), who concluded that the addition of graphite and TiO₂ affected both first and second temperatures of NaAlH₄.

Sample	Desorption temperature (°C)		
	1 st	2 nd	
As-received LiAlH ₄	145	215	
Milled LiAlH ₄	145	200	
Milled 5 wt% CAs-LiAlH ₄	145	195	
Milled 10 wt% CAs-LiAlH ₄	130	185	
Milled 15 wt% CAs-LiAlH ₄	115	195	
Milled 5 wt% Ti-LiAlH ₄	110	195	
Milled 5 wt% TiO ₂ -LiAlH ₄	95	175	
Milled 5 wt% TiCl ₃ -LiAlH ₄	95	175	
Milled 5 wt% Ni-LiAlH ₄	105	195	
Milled 5 wt% CAs/Ti-LiAlH ₄	110	195	
Milled 5 wt% CAs/TiO ₂ -LiAlH ₄	95	170	
Milled 5 wt% CAs/TiCl ₃ -LiAlH ₄	95	170	
Milled 5 wt% CAs/Ni-LiAlH ₄	105	195	

Table 4.3 Desorption temperatures of LiAlH₄ mixed with CAs and catalysts



Figure 4.3 Hydrogen desorption from as-received LiAlH₄ (A), LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% CAs (C), 10 wt% CAs (D), and 15 wt% CAs (E).

4.2.2 <u>Thermo-volumetric Results</u>

Figure 4.3 shows the effects of mixing CAs with LiAlH₄ compared to the as-received and ball milled samples. For the as-received sample, as shown in Figure 4.3A, it releases hydrogen in two steps. The first step occurs at 145 °C and releases about 5.3 wt% hydrogen. The second step releases 2.4 wt% hydrogen and takes place at 180 °C, with a total amount of desorbed hydrogen close to the theoretical hydrogen value, 7.9 wt% hydrogen. In addition, LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (Figure 4.3B) releases hydrogen only 7.5 wt% at the same condition and its desorption behavior is lower than the as-received sample. A reason for the loss may be from the hydrogen desorption during the ball milling step. Moreover, the samples mixed with CAs show different desorption temperatures and desorption behaviors. In addition, the amounts of desorbed hydrogen from mixing with CAs are lower than that from the as-received sample because the partial decomposition during the preparation step, which is in agreement with Kumar et al. (2008). However, the addition of 5 wt% CAs has very little effect on the initial desorption temperature of LiAlH₄ (Figure 4.3C) compared to the as-received and milled samples (Figure 4.3A and 4.3B), while its desorbed hydrogen is 7.3 wt%, which is about the same as that of LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 h. LiAlH₄ mixed with 15 wt% CAs (Figure 4.3E) exhibits the lowest desorption temperature. Its desorption temperature is reduced by 30 °C, and the amount of desorbed hydrogen is 6.9 wt%. That may be attributed to the high enough amount of CAs in LiAlH₄. Similar results were reported by Hudson et al. (2008), who reported that an increase in the mass ratios of helical graphite nanofiber in $LiMg(AlH_4)_3$ and $LiAlH_4$ led to the decrease in the hydrogen capacity. The desorption behavior of sample mixed with 5 wt% CAs show better than as-received and milled samples because the addition of CAs may increased the surface area of LiAlH4. As mixing 15 wt% CAs do not good desorption behavior due to adding high amount of CAs also increased the surface area, but it may suppress the hydrogen diffusion from bulk materials. Therefore, 5 wt% CAs was chosen to investigate effects of co-mixing with catalysts on the hydrogen desorption behavior of LiAlH₄.

The effect of adding catalysts is shown in Figure 4.4. Mixing any of the catalysts with LiAlH₄ reduces the desorption temperature significantly. Especially, LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% TiO₂ or TiCl₃ (Figure 4.4C and 4.4D) has the lowest desorption temperature, 50 °C, and the amounts of desorbed hydrogen are about 7.3 wt%, which are lower than the as-received and milled LiAlH₄ samples. That may be because the reaction between LiAlH₄ and the Ti compounds, TiO₂ and TiCl₃, could form Al₃Ti, which acts as a catalyst that improves the desorption temperature (Liu *et al.*, 2009). In contrast, the desorption behaviors of adding either TiO₂ or TiCl₃ decreases slightly compared to as-received LiAlH₄. Kojima *et al.* (2008) suggested that the mechanochemical reaction between LiAlH₄ and metal chloride like TiCl₃, ZrCl₄, VCl₃, and NiCl₂ would generate the transition metal trialuminides. Al₃X (Ti, Zr, V, Ni). Therefore, reactions between LiAlH₄ and the Ti compounds may possibly occur following Equations (4.1) – (4.2),

$$3LiAlH_4 + TiCl_3 \rightarrow Al_3Ti + 3LiCl + 6H_2$$

$$(4.1)$$

$$LiAlH_4 + Li_3AlH_6 + Al + TiO_2 \rightarrow Al_3Ti + 2Li_2O + 5H_2$$
(4.2)

The addition of either Ti or Ni does affect the desorption temperature of LiAlH₄ but not to the extent contributed by TiO_2 or $TiCl_3$ (Figure 4.4C and 4.4F). It is worth noting that about the same desorbed hydrogen amount can be obtained from mixing with one of the four catalysts. Ti and Ni react with LiAlH₄ following Equations (4.3)-(4.4),

$$3LiAlH_4 + Ti \rightarrow Al_3Ti + 3LiH + 9/2H_2$$
(4.3)

$$3LiAlH_4 + Ni \rightarrow Al_3Ni + 3LiH + 9/2H_2$$
(4.4)



Figure 4.4 Hydrogen desorption from as-received LiAlH₄ (A), LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% Ti (C), 5 wt% TiO₂ (D), 5 wt% TiCl₃ (E). and 5 wt% Ni (F).

From the results, it can be summarized that mixing a catalyst with LiAlH₄ resulted in a lower desorption temperature than mixing with CAs at the same weight ratio. LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% TiO₂ or TiCl₃ decreases the first and second desorption temperature to 95 and 175 °C, while mixing 5 wt% CAs (Figure 4.3C) has no effect on the first desorption temperature, but it only affects the second desorption temperature, which is reduced by 20 °C. On the other hand, the desorption behaviors of the sample mixed with 5 wt% CAs is better than adding with either one of the catalysts.

LiAlH₄ mixed with CAs and catalysts was also investigated. The desorption temperature of the co-mixed samples occurs at the same temperature as mixing with the catalysts and the amounts of desorbed hydrogen of the co-mixed samples release 7.2 wt% hydrogen, which is close to the desorbed hydrogen for LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% CAs and catalysts. LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% CAs and TiO₂ or TiCl₃ (Figure 4.5C and 4.5D) shows the lowest desorption temperature, 90 and 170 °C. Their desorption behaviors are better than the samples mixed with the catalysts. That may be from the addition of 5 wt% CAs, which improves the desorption behaviors of LiAlH₄ samples. The results suggested that the co-mixed samples have lower the desorption temperatures than mixing CAs with LiAlH₄. In contrast, the desorption behaviors of the samples co-mixed with CAs and catalysts are slower than the samples mixed with CAs. It is believed that the catalysts have more influence on the desorption temperature than CAs, while CAs affect the desorption behaviors, which agrees with Zhu et al. (2010) results. They found that the addition of both catalysts and CAs showed better dehydrogenation behavior of MgH₂ than that of adding with either catalysts or CAs.



Figure 4.5 Hydrogen desorption from as-received LiAlH₄ (A), LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and LiAlH₄ co-mixed with 5 wt% CAs and Ti (C), 5 wt% TiO₂ (D), 5 wt% TiCl₃ (E), and 5 wt% Ni (F).

4.2.3 XRD Results

The XRD results were used to analyze the phase of LiAlH₄. Blanchard *et al.* (2004) reported that LiAlH₄ releases hydrogen in the first step at 157 to 175 °C to form Li₃AlH₆, Al, and H₂ as shown in Equation (4.5). After that, the decomposition of Li₃AlH₆ into LiH, Al, and H₂ occurs at 180 to 220 °C, according to Equation (4.6). The last step releases hydrogen at a high temperature, 400 °C, which can be expressed by Equation (4.7).

$$3LiAIH4 \rightarrow Li_{3}AIH_{6} + 2AI + 3H_{2}$$

$$(4.5)$$

$$Li_{3}AlH_{6} \rightarrow 3LiH + Al + 3/2H_{2}$$

$$(4.6)$$



Figure 4.6 XRD patterns of as-received LiAlH₄ (A), LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% CAs (C), 10 wt% CAs(D), and 15 wt% CAs (E).

Figure 4.6 shows XRD patterns of LiAlH₄ mixed with different weight ratios of CAs compared with the as-received and milled LiAlH₄ samples. The XRD pattern of the as-received sample (Figure 4.6A) consists of LiAlH₄, Li₃AlH₆, Al, LiH, and LiCl. The LiAlH₄ peaks for the as-received sample have higher intensity than the milled sample and the sample mixed with different weight ratios of CAs. In contrast, the intensity of Li₃AlH₆ and Al peaks of the milled sample (Figure 4.6B) is increased compared with the as-received sample, which is believed to be contributed from the partial decomposition of LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆ to Li₃AlH₆, Al, LiH, and H₂ during the ball milling. Therefore, Equations (4.5) and (4.6) occur in the ball milling because of the energy accumulation. Mixing 5 wt% CAs with LiAlH₄ resulted in the same peaks like the milled sample, while the sample mixed with 15

wt% CAs exhibits higher intensity of Al peaks. The results also suggested that the amount of mixing CAs in the sample affects the phase change and hydrogen released during the ball milling because CAs have more unlocalized electron, which may be shared with LiAlH₄ and interact with hydrogen. That, in turn, affects the hydrogen desorption temperature (Wang *et al.*, 2010).



Figure 4.7 XRD patterns of as-received LiAlH₄ (A), LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% Ti (C), 5 wt% TiO₂ (D), 5 wt% TiCl₃ (E), and 5 wt% Ni (F).

Catalysts are one factor that affects the phase of LiAlH₄ as shown in Figure 4.7. The XRD patterns of LiAlH₄ mixed with all catalysts, Ti, TiO₂, TiCl₃, and Ni, change slightly compared with the milled sample. In the presence of a catalyst, LiAlH₄ is transformed to Li₃AlH₆, Al, and H₂ by a mechanochemical reaction (Kojima *et al.*, 2008). In addition, Al_{1-x}Ti_{1-x} compounds as an active species (Card No. 42-1137) to decrease the desorption temperature do not appear in the XRD pattern because they overlap with the Al peaks. The Al_{1-x}Ti_{1-x} like Al₃Ti according to Equations (4.1)-(4.2) was reported by Liu *et al* (2009). In the case of the sample mixed with Ni, Al₃Ni, which also decreases the desorption temperature, may be formed following Equation (4.4). So the XRD results imply that all tested catalysts have little effect on the phase composition compared with CAs.



Figure 4.8 XRD patterns of as-received LiAlH₄ (A), LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and LiAlH₄ co-mixed with 5 wt% CAs and 5 wt% Ti (C), 5 wt% TiO₂ (D), 5 wt% TiCl₃ (E), and 5 wt% Ni (F).

The XRD patterns of LiAlH₄ co-mixed with 5 wt% catalysts and 5 wt% CAs (Figure 4.8) are similar to those mixed with 5 wt% catalysts (Figure 4.7) or 5 wt% CAs (Figure 4.6). Again, it can be concluded that the phases of LiAlH₄ is influenced by the amounts of CAs, while mixing with the catalysts does not.



Figure 4.9 XRD patterns of dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ (A), dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% CAs (C), 10 wt% CAs (D), and 15 wt% CAs (E).

The XRD patterns of the dehydrogenated samples mixed with different weight ratios of CAs, as-received LiAlH₄, and LiAlH₄ milled 0.5 h, are shown in Figure 4.9. LiH and Al are the products after the decomposition at 250 °C. For as-received LiAlH₄ (Figure 4.9A), Al peaks are sharper than the milled sample and that mixed with CAs because the unmilled sample changes its structure and morphology, sinters, and agglomerates solid, while either catalyzed or milled sample

maintain those properties after heating (Beattie and McGrady, 2009). The hydrogenated sample with 15 wt% CAs (Figure 4.8E), exhibits different peaks from that with 5 wt% (Figure 4.9C) and 10 wt% CAs (Figure 4.9D). Figure 4.8E shows a new phase, which cannot be identified. It is believed that they are not Li_2C_2 as its peaks are present at 20 of 29 and 48.5° (Zhang *et al.*, 2007). The unknown peaks may be generated in the Li-Al-H-C systems, which shall be further studied. The result indicates that mixing with CAs would prevent the agglomeration of a complex metal hydride and preserves the grain size of the hydrogenated sample (Suttisawat *et al.*, 2010).



Figure 4.10 XRD patterns of dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ (A), dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ mixed with 5 wt% Ti (C), 5 wt% TiO₂ (D), 5 wt% TiCl₃ (E), and 5 wt% Ni (F).

The dehydrogenated samples mixed with catalysts (Figure 4.10) show the same XRD patterns as that of the unmilled and milled sample after the dehydrogenation. All samples mixed with the catalysts are left with only LiCl, Al, LiH, and Ni or Al₃Ni after the dehydrogenation. There are some peaks that exist in the XRD patterns, which are not LiCl, Al, LiH. For example, in the LiAlH₄ and Ti systems, the dehydrogenated samples mixed with Ti compounds (Figure 4.10C-4.10E) do not show Ti peaks because they may form Al_{1-x}Ti_{1-x} compound during the ball milling step. In the case of Ni, the presence 2θ of 52° may be Ni (Varin and Zbroniec, 2010) or Al₃Ni (Kojima *et al.*, 2008). The results imply that all samples mixed with the catalysts may form a new component, which acts as active species and prevents the agglomeration, which agrees with the results from Suttisawat *et al.* (2010). They found that the surface area of metal hydrides is increased by adding TiO₂. It increases the grain boundary, preserves the grain size, and prevents the agglomeration of the dehydrogenation samples.

Figure 4.11 shows XRD pattern of LiAlH₄ co-mixed with catalysts and CAs after the dehydrogenation. They exhibit similar results with mixing catalysts and CAs. Sample co-mixed with 5 wt% CAs and 5 wt% Ni, and Ti compounds show LiCl, LiH, Al, Al₃Ni or Ni, and Al₃Ti peaks. The XRD results indicate that both CAs and catalysts can also preserve the agglomeration of the solid metal hydrides.

4.3 Hydrogen Absorption

All dehydrogenated samples including LiAlH₄ mixed with CAs and catalysts, Ti, TiO₂, TiCl₃, and Ni, do not absorb hydrogen at 180 °C under 11 MPa hydrogen pressure. The result agrees with the previous work (Suttisawat *et al.*, 2007). Gratz *et al.* (2008) suggested that the reaction of LiH and Li₃AlH₆ to form LiAlH₄ in the solid state requires a thousand bars of hydrogen pressure, while the hydrogenation of LiH or Li₃AlH₆ in a THF solution requires ~1 bar hydrogen pressure at the room temperature. The temperature is one factor that affects the rehydrogenation of metal hydride. Chen *et al.* (2001) reported that the formation of

the metal hydride after the dehydrogenation still requires special conditions, high pressure, and temperature.



Figure 4.11 XRD patterns of dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ (A), dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ milled for 0.5 hr (B), and dehydrogenated LiAlH₄ co-mixed with 5 wt% CAs and 5 wt% Ti (C), 5 wt% TiO₂ (D), 5 wt% TiCl₃ (E), and 5 wt% Ni (F).