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Anatomical knowledge of the occipital condyle (OC) and its relationships to surrounding structures is important for avoiding injury during craniovertebral junction (CVJ) surgeries such as the far lateral approach (FLA). Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the morphology and morphometry of OC and its relationship to digastric point (DP), foramen magnum (FM), jugular foramen (JF), and hypoglossal canal (HC). The study was performed on 100 dry skulls. Oval-like condyle was the most common OC shape, representing for $33.0 \%$ of all samples. The OC protruded into FM in $31.5 \%$. The average length, width and height of the OC were $21.32 \pm 2.44,10.51 \pm 1.41$, and $7.39 \pm 1.14 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. Extracranial orifice of $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{eHC})$ and intracranial orifice of HC (iHC) were commonly found $74.0 \%$ in anterior $1 / 3$ of OC, and $45.0 \%$ in middle $1 / 3$ of OC, respectively. The mean distance from posterior edge of the OC (OCPE) to eHC , iHC and JF were $13.70 \pm 2.23 \mathrm{~mm}, 9.00 \pm 1.59 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $16.15 \pm 2.35 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. The most common shape of FM was hexagonal in $27.0 \%$. The mean of anteroposterior diameter (APD) was $34.19 \pm 2.46 \mathrm{~mm}$, transverse diameter (TD) was $29.17 \pm 2.14 \mathrm{~mm}$ and foramen magnum index (FMI) was 1.18. The mean distance between DP and bony landmarks, including Op-DP, OCPT-DP, and JF-DP values, were $54.54 \pm 3.50,36.72 \pm 4.07$, and $34.18 \pm 4.15 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. The prevalence of bilateral and unilateral posterior condylar canal (PCC) was $57.0 \%$ and $34.0 \%$, respectively. The morphological analysis and morphometry data of OC and its relation to surrounding structures can help surgeons be aware of neurovascular injury and CVJ instability by surgery.
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Figure 29. Inferior view of skull showing the left distances of $\mathrm{a}=$ distance between opisthion to digastric point $(\mathrm{Op}-\mathrm{DP}), \mathrm{b}=$ distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and digastric point (OCPT - DP $)$, and $\mathrm{c}=$ distance between the posterior most end of jugular foramen to digastric point $(\mathrm{JF}-\mathrm{DP}) . \mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{DP}=$ digastric point, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mastoid process, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{PCC}=$ posterior condylar canal

Figure 30. Photographs showing eight shapes of occipital condyle - A: oval-like condyle; B: kidney-like condyle; C: S-like condyle; D: eight-like condyle; E: triangle condyle; F: ring-like condyle; G: two-portioned condyle, and H: deformed condyle, respectively

Figure 31. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean distances of total OC-L (left side), OC-W (left side), and OC-H (right side), respectively. $\mathrm{a}=$ the most medial point on the medial border of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{b}=$ the most lateral point on the lateral border of occipital condyle, c $=$ anterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{d}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{e}=$ upper boundary of medial margin of occipital condyle, $f=$ lower boundary of medial margin of occipital condyle. Bas $=$ basion, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H}=$ occipital condyle height, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{L}=$ occipital condyle length, and $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{W}=$ occipital condyle width, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

Figure 32. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean of total AID and PID. AID = anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, OCAT = anterior tip of occipital condyle, OCPT = posterior tip of occipital condyle, and PID = posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle.

Figure 33. Foramen magnum in different shapes (inferior view). A: Pear; B: Oval; C: Rounded; D: Tetragonal; E: Pentagonal; F: Hexagonal; G: Heptagonal and H: Biconvex, respectively

Figure 34. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean of total APD and TD. APD = anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum, $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{TD}=$ transverse diameter of foramen magnum.

Figure 35. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean total OCAT- Bas, OCAT- Op, OCPT- Bas, and OCPT- Op in the left side. Bas = basion, OCAT $=$ anterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

Figure 36. Inferior view of occipital bone showing relation between hypoglossal canal and occipital condyle. a $1 / 3=$ anterior one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular canal, $\mathrm{L} 1=$ anterior $1 / 3$ of occipital condyle, $L 2=$ junction between anterior and middle $1 / 3$ of occipital condyle, L3 = middle one third of occipital condyle, L4 = junction between middle and posterior $1 / 3$ of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{L} 5=$ posterior one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{ml} / 3=$ middle one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{p} 1 / 3=$ posterior one third of occipital condyle.... 71

Figure 37. The right inferolateral view of occipital bone showing total mean distances of OCPTeHC (right side) and OCPT-iHC (left side). $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of the occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

Figure 38. The right inferolateral view of occipital bone showing jugular foramen related to anterior two third of occipital condyle length (red line). $\mathrm{a}=$ anterior two third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{a} 1 / 3=$ anterior one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{b}=$ entire occipital condyle length, Bas = basion, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{ml} / 3=$ middle one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{pl} / 3=$ posterior one third of occipital condyle.

Figure 39. The right inferolateral view of occipital bone showing the total mean distance of OCPT-JF (right side). Bas = basion, extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion

Figure 40. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the total mean distance of Op-JF (right side). $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion. 76

Figure 41. inferior view of occipital bone showing A: The mean distances of total Op-DP, OCPT-DP, and JF-DP. B: The triangle consists of Op-DP, JF-DP and Op-JF. Bas = basion, DP $=$ digastric point, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mastoid process, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=o$ opisthion.

## List of definitions of abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| a1/3 | Anterior one third of occipital condyle |
| a2/3 | Anterior two third of occipital condyle |
| AID | Anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle |
| APD | Anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum |
| Bas | Basion |
| C1 | Atlas |
| C1-C2 | Atlantoaxial joint |
| C2 | Axis |
| CF | Condylar fossa |
| CN IX | Glossopharyngeal nerve ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| CN VII | Facial nerve |
| CN X | Vagus nerve |
| CN XI | Accessory nerve |
| CN XII | Hypoglossal nerve |
| CSF | Cerebrospinal fluid |
| CT | Computerized tomography |
| CVJ | Craniovertebral junction |
| DG | Digastric groove |
| DM | Digastric muscle |
| DP | Digastric point |
| eHC | Extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal |
| FLA | Far lateral approach |
| FM | Foramen magnum |
| FMI | Foramen magnum index |
| HC | Hypoglossal canal |
| iHC | Intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal |
| JB | Jugular bulb |


| JF | Jugular foramen |
| :--- | :--- |
| JF-DP | Distance between the posterior most end of jugular foramen to digastric |
| pP | Jugular process |
| JT | Jugular tubercle |
| LC | Lower clivus |
| m1/3 | Magnetic one third of occipital condyle imaging |
| MRI | Mastoid process |
| MT | Occipital condyles |
| OC | Distance from the anterior tip of occipital condyle to basion |
| O-C1 | Distance from the anterior tip of occipital condyle to opisthion |
| OCAT-Bas | Occipital condyle height |
| OCAT-Op | Occipital condyle length |
| OC-H | Distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to extracranial orifice <br> of hypoglossal canal <br> OC-L |
| OCPT-eHC |  |
| Op-JF | Distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to intracranial orifice of <br> hypoglossal canal |
| OCPT-iHC |  |
| OCPT-JF | Distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to the posterior-most <br> end of jugular foramen |
| OCPT-Bas | Distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to basion of jugular foramen |
| OCPT-Op | Distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and digastric point |
| OC-W | Occipital condyle width |
| Op | Disterior tip of occipital condyle to opisthion |


| $\mathbf{p 1 / 3}$ | Posterior one third of occipital condyle |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{p 2 / 3}$ | Posterior two third of occipital condyle |
| $\mathbf{P C C}$ | Posterior condylar canal |
| $\mathbf{P I D}$ | Posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle |
| SS | Sigmoid sinus |
| TD | Transverse diameter of foramen magnum |
| TS | Transverse sinus |
| VA | Vertebral artery |

## Chapter I Backgrounds and rationales

The craniovertebral junction (CVJ) is an area that connects the cranium to the upper cervical spine, it consists of atlas (C1), axis (C2), foramen magnum (FM), and the lower clivus (LC) that supports the brainstem. It is bounded laterally by the jugular foramen (JF), the hypoglossal canals (HC), and the occipital condyles (OC) (1-3). OC, HC, and posterior margin of the JF are the condylar part of $\mathrm{FM} . \mathrm{OC}$ is the only part of occipital bone articulated with C 1 . Neurovascular structures associate with CVJ are the medulla oblongata, the upper spinal and lower cranial nerves (CN IX, X, XI, and XII), vertebral arteries (VA) with their branches, and vertebral veins (4). CVJ is a common site for yarious lesions including neoplasms (intra- and extradural tumors), vascular lesions such as a VA aneurysm, rheumatic diseases, malformations, and degenerative pathologies. $(1,2,4-6)$. Lesions at CVJ are difficult to manage because of their location and complex anatomic relations (7).

Far lateral approach (FLA) is used to access the ventrolateral part of CVJ and LC by drilling the lateral edge of the FM rim for tumor removal and the treatment of vascular lesions (8, 9). There are several types of FLA including transcondylar, supracondylar, and paracondylar approaches $(10,11)$. The complications of this approach are the development of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak, damage to the VA and neural structures ( $1,8,9$ ). The main steps of FLA include patient positioning, incision markings, antisepsis and scalp incision, muscle exposure, VA exposition, craniotomy, opening of the dura mater, tumor resection and dural closure, respectively $(5,12,13)$. Craniotomy of FLA includes lateral part of the occipital squama to the inferior rim of the FM (13). It was performed by resection of the posterior arch of C 1 , drilling the inferior portion of OC near the posterior margin of the JF, and lateral to the condyle. This process increases the angle of exposure, greater visualization, and enhances access to the ventrolateral and ventral aspects of the $\operatorname{CVJ}(5,12,14)$.

The stability of CVJ depends mainly on the length of the OC, drilling the OC in FLA can increase the risk of developing CVJ instability. Only the posteromedial part of OC must be removed to provide direct access to the ventral FM and LC areas. Previous studies reported that resection approximately $43 \%$ of the long axis the OC would provide good access to the ventral
area of the FM (1). Bejjani et al. (2000) (15), stated that resection of the posterior OC less than $70 \%$ would not show evidence of CVJ instability.

HC has been used as a landmark for OC resection. It was claimed to locate in the middle part of OC. Therefore, OC resection could not extend beyond the HC to prevent hypoglossal nerve (CN XII) injury and CVJ instability ( $7,9,16,17$ ). However, previous study showed that the HC was not always located at the middle part of OC. HC was related to anterior one-third of OC in $85 \%$ of cases and middle one-third of OC in $15 \%$ of cases (18). Therefore, OC resection extends to the HC in patients with an anterior located HC may increase the risk of CVJ instability $(17,19)$.


## Research questions

## Primary Research Question

1. What are the shapes and dimension of occipital condyle in dry skulls?
2. What are the distances between the occipital condyle and surrounding structures including the hypoglossal canal, jugular foramen, foramen magnum and digastric point?

Secondary Research Question
3. Are there any variations of the location of hypoglossal canal related to occipital condyle?
4. Are there any differences between sides and sex?

## Research Objectives

1. To determine the shapes and dimension of occipital condyle in dry skulls.
2. To investigate the occipital condyle protrusion related to foramen magnum.
3. To measure the intercondylar distance of occipital condyle.
4. To measure the distances from posterior tip of the occipital condyle to the hypoglossal orifices.
5. To measure the distance between occipital condyle and jugular foramen.
6. To determine the shapes and dimension of foramen magnum in dry skulls.
7. To investigate the location of hypoglossal canal, jugular foramen related to occipital condyle.
8. To investigate the posterior condylar canal in the condylar fossa.
9. To measure the distance from the digastric point to jugular foramen, posterior tip of occipital condyle and opisthion.
10. To determine the difference between sides and sex.

## Conceptual framework

## Morphological analysis and morphometry of the occipital condyle and its relation to surrounding structures: implication in craniovertebral junction surgery



1. To determine the shapes and dimension of occipital condyle in dry skulls.
2. To investigate the occipital condyle protrusion related to foramen magnum.
3. To measure the intercondylar distance of occipital condyle.
4. To measure the distances from posterior tip of the occipital condyle to the hypoglossal orifices.
5. To measure the distance between occipital condyle and jugular foramen.
6. To determine the shapes and dimension of foramen magnum in dry skulls.
7. To investigate the location of hypoglossal canal, jugular foramen related to occipital condyle.
8. To investigate the posterior condylar canal in the condylar fossa.
9. To measure the distance from the digastric point to jugular foramen, posterior tip of occipital condyle and opisthion.
10. To determine the difference between sides and sex

Keywords: Craniovertebral junction, Digastric point, Far lateral approach, Foramen magnum, Occipital condyle

## Research design: Descriptive study

## Expected benefits and applications:

This current study will explain more anatomical knowledge in detail of the morphometric study of the occipital condyles and surrounding structures related to the craniotomy step of the far lateral approach procedure. Detailed of anatomical knowledge of the occipital condyles and their surrounding structures may have the potential to improve surgical outcomes and decrease craniovertebral junction instability and neurovascular injury.

## Chapter II Literature review

## 1. The anatomical structure of occipital condyle and its location

OCs are the two bony structures presented in the inferior surface of the occipital bone and located laterally to the FM. Usually, it is described as an ovoid structure, with a projection convex downward and lateral, and its long axis directs anteriorly and medially. Most of the anatomical and biomechanical studies of the CVJ have involved the morphological or morphometric analysis of $O C(1,20-22)$ (Fig. 1).


Figure 1. The inferior view of the skull showing the foramen magnum (yellow line), both sides of jugular foramen (green line), and both sides of occipital condyle (blue line). FM = foramen magnum.

The dimensions of OC are defined as the maximum anteroposterior distance between anterior and posterior tips of OC (OC-L), the maximum transverse distance between the medial and lateral border of $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{W})$, and the maximum vertical distance between the upper and lower boundary of the medial margin of $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H})$, respectively $(1,4,7,23)$ (Fig. 2).


Figure 2. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the measurement of the left of OC-L, OC-W, and the right $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H}=$ occipital condyle height, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{L}=$ occipital condyle length, and $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{W}=$ occipital condyle width, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

The dimension of OC was significantly larger in male than female $(17,24)$. The OC-L, OC-W, and OC-H of male skull were reported to be larger than female significantly $(25,26)$. The dimensions of OC in previous studies are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison of the OC dimensions in previous studies

| Parameters | Sides | Sex | Measurements | Kumar and <br> Nagar <br> (2014) <br> (26) | Saluja et al. <br> (2016) <br> (23) | Lyrtzis et <br> al. (2017) <br> (17) | Di et al. <br> (2019) <br> (1) | Anjum et <br> al. (2021) <br> (21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{N}=100)$ <br> India | $(\mathrm{N}=228)$ <br> India | $(\mathrm{N}=282)$ <br> Greece | $(\mathrm{N}=100)$ <br> China | $(\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 0 0})$ <br> India |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OC-L } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Rt | Male | Mean $\pm$ SD | $23.88 \pm 1.50$ | - | $24.33 \pm 2.57$ | - | $23.50 \pm 2.71$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 21.50-26. 80 | - | 18.45-29.59 | - | - |
|  |  | Female | Mean $\pm$ SD | $22.60 \pm 1.30$ |  | $22.95 \pm 2.96$ | - | $22.44 \pm 2.01$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 20.30-24.90 | - | 13.34-29.92 | - | - |
|  |  | Total | Mean $\pm$ SD | - | $22.90 \pm 3.11$ | - | $23.73 \pm 2.03$ | - |
|  |  |  | Range |  | 16.61-27.88 | - | - | - |
|  | Lt | Male | Mean $\pm$ SD | $24.99 \pm 1.82$ | \% - | $24.07 \pm 2.59$ | - | $23.34 \pm 3.06$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 21.80-27.50 | - - | 15.15-29.47 | - | - |
|  |  | Female | Mean $\pm$ SD | $24.20 \pm 1.62$ | - | $23.23 \pm 2.71$ | - | $22.62 \pm 2.41$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 21.10-27.30 | A | 16.79-28.54 | - | - |
|  |  | Total | Mean $\pm$ SD | - | $22.60 \pm 2.72$ | - | $23.43 \pm 1.90$ | - |
|  |  |  | ใ Range | 2615- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 17.89-29.27 | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { OC-W } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Rt | Male | Mean $\pm$ SD | $12.97 \pm 1.43$ | - | $12.10 \pm 1.50$ | - | $12.19 \pm 1.53$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 10.20-16.40 | - | 9.45-16.38 | - | - |
|  |  | Female | Mean $\pm$ SD | $12.65 \pm 1.33$ | - | $11.43 \pm 1.47$ | - | $11.46 \pm 1.56$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 10.10-15.20 | - | 7.77-15.05 | - | - |
|  |  | Total | Mean $\pm$ SD | - | $12.98 \pm 1.62$ | - | $12.99 \pm 1.19$ | - |
|  |  |  | Range | - | 10.89-16.39 | - | - | - |
|  | Lt | Male | Mean $\pm$ SD | $12.97 \pm 1.43$ | - | $12.21 \pm 1.66$ | - | $12.29 \pm 1.47$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 10.20-16.40 | - | 9.01-16.59 | - | - |
|  |  | Female | Mean $\pm$ SD | $13.85 \pm 1.01$ | - | $11.46 \pm 1.51$ | - | $11.87 \pm 1.45$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 10.90-16.80 | - | 8.40-15.01 | - | - |
|  |  | Total | Mean $\pm$ SD | - | $12.97 \pm 1.46$ | - | $13.23 \pm 1.14$ | - |
|  |  |  | Range | - | 10.51-15.88 | - | - | - |
| OC-H | Rt | Male | Mean $\pm$ SD | $8.64 \pm 0.74$ | - | $10.13 \pm 1.53$ | - | $8.89 \pm 1.02$ |
|  |  |  | Range | $6.70-7.30$ | - | - | - | - |


| (mm) |  | Female | Mean $\pm$ SD | $6.92 \pm 0.72$ | - | $10.09 \pm 1.66$ | - | $8.70 \pm 1.12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Range | 6.65-7.20 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Total | Mean $\pm$ SD | - | $9.32 \pm 1.23$ | - | $9.34 \pm 0.97$ | - |
|  |  |  | Range | - | 6.02-11.85 | - | - | - |
|  | Lt | Male | Mean $\pm$ SD | $9.32 \pm 0.78$ | - | $10.17 \pm 1.38$ | - | $8.85 \pm 1.13$ |
|  |  |  | Range | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Female | Mean $\pm$ SD | $9.21 \pm 0.76$ | - | $9.88 \pm 1.51$ | - | $8.62 \pm 1.10$ |
|  |  |  | Range | 8.22-10.21 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Total | Mean $\pm$ SD | - | $9.12 \pm 1.23$ | - | $9.36 \pm 0.91$ | - |
|  |  |  | Range | - | 6.35-11.16 | - | - | - |

$\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H}=$ occipital condyle height, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{L}=$ occipital condyle length, and $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{W}=$ occipital condyle

According to Naderi et al. (2005) (19), OC was classified based on its length into three types as the following: type I (OC-L was shorter than 20 mm ), type II (OC-L was $20-26 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and type III (OC-L was longer than 26 mm ), respectively. However, the range of type II and type III length was different in the other two studies $(18,27)$. Knowledge in OC-L is necessary for OC resection process during transcondylar approach because the length of posterior one-third ( $\mathrm{p} 1 / 3$ ) can be calculated from the total length of OC (27). Females had a significant shorter OC-L than males $(p<0.001)(26)$, and had a significant larger proportion of OC Type I $(32.6 \%)$ than males $(5.8 \%)(p=0.001)(28)$. The prevalence of OC types in previous studies are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Prevalence of OC types based on its length

| Types of OC | Sex | *Naderi et al. (2005) <br> (19) | **Kalthur et al. <br> (2014) <br> (27) | **Verma et al. <br> (2016) <br> (18) | *Cheruiyot et al. <br> (2018) <br> (28) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $(\mathrm{N}=404)$ Turkey | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=142) \\ \text { India } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=100) \\ \text { India } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=104) \\ \text { Kenya } \end{gathered}$ |
| Type I <br> (short) | Male |  | 5.50\% | - | 5.80\% |
|  | Female | sucerem | - $21.90 \%$ | - | 32.60\% |
|  | Total | 8.60\% | $\cdots$ - | 13.00\% | 38.40\% |
| Type II <br> (Moderate) | Male | - | 83.60\% | - | 45.20\% |
|  | Female | - - | 71.90\% | - | 15.40\% |
|  | Total | 77.20\% |  | 62.00\% | 60.60\% |
| Type III (long) | Male | ลิงกร - \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ว $10.90 \%$ - | - | 1.00\% |
|  | Female | - | 6.30\% | - | 0.00\% |
|  | Total | 14.10\% | TITM- | 25.00\% | 1.00\% |

[^0]OC articulates with the superior articular facets of C 1 , forming the atlantooccipital ( O $\mathrm{C} 1)$ joint. The stability of this joint is maintained by the compatibility of the articular surfaces together with capsule and ligament factors. In addition, male brain is heavier than female, and a higher load causes an increase in mechanical forces transmitted through the O-C1 joint $(17,28)$ (Fig. 3). Therefore, the OC dimension of males is usually larger than females.


Figure 3. Posterior view of skull and cervical spine showing the articulation of OC and C 1 forming the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 1$ joint (modified from Gilroy et al. (2008)) (29). $\mathrm{C} 1=$ atlas, $\mathrm{C} 2=$ axis, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}=$ atlantooccipital joint.

The results of partial excision of a condyle at O-C1 joint (condylectomy) in the short type are different from the results obtained in the long type of OC. Therefore, removing the same amount of bone stock results in greater $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 1$ joint instability in shorter condyles compared to longer ones, whereas a longer condyle may necessitate more widespread resection for optimum visualization $(23,27,28)$. In addition, the thickness of OC also matters during condylectomy as one should know how deep of the OC must be drilled (21). The greater thickness of OC may facilitate the successful screw placement during occipitocervical fixation (23). The OC-W is also of surgical importance as one should know how much the condyle could be resected medially (27) .

Di et al. (2019) (1) found that by resecting approximately $43 \%$ of OC length (23.58 $\pm$ 1.96 mm ), the HC border could be reached. However, Bejjani et al. (2000) (15), suggested that resection less than $70 \%$ of OC would not show any evidences of CVJ instability. Other researchers reported the safe approximately amount of the posterior OC resection as shown in

## Table 3.

Table 3. Comparison of the approximate amount of the posterior OC resection

| Authors | Vishteh et al. (1999) <br> (30) | Shin et al. <br> (2006) <br> (31) | Barut et <br> al. (2009) <br> (32) | Karasu et <br> al. (2009) <br> (33) | Lyrtzis et al. (2017) (17) | Mazur et <br> al. (2017) <br> (16) | Di et al. (2019) <br> (1) | MEHDI et <br> al. (2020) <br> (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=51)$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=56$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=20$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=141$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=9$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=50$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ) |
| Populations | America | America | Turkey | India | Greeks | America | China | Pakistan |
| Samples | Cadaveric <br> head | Patients | Dry skulls | Dry skulls | Dry skulls | Cadaveric <br> head | Dry skulls | Patients |
| Posterior OC resection (safe zone) | less than 50\% | Less than $50 \%$ | 54.30\% | 41.90\% | $\begin{gathered} 34.5 \% \\ \text { (males) } \\ 34.6 \% \\ \text { (females) } \end{gathered}$ | $15.4 \text { - }$ $63.7 \%$ | 43.00\% | 30-40\% |

OC = occipital condyle

Kumar and Nagar (2014) (26) found that the anterior intercondylar distance of OC (AID) was 17.63 mm , and the posterior intercondylar distance of OC (PID) was 42.02 mm (Fig. 4). The PID was significantly longer in males than females $(p=0.002)$, this might be implied that female had narrow sagittal intercondylar angle when compared to males. In contrast, the AID was not significantly different between sex $(p=0.864)$ (28) . Furthermore, Farid and Fattah (2018) (34) revealed that the AID was 18.97 mm , while the PID was 38.39 mm .


Figure 4. Inferior view of occipital bone showing AID and PID of OC. AID = anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{PID}=$ posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle.

Hence, the anterior and posterior intercondylar distances show how the OC is oriented and converging, which is necessary for screw insertion during occipitocervical fixation. In addition, shorter AID and PID may offer challenges during condylectomy by lateral approach (23). Ozer et al. (2017) (35) reported that the sagittal intercondylar angle was $68.7 \pm 10.6$ and the sagittal condylar angle was $32.9 \pm 7.6$ and $38.2 \pm 7.3$ degrees on the right and left sides, respectively (Fig. 5). As a result, OC converges ventrally. This causes OC to have different anterior and posterior angles. A wider sagittal condylar angle appears to be more beneficial for reaching the ventral FM. The asymmetry in the anterior and posterior intercondylar distances, length, and shape of OC may affect the lateral approach. These data are beneficial preoperative information before determining the amount of bone that must be removed during resection of the $\mathrm{p} 1 / 3$ of $\mathrm{OC}(4,27,34,35)$.


Figure 5. Inferior view of occipital bone showing sagittal intercondylar angle ( $\alpha$ ) and the left sagittal condylar angle (ß) (35). $\mathrm{a}=$ anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{b}=$ posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

Naderi et al. (2005) (19) classified the shapes of OC into eight types as follows: oval-like condyle, kidney-like condyle, S-like condyle, eight-like condyle, triangle-like condyle, ring-like condyle, two-portioned condyle, and deformed condyle, respectively. The most common shape was oval-like condyle (50\%), whereas the most unusual shape was two-portioned condyle ( $0.8 \%$ ) described in Turkish population (Fig. 6).


Figure 6. Eight types of occipital condyle-type I: oval-like condyle; type II: kidney-like condyle; type III: Slike condyle; type IV: eight-like condyle; type V: triangle condyle; type VI: ring-like condyle; type VII: twoportioned condyle, and type VIII: deformed condyle (19) .

In addition, Anjum et al. (2021) (21) classified the shapes of OC into ten types as follows: eight-like, elongated-like, irregular-like, kidney-like, oval-like, round-like, s-like, triangular-like, rectangular-like, and two portioned -like condyles. The oval-like condyle was the most common in both males ( $40 \%$ ) and females ( $42.4 \%$ ). In contrast, the kidney-like was the most common shape in Iran population with a prevalence of $34.4 \%$, whereas deformed or irregular (2.2\%) was the most unusual shape (36).

Verma et al. (2016) (18) concluded that the shape of OC was also important during condylectomy, as kidney-like, triangles, and deformed types required wider condylectomy to reach ventral lesions. The nail insertion was easier and more convenient to fix in an oval-like because of its large surface area, while it is difficult in a triangle, ring-like, and two-portioned type of OC. Naderi et al. (2005) (19) suggested that the shape of OC may affect the amount of condylectomy. The different types of OC, such as the triangle, the deformed, and kidney-like type may require a more extensive condylectomy to reach the ventral lesions.

Chethan et al. (2012) (37) reported a protrusion of OC into FM with a prevalence of $20.7 \%$. These can lead to compression of structures passing through the FM. Muthukumar et al (2005) (38) found $20 \%$ of the skulls with OC protruded into the FM. Therefore, more bone resection during the transcondylar approach was need in the presence of OC protrusion (32). In consequence, the knowledge of the OC-L, OC-W, and OC-H, shape of the OC, and its articular facet will help the surgeons to decide the extent of bone that can be removed (18) .
2. The morphology and morphometric study of foramen magnum, hypoglossal canal, jugular foramen, and posterior condylar canal

FM is in the center of the skull base giving a passage for various essential structures such as the medulla oblongata, meninges, and vertebral arteries (34). There are three parts of FM. These are the dorsal (squamosal) part, the ventral (clival), and the condylar part of FM. The condylar part includes the OC, posterior margin of the JF and HC (4).

Shape of FM was classified as round (22.6\%), oval (15.1\%), egg (18.9\%), tetragonal (18.9\%), pentagonal (3.8\%), hexagonal (5.6\%) and irregular (15.1\%) shapes (37). However, Aragão et al. (2014) (39) classified the shapes of FM into nine types as pear-shaped (37.3\%), rounded $(15.5 \%)$, tetragonal (10.9\%), biconvex (10.9\%), hexagonal (9.1\%), oval (5.5\%), pentagonal (2.7\%), heptagonal (1.8\%) and irregular (6.4\%), respectively (Fig. 7).


Figure 7. Different shapes of foramen magnum (inferior view). A: Pear, B: Oval, C: Rounded, D: Tetragonal, E: Pentagonal, F: Hexagonal, G: Heptagonal, H: Biconvex, and I: Irregular shapes, respectively (39) .

The shape of FM is necessary for determining the amount of bone to be removed. The transcondylar approach is appropriate for patients who have a small FM, a short distance between the FM and the brainstem, and a large OC $(4,40)$. Moreover, the FM shape can affect the surgical field. A round shape provides a wider operative angle than an oval shape (41).

The anteroposterior diameter (APD) of FM was measured from the end of the anterior border (basion) to the end of the posterior border (opisthion). The transverse diameter (TD) of FM was measured from the point of maximum concavity on the right margin to the maximum concavity on the left margin of FM (Fig. 8). The mean of APD and TD from previous study were $33.79 \pm 2.60 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $28.25 \pm 1.83 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively (42). If the APD of FM is wide, it provides a better surgical exposure and more suitable for condylar resection. Additionally, the diameters of FM should be well documented for a safe OC resection (4).


Figure 8. The measurement of APD and TD of the foramen magnum. APD = anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum, $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{TD}=$ transverse diameter of foramen magnum.

Muthukumar et al. (2005) (38) calculated the FM index (FMI) by dividing the APD by TD. When the FMI was equal to or more than 1.2, the FM was considered as oval shape. Knowledge of the FMI is necessary for determining the appropriate surgical technique. Especially, in the transcondylar approach, the diameters of FM should be known for a safe OC resection $(4,43)$. In case with lesion located anterior to the brain stem, if the FM was an oval shape, a wider resection would be needed when compared to the rounded one (32).

The HC or anterior condylar canal passes in a mediolateral direction through the base of OC. HC transverses superiorly to OC at the junction of anterior one-third (a1/3) and posterior two-third (p2/3) of OC. HC lies a little bit above and anterolateral to FM (Fig. 9). HC contains a hypoglossal nerve (CN XII), an emissary vein from the basilar plexus, meningeal branch of ascending pharyngeal artery, and the venous plexus (1, 7, 44, 45) . Verma et al. (2016) (18) defined the extent of HC in relation to OC into $1 / 3, \mathrm{ml} / 3$, and $\mathrm{p} 1 / 3$ of the OC, respectively. They found that the HC was related to $1 / 3$ of OC in $85.0 \%$ of cases and $\mathrm{ml} / 3$ of OC in $15.0 \%$ of cases. HC has been used as a middle landmark of OC . It was recommended to do not drill beyond the HC during OC resection. OC drilling can cause complications with CN XII nerve injuries or OC1 joint instabilities. The accurate knowledge of the position of HC is essential to avoid unintentional injury to the CN XII $(16,28)$. Venous bleeding may occur when trying to avoid compressing the CN XII. This is often difficult as the venous plexus is usually the initial structure encountered when entering the HC. Therefore, understanding the possible positions of the HC when drilling into the occipital bone is extremely important during surgical (43).


Figure 9. Inferior view of the skull base shows hypoglossal canal (dotted line) and its orifices (red arrows); eHC and $\mathrm{iHC} . \mathrm{a} 1 / 3=$ anterior one third of $\mathrm{OC}, \mathrm{a} 2 / 3=$ anterior two third of $\mathrm{OC}, \mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{m} 1 / 3=$ middle one third of $\mathrm{OC}, \mathrm{p} 1 / 3=$ posterior one third of $\mathrm{OC}, \mathrm{p} 2 / 3=$ posterior two third of $\mathrm{OC}, \mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

Moreover, Kalthur et al. (2014) (27) revealed that the locations of intracranial orifices of HC (iHC) were present in $\mathrm{ml} / 3$ in $100 \%$ of OC in male and female. The extracranial orifice of HC (eHC) was found in a1/3 in $98.0 \%$ and $93.7 \%$ for males and females. Only $1-2 \%$ was present in $\mathrm{ml} / 3$ (Fig. 10-11). If resection extends superior and inferior to HC , most of the jugular tubercle (JT) and all condyles will be removed. Therefore, the locations of the iHC and $\mathrm{eHC}, \mathrm{JF}$, and JT are important as they may get affected during the transcondylar approach. The location of the iHC and eHC may affect the lateral approaches to the CVJ. To avoid CN XII injury the location of HC should be determined in the preoperative imaging stage (19) .

JF is formed by the jugular notches of the temporal and occipital bones. The structures that traverse JF are the glossopharyngeal (CN IX), vagus (CN X), accessory nerves (CN XI) with their ganglia, the sigmoid sinus (SS) and jugular bulb (JB), the inferior petrosal sinus, the meningeal branches of the ascending pharyngeal and occipital arteries (46-48) (Fig. 9-10).


Figure 10. The left midsagittal view of the human skull base showing iHC, JF, JT, and OC (Modified from Karasu et al. (2009)) (33) . iHC = intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{JT}=$ jugular tubercle, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{SS}=$ sigmoid sinus.


Figure 11. The right anterolateral view of human skull base showing the right side of extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal (eHC), jugular foramen (JF), and occipital condyle (OC). Bas = basion, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.


## จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

A condylar emissary vein is usually found in the condylar fossa (CF), and it should be identified on preoperative CT and MR images before surgery because it can be large, and its perforation can cause massive venous bleeding (9). The CF is located posterior to OC where the posterior condylar emissary vein often passes through the posterior condylar canal (PCC) or condylar foramen (36). This canal connects the JF and the CF (32) (Fig. 12).

Bayat et al. (2014) (36) report that CF was presented in $60.0 \%$ of dry skull $(24.0 \%$ in right side and $36.0 \%$ in left side) and PCC was found in $60.0 \%$. Muthukumar et al. (2005) (38) reported the occurrence of PCC in $60.0 \%$ of specimens and more frequently found on the right side. In addition, Vanitha et al. (2015) (49) found that the PCC presented in $88.1 \%$, in which $49.0 \%$ were bilateral and $37.0 \%$ were unilateral. Moreover, double and triple PCC were found in $1.1 \%$ both. The bony relations of the occipital condylar region on the external cranial base are shown in Fig. 12.


Figure 12. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the entire length of HC in the partial removed OC (right), JF, CF, and PCC. (Modified from Hellstern et al. (2019)) (50) . CF = condylar fossa, HC = hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{PCC}=$ the posterior condylar canal.
3. The distance between occipital condyles, foramen magnum, hypoglossal canal, and jugular foramen

The distance between OC, FM, HC, and JF has been widely studied. Ilhan et al. (2017) (4) measured the distances between OC and opisthion (Op), OC and basion (Bas). The distance from the anterior tip of OC to opisthion (OCAT-Op), and basion (OCAT-Bas) were measured as $39.43 \pm 3.34$ and $12.09 \pm 1.76 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. The distance from the posterior tip of OC to opisthion (OCPT-Op) and bsaion (OCPT-Bas) were $27.52 \pm 2.59$ and $27.93 \pm 2.67 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively (Fig. 13). The longer distance of OCPT-Op is important since it represents the width of surgical exposure in suboccipital craniotomy and provides a free corridor for posterolateral approach and a longer corridor provides a wider space for a FLA $(4,27)$. The tip of OC is closed to the median plane that should be considered during the application of screws into OC. This knowledge is essential for preventing adjacent neurovascular structures injury (23).


Figure 13. The left side of the inferior view of the skull showing (a) = OCAT-Bas, $(\mathrm{b})=$ OCAT-Op, $(\mathrm{c})=$ OCPT-Bas, and $(\mathrm{d})=$ OCPT-Op. $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, OCAT-Bas $=$ distance between the anterior tip of occipital condyle and basion, OCAT-Op = distance between the anterior tip of occipital condyle and opisthion, OCPT-Bas = distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and basion, and OCPT-Op = distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and opisthion.

Karasu et al. (2009) (33) revealed the distances from posterior tip of OC to intracranial orifice of $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OCPT}-\mathrm{iHC})$ and extracranial orifice of $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OCPT}-\mathrm{eHC})$ were $8.4 \pm 1.15$ and $13.22 \pm 2.24 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively (Fig. 14). Moreover, Di et al. (2019) (1) reported the right and left OCPT-iHC in Chinese skulls were $10.18 \pm 1.44 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $10.23 \pm 1.14 \mathrm{~mm}$. The right and left OCPT-eHC were $14.68 \pm 1.69 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $14.54 \pm 1.55$, respectively. OCPT-iHC and OCPT-eHC represents the HC depth and is of great significance during transcondylar approach, as it indicates the maximum amount of OC resection without entering the HC and damaging the structures present in $\mathrm{HC}(17,18)$.

Verma et al. (2016) (18) revealed the distance from the posterior tip of OC to the posterior-most end of JF (OCPT-JF), was $15.73 \pm 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side and $16.77 \pm 2.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side (Fig. 14). In addition, the JF was related to the $\mathrm{a} 2 / 3$ of OC in $90.0 \%$, a $1 / 3$ of OC in $7.0 \%$, and to the whole extent of OC in $3.0 \%$ cases in the North Indian population. Various neurovascular structures exit from the JF may be at risk during CVJ surgeries (18).


Figure 14. In the right inferolateral view, measurements of the left OCPT-iHC (a), the right OCPT-eHC (b), and OCPT-JF (c). Bas = basion, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, OCPT$\mathrm{eHC}=$ distances from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, OCPT$\mathrm{iHC}=$ distances from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, OCPT-JF $=$ distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to the posterior-most end of jugular foramen, Op $=$ opisthion, and PCC = posterior condylar canal.

## 4. The surface landmark for predicting the sigmoid sinus (SS) during bone resection

SS is a continuation of the transverse sinus (TS) and becomes the SS where the tentorium cerebelli ends (Fig. 15). It begins inferior to the temporal bone, posteromedial to the mastoid cells, and runs to the JF , extending through an S -shaped groove, where it meets the superior JB (51). The extracranial estimation of the intracranial venous sinuses is important for neurosurgeons to avoid venous sinus injury and resultant of blood loss or sinus occlusion with possible risk of venous infarction (52).


Figure 15. The left lateral view showing A: course of sigmoid sinus within the cranium, B: the sagittal section through a dry skull specimen showing the right sigmoid sinus sulcus (Modified from Aly and Tubbs (2020)) (51) .

The digastric point (DP) is located at the top of the digastric groove (DG). This DP is easily identifiable in dry skulls and during surgical procedures because the DG is covered by the posterior belly of the digastric muscle (DM). The relationship of the DP with the SS can be used as a lateral limit on the suboccipital access (53) (Fig. 16). Preoperative identification of the positions of the SS plays an important role in determining the success of craniotomy (54).


Figure 16. A: The right superolateral view shows digastric point, which is defined as the point at the top of the digastric groove (blue circle), B: Superior view of the internal skull using a laser pointer transillumination to detect the correspondence of the right digastric point in the inner surface of the skull (Modified from Raso et al (2011)) (53).

Raso et al. (2011) (53) studied the DP in 127 skulls ( 254 sides), They found the DP projected over the SS in $49.6 \%$ of cases on the right and in $29.9 \%$ on the left. When the DP did not project over the SS , the mean distance between this point and the SS was 3.10 mm . The surface landmarks are widely used for surgical planning. The DP is a visible landmark in lateral approaches to the posterior cranial fossa (54).

Information of distance, Op-DP, OCPT-DP, and JF-DP may help the surgeons in performing a craniotomy.

## 5. The craniovertebral junction and its lesions

The CVJ is an area that connects the cranium to the upper cervical spine, it consists of the first two cervical vertebrae ( C 1 , and C 2 ), FM , and LC that supports the brainstem. It is bounded laterally by JF, HC, and OC (1-3) (Fig. 17). The neurovascular structures associate with CVJ are the medulla oblongata, the upper spinal and low cranial nerves (CN IX, X, XI, and XII), vertebral arteries (VA) with their branches, and vertebral veins (4) .


Figure 17. The midsagittal section (left lateral view) of craniovertebral junction area that connects cranium to the upper cervical spine (Modified from Gilroy et al. (2008) (29) . $\mathrm{C} 1=$ atlas and $\mathrm{C} 2=$ axis.

The CVJ is an area of transition between brainstem and spinal cord. It consists of O-C1 and atlantoaxial ( $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ ) joint. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 1$ joint acts as flexion and extension of the cranium. $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ joint acts as mobility in flexion, extension, axial rotation, and to less lateral flexion because of the biconvex shape. The transverse and alar ligaments stabilize this joint complex. The major concern of CVJ instability is the stenotic injury to spinal cord and its derivatives $(55,56)$.

The CVJ is a common site for various lesions including neoplasms (intra- and extradural tumors), vascular lesions such as a vertebral artery aneurysm, rheumatic diseases, malformations of the CVJ, and degenerative pathologies (1, 2, 4-6) . The lesions at CVJ are difficult to treat because of their location and complex anatomic relations (7).

## 6. The far lateral approach (FLA) and its applications

FLA is used to access the ventrolateral part of CVJ and LC by drilling the lateral edge of the FM rim for tumor removal and the treatment of vascular lesions $(8,9)$ (Fig. 18). There are several types of FLA including transcondylar, supracondylar, and paracondylar approaches (10, 11) (Fig. 19).


Figure 18. Superior view of the internal skull showing surgical corridors to craniovertebral junction (CVJ) includes the anterolateral, far lateral transcondylar, posterior, posterolateral, transcondylar, and transnasal endoscopic approaches. (Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-18700-2_16)


Figure 19. The posterior view of skull showing A: The transcondylar variants, incorporates removal of posterior third of OC to HC. The shaded area denotes exposed cancellous bone, deep to articular surface of OC and caudal HC. B: The complete transcondylar variant requires removal of posterior aspect of C 1 lateral mass to open transverse foramen. This allows for inferomedial displacement of VA. OC and C1 lateral mass are then both drilled to the depth of medial HC. C: The supracondylar variant increases rostral exposure while preserving articular surface of OC. The shaded region denotes exposed cancellous bone below HC. D: The paracondylar variants, removal of JP lateral to OC, exposes transition between SS and JB (red box) (Modified from Au et al. (2018)) (10). $\mathrm{C} 1=$ atlas, $\mathrm{HC}=$ hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JB}=$ jugular bulb, $\mathrm{JP}=$ jugular process, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{SS}=$ sigmoid sinus, and $\mathrm{VA}=$ vertebral artery .

Transcondylar approach is directed through the OC or the O-C1 joint and adjacent parts of the condyle by drilling the condyles to allow a more lateral approach and provide the assessment to LC and pre-medullary area. Supracondylar approach is directed through the area above OC, provides access to the region of and medial to the HC and JT. The paracondylar exposure directed through the area lateral to the OC which includes drilling of the jugular process of the occipital bone in the area lateral to the OC and accesses the posterior part of the JF and the posterior aspect of the facial nerve (CN VII) and mastoid on the lateral side of the JF (57). The complications of FLA are the development of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak, damage to the VA, and damage of neural structures $(1,8,9)$. However, a variant of FLA can be used according to the nature of the location of a lesion in the CVJ and the relation with the surrounding neurovascular tissues (32).

Mazur et al. (2017) (16) found that removed $29 \%$ of OC caused alterations in cadaveric biomechanics. Furthermore, they reported in 2019 that two of five patients whose OC resection extended beyond the HC had a delayed presentation of severe CVJ deformity after surgery. (Fig. 20), changes in CVJ biomechanics may occur when even $\mathrm{p} 1 / 3$ of the OC was resected. Therefore, less bone removal may be required during surgery than was performed in the cadaveric model (58) .


Figure 20. A: Contrast-enhanced MRI demonstrating a jugular foramen meningioma with extension inferiorly into FM and invasion into OC and supracondylar region (red box). B: The coronal view of postoperative CT image demonstrating the remnant of the right OC after extensive drilling of bone during tumor resection (red box). C: The axial view of postoperative CT image demonstrating the remnant of the right OC. D: At 3 years, coronal MRI demonstrated persistent coronal deformity (From Mazur et al. (2019)) (58) . CT = computerized tomography scan, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{MRI}=$ magnetic resonance imaging, and $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle.

## Chapter III Material and methods

## Target population and sample population

This current study used the adult human dry skulls with unknown age and cause of death. The samples for this study were taken from the department of anatomy, faculty of medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand.

Inclusion criteria

1. Adult human dry skulls with intact occipital condyle.

## Exclusion criteria

2. Adult human dry skulls reveal the occipital condyle fracture.
3. Skulls that with broken at the cranial base.

## Sample size determination

From the pilot study of 20 skulls ( 10 males and 10 females), the standard deviation (SD) of occipital condyle length (OC-L) was 3.22 millimeter. This current study used the sample size equation from the descriptive study and confidence interval (CI) set as $95 \%$.


When $z^{2} \alpha / 2=1.96$ (two-tailed)
$S D=$ standard deviation $=3.22$
$\sigma^{2}=$ variance $=s d^{2}=3.22^{2}=10.37$
$d=$ acceptable error $=0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$

Therefore

$$
\mathrm{n}=\frac{(1.96)^{2}(3.22)^{2}}{(0.5)^{2}}
$$

$\mathrm{n}=159.32$
The calculated sample size was at least 160 occipital condyles ( 80 dry skulls). In this study, 100 dry skulls ( 50 male and 50 female skulls) were determined.


## Materials and Methods

Equipment

1. ABSOLUTE Digimatic Caliper (Mitutoyo ${ }^{\circledR}{ }^{0} \mathbf{- 1 5 0} \mathrm{~mm}$ )
2. Permanent marker
3. Pencil
4. Digital camera

Methods

1. Sex determination of the skull

Our first step was to randomly select the samples (Fig. 21). Then, we determined the sex of skulls. We used nonmetric traits for determining the sex of skulls. There are differences in the skull pattern and skull traits between sexes, for example, mastoid process, supraorbital ridge, size and architecture of skull, zygomatic extensions, nasal aperture, and mandible gonial angle. The Determination of sex by using only these six traits shows an accuracy of $94.0 \%$ (59) . The mandible gonial angle will not be considered because not all the included skulls have a mandible. According to modified Krogman's cranioscopy traits by grading, males had larger and rougher external occipital protuberance than females (60). In this study, we used general size and architecture, supraorbital ridges, mastoid process, and external occipital protuberance for sex determination of skulls (Fig. 22). Table 4 shows four criteria used to differentiate between male and female skulls. The sex determination was done by two investigators separately. If there was any conflict, the consensus was done.


Figure 21. All dry skulls were stored in plastic boxes, two of them contain in each box.

Table 4. Showing the five criteria to identifies the sex difference between male and female

| Criteria | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| General size and architecture | Large/Rugged | Small/Smooth |
| Supraorbital ridge | Round and dull | Sharper |
| Mastoid process | Medium to Large | Small to medium |
| External occipital protuberance | Large/ Rough | Small/Smooth |

Chulalongkorn University


Figure 22. A: Anterior view of a male skull with large size and round supraorbital ridge. B: Anterior view of a female skull with a smaller size and a sharp supraorbital ridge. C: The left lateral view of male skull with a
large volume of a mastoid process, and thick and rounded supraorbital ridge. D: The left lateral view of female skull with a small volume of a mastoid process, thin supraorbital ridge. E: The left posterolateral view of male skull with a prominent occipital protuberance. F: The left posterolateral view of male skull with a less marked occipital protuberance.
2. Identify the anatomical structure on the base of the skulls

There are many bony structures, foramina, and canals for blood vessels and cranial nerves on the skull base. Fourteen structures on the skull base were identified by using an Atlas of Anatomy textbook (29) , and Ilhan et al. (2017) (4) (Fig. 23).




Figure 23. A: Inferior view, B: Left - inferolateral view, C: Inferoanterior view and D: Left posterolateral views of occipital bone. 1 - Anterior tip of the occipital condyle (OCAT), 2 - Jugular foramen (JF), 3 Posterior tip of the occipital condyle (OCPT), 4 - Digastric groove (DG), 5 - Digastric point (DP), 6 Opisthion (Op), 7 - Basion (Bas), 8 - Occipital condyle (OC), 9 - Mastoid process (MT), 10 - Posterior condylar canal (PCC), 11 - Condylar fossa (CF), 12 - Foramen magnum (FM), 13 - Extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal (eHC), 14 - intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal (iHC).
3. Marking the specific points on the skull base with a permanent marker. There were fourteen points of eight bony landmarks to be marked for measuring the parameters (Table 5 and Fig. 24).

Table 5. List of landmarks on the skull base

| No | Marking point | Number |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Anterior tips of OC (Lt/Rt) | 2 |
| 2 | Posterior tips of OC (Lt/Rt) | 2 |
| 3 | Most medial point on the medial border of OC (Lt/Rt) | 2 |
| 4 | Most lateral point on the lateral border of OC (Lt/Rt) | 2 |
| 5 | Posterior- most end of JF (Lt/Rt) | 1 |
| 6 | Middle point of the anterior margin of FM (basion) | 1 |
| 7 | Middle point of the posterior margin of FM (opisthion) | 2 |
| 8 | Digastric point (Lt/Rt) | 2 |

$\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, and $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle


Figure 24. Inferior view of the occipital bone. 1; anterior tips occipital condyle (left), 2; posterior tips of the occipital condyle (left), 3; most medial point on the medial border of occipital condyle (right), 4; most lateral point on the lateral border of occipital condyle (left), 5 ; posterior most end of jugular foramen (right), 6 ; middle point of the anterior margin of foramen magnum, 7 ; middle point of the posterior margin of foramen magnum, and 8 - digastric point (right).


## จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## 4. Observations and Measurements

Seven morphological studies were observed (Table 6). Eighteen proposed measurements were recorded to two decimal places by using ABSOLUTE Digimatic Caliper (Mitutoyo ${ }^{\circledR}$ 0-150 mm ) accurate to 0.02 mm (Table 7).

Table 6. Morphological observation

| Morphological observation | Recording |
| :---: | :---: |
| OC shape | Type I; oval-like condyle, type II; kidney-like condyle, type III; S-like condyle, type IV; eight-like condyle, type V; triangle condyle, type VI; ring-like condyle, type VII; twoportioned condyle, and type VIII; deformed condyle |
| OC protrusion (p-OC) | Yes <br> No |
| Location of iHC (L-iHC) | Location 1; Anterior 1/3 of OC length <br> Location 2; Junction between anterior and middle $1 / 3$ of OC length <br> Location 3; Middle $1 / 3$ of OC length <br> Location 4; Junction between middle and posterior $1 / 3$ of OC length <br> Location 5; Posterior 1/3 of OC length |
| Location of eHC (L-eHC) | Location 1; Anterior 1/3 of OC length <br> Location 2; Junction between anterior and middle $1 / 3$ of OC length <br> Location 3; Middle $1 / 3$ of OC length <br> Location 4; Junction between middle and posterior $1 / 3$ of OC length <br> Location 5; Posterior $1 / 3$ of OC length |
| JF relation to OC (JF - OC) | Anterior $1 / 3$ of OC length Anterior 2/3 of OC length Entire OC length |
| FM shape | Type I; Pear - shaped, type II; Oval, type III; Rounded, type IV; Tetragonal, type V; Pentagonal, type VI; Hexagonal, type VII; Heptagonal, type VIII; Biconvex, and type IX; Irregular shapes |
| PCC | Presence <br> Absence |

eHC $=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{PCC}=$ posterior condylar canal.

Table 7. Definition of eighteen measurements proposed in this study

| Abbreviation |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| OC-L | The maximum anteroposterior distance between anterior and posterior tips of OC (facet) |
| OC-W | The maximum transverse distance between most medial point on the medial border and most <br> lateral point on the lateral border of OC |
| OC-H | The maximum vertical distance between upper and lower boundary of medial margin of OC |
| OCPT-iHC | The distances from posterior tip of the OC to intracranial orifice of HC |
| OCPT-eHC | The distances from posterior tip of the OC to extracranial orifice of HC |
| AID | The distance between anterior tips of right and left OC |
| PID | The distance between posterior tips of right and left OC |
| OCAT-Op | The distance between the anterior tip of OC and opisthion |
| OCAT- Bas | The distance between the anterior tip of OC and basion |
| OCPT-Op | The distance between the posterior tip of OC and opisthion |
| OCPT-Bas | The distance between the posterior tip of OC and basion |
| OCPT-JF | The distance from the posterior tip of OC to the posterior-most end of JF (midpoint of |
| posterior edge) |  |

AID $=$ anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{APD}=$ anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum, $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{DP}=$ digastric point, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, OCAT $=$ anterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H}=$ occipital condyle height, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{L}=$ occipital condyle length, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{W}=$ occipital width, PID = posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, and TD $=$ transverse diameter of foramen magnum.
4.1 OC shapes were classified into eight types based on the facet shape (19) (Fig. 25). The protrusion of OC into the FM was observed.


Figure 25. Inferior view of the skull represents occipital condyle. 1; left occipital condyle, and 2; right occipital condyle. The shape of articular facets of the occipital condyle were considered in the classification of shapes. $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.
4.2 Dimension of OC: length, width, and height were measured by a digital vernier calipers (Fig.
2). Then, the length of $O C$ was divided into three equal parts: anterior one-third (al/3), middle one-third ( $\mathrm{ml} / 3$ ), and posterior one-third ( $\mathrm{pl} / 3$ ), respectively (27) (Fig. 9).
4.3 The locations of $\mathrm{iHC}, \mathrm{eHC}$, and JF in relation to each part of OC-L were determined (Fig. 26). Distances from the posterior tip of OC to $\mathrm{iHC}, \mathrm{eHC}$ and the posterior-most end of JF were measured (Fig. 14 and 15).


Figure 26. A: Posteroanterior view of occipital bone showing the right and left intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal. B: Right inferolateral view showing extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal related to anterior $1 / 3$ of occipital condyle length (red line). jugular foramen related to anterior $2 / 3$ of occipital condyle length (yellow line) $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mastoid process, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{L}=$ occipital condyle length, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{PCC}=$ posterior condylar canal.
4.4 Distance between the anterior and posterior tips of both OC was measured (Fig. 4).
4.5 Distances of the anterior and posterior tips of OC to Bas and Op of FM were measured (Fig.
13). Measuring of the distance between Op and the posterior-most end of JF was performed (Fig. 27).


Figure 27. Inferior view of the skull base showing the distance between the opisthion and the posterior-most end of jugular foramen ( $\mathrm{Op}-\mathrm{JF}$ ). $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.
4.6 FM shapes were classified into nine types as shown in Table 7 and Fig. 7 (39). APD and TD were measured from the end of the Bas to the Op and from the point of maximum concavity on the right margin to the maximum concavity on the left margin of FM, respectively (Fig. 8). FMI was calculated APD divided by TD.
4.7 The existence of the PCC was observed. It could be bilateral, unilateral, or absent (Fig. 28).


Figure 28. Inferior view of occipital bone shows A: PCC is only present on the right side (unilateral), B: PCC is present on both sides (bilateral), and C : PCC is absent on both sides. $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, FM - foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{PCC}=$ posterior condylar canal.
4.8 The distance of DP to Op (Op-DP), JF (JF-DP), and posterior tip of OC (OCPT-DP) were measured (Fig. 29).


Figure 29. Inferior view of skull showing the left distances of $\mathrm{a}=$ distance between opisthion to digastric point $(\mathrm{Op}-\mathrm{DP}), \mathrm{b}=$ distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and digastric point $(\mathrm{OCPT}-\mathrm{DP})$, and $\mathrm{c}=$ distance between the posterior most end of jugular foramen to digastric point $(\mathrm{JF}-\mathrm{DP}) . \mathrm{Bas}=\mathrm{basion}, \mathrm{DP}=$ digastric point, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mastoid process, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{PCC}=$ posterior condylar canal.

All measurements were measured twice by a single investigator to minimize intraobserver error.

## Data Analysis and Statistics

The statistical analysis was performed by IBM SPSS Statistics, Version 22.0. To calculate Mean with SD of each parameter, paired t-test analysis to assess the mean difference in sides, independent $t$-test analysis to assess the mean difference in male and female skulls. The Chi-square test was used to investigate a possible correlation between the descriptive variables. The result was considered statistically significant when $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. Intraobserver reliability was determined for accuracy of measurement.

## Ethical Consideration

Specimens used in this study were donated for anatomical study with respected to the right of the donors in their skulls.

An approval from the human research ethics committee and the director of King Chulalongkorn Memorial hospital was required for this current study (IRB No. 0988/64).

## Chapter IV Results

The results are presented in six subsections including (1) morphological analysis and morphometry of the occipital condyle, (2) morphological analysis and morphometry of the foramen magnum, (3) the location of hypoglossal orifice and its relation to occipital condyle, (4) the relation between jugular foramen and occipital condyle, (5) the prevalence of posterior condylar canal, and (6) the distance between digastric point and surrounding structures. Tables 8
-9 show all morphometric measurements data.

Table 8. Morphometric measurement data based on sex and side

| Parameters | Total <br> Mean $\pm$ SD <br> (Min - max) $(\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{2 0 0})$ | $\begin{gathered} p \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Male <br> Mean $\pm$ SD <br> (Min - max) |  |  |  | Female$\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \pm \mathbf{S D} \\ (\operatorname{Min}-\max ) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Total | $\begin{gathered} p \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Left | Right | Total | p value |
|  |  |  | ( $\mathrm{N}=50$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=50$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{N}=50$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=50$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ ) |  |
| OC-L | $\begin{gathered} 21.32 \pm 2.44 \\ (16.02-28.80) \end{gathered}$ | 0.000* | $\begin{gathered} 22.08 \pm 2.46 \\ (18.16-28.80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.19 \pm 2.58 \\ (18.18-28.34) \end{gathered}$ | $22.13 \pm 2.51$ <br> (18.16-28.80) | $0.745$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.32 \pm 2.02 \\ (16.02-24.82) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.68 \pm 2.15 \\ (16.38-25.97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.50 \pm 2.08 \\ (16.02-25.97) \end{gathered}$ | 0.220 |
| OC-W | $\begin{gathered} 10.51 \pm 1.41 \\ (7.38-15.07) \end{gathered}$ | 0.294 | $\begin{gathered} 10.31 \pm 1.36 \\ (7.38-15.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.51 \pm 1.59 \\ (7.67-14.64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.41 \pm 1.48 \\ & (7.38-15.07) \end{aligned}$ | 0.394 | $\begin{gathered} 10.58 \pm 1.21 \\ (7.43-13.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.65 \pm 1.48 \\ (8.21-13.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.62 \pm 1.34 \\ (7.43-13.70) \end{gathered}$ | 0.766 |
| OC-H | $\begin{gathered} 7.39 \pm 1.14 \\ (4.54-10.81) \end{gathered}$ | 0.484 | $\begin{gathered} 7.31 \pm 1.09 \\ (5.24-10.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.59 \pm 1.19 \\ (5.48-10.81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.45 \pm 1.14 \\ (5.24-10.81) \end{gathered}$ | 0.024* | $\begin{gathered} 7.14 \pm 1.18 \\ (4.54-9.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.53 \pm 1.06 \\ (5.29-9.71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.34 \pm 1.13 \\ (4.54-9.71) \end{gathered}$ | 0.003* |
| OCAT- Bas | $\begin{gathered} 11.49 \pm 1.43 \\ (8.27-15.85) \end{gathered}$ | 0.961 | $\begin{gathered} 11.69 \pm 1.60 \\ (8.27-15.85) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.29 \pm 1.39 \\ (8.58-14.75) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.49 \pm 1.50 \\ & (8.27-15.85) \end{aligned}$ | $0.137$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.60 \pm 1.28 \\ (8.83-14.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.36 \pm 1.44 \\ (8.57-14.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.48 \pm 1.36 \\ (8.57-14.34) \end{gathered}$ | 0.271 |
| OCAT- Op | $\begin{gathered} 39.11 \pm 3.25 \\ (24.47-47.52) \end{gathered}$ | 0.000* | $\begin{gathered} 40.27 \pm 2.92 \\ (34.68-47.52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 39.91 \pm 2.77 \\ \hline(33.82-46.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40.09 \pm 2.84 \\ (33.82-47.52) \end{gathered}$ | 0.091 | $\begin{gathered} 38.18 \pm 3.47 \\ (24.47-44.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.08 \pm 3.26 \\ (28.11-44.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.13 \pm 3.35 \\ (24.47-44.29) \end{gathered}$ | 0.658 |
| OCPT- Bas | $\begin{gathered} 25.19 \pm 2.18 \\ (19.86-32.34) \end{gathered}$ | 0.047* | $\begin{gathered} 25.28 \pm 2.32 \\ (20.37-31.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.71 \pm 2.17 \\ (21.72-31.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.50 \pm 2.25 \\ (20.37-31.59) \end{gathered}$ | $0.09$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.55 \pm 2.06 \\ (19.86-29.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.22 \pm 2.06 \\ (20.23-32.34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.88 \pm 2.08 \\ (19.86-32.34) \end{gathered}$ | 0.029* |
| OCPT- Op | $\begin{gathered} 27.38 \pm 2.68 \\ (21.16-35.47) \end{gathered}$ | 0.680 | $\begin{gathered} 27.45 \pm 2.98 \\ (21.46-35.47) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.46 \pm 2.76 \\ (21.53-34.37) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.46 \pm 2.86 \\ (21.46-35.47) \end{gathered}$ | $0.976$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.12 \pm 2.40 \\ (23.09-32.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.48 \pm 2.59 \\ (21.16-31.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.30 \pm 2.49 \\ (21.16-32.55) \end{gathered}$ | 0.310 |
| OCPT-iHC | $\begin{gathered} 9.00 \pm 1.59 \\ (4.35-12.38) \end{gathered}$ | 0.138 | $\begin{gathered} 9.05 \pm 1.42 \\ (6.23-12.16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.27 \pm 1.69 \\ (5.52-12.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.16 \pm 1.56 \\ (5.52-12.16) \end{gathered}$ | 0.332 | $\begin{gathered} 8.63 \pm 1.67 \\ (4.35-12.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.03 \pm 1.55 \\ (6.22-12.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.83 \pm 1.62 \\ (4.35-12.38) \end{gathered}$ | 0.023* |
| OCPT-eHC | $\begin{gathered} 13.70 \pm 2.23 \\ (6.99-18.69) \end{gathered}$ | 0.011* | $\begin{gathered} 14.16 \pm 2.03 \\ (9.40-18.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.04 \pm 1.96 \\ (8.70-17.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.10 \pm 1.98 \\ (8.70-18.40) \end{gathered}$ | 0.604 | $\begin{gathered} 13.13 \pm 2.19 \\ (6.99-18.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.48 \pm 2.59 \\ (8.39-17.96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.30 \pm 2.40 \\ (6.99-18.69) \end{gathered}$ | 0.189 |
| OCPT-JF | $\begin{gathered} 16.15 \pm 2.35 \\ (9.31-21.55) \end{gathered}$ | 0.164 | $\begin{gathered} 16.67 \pm 2.35 \\ (11.95-21.55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.10 \pm 2.20 \\ (10.25-20.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.38 \pm 2.28 \\ (10.25-21.55) \end{gathered}$ | 0.091 | $\begin{gathered} 16.06 \pm 1.79 \\ (12.34-20.68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.78 \pm 2.91 \\ (9.31-21.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.92 \pm 2.41 \\ (9.31-21.48) \end{gathered}$ | 0.485 |
| Op-JF | $\begin{gathered} 43.29 \pm 2.93 \\ (36.65-50.08) \end{gathered}$ | 0.014* | $\begin{gathered} 44.08 \pm 2.77 \\ (38.94-50.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.51 \pm 3.09 \\ (36.65-49.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.80 \pm 2.94 \\ (36.65-50.08) \end{gathered}$ | 0.068 | $\begin{gathered} 42.86 \pm 2.44 \\ (38.12-47.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.70 \pm 3.25 \\ (36.94-49.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.78 \pm 2.86 \\ (36.94-49.40) \end{gathered}$ | 0.565 |
| Op-DP | $\begin{gathered} 54.54 \pm 3.50 \\ (42.95-64.31) \end{gathered}$ | 0.038* | $\begin{gathered} 55.33 \pm 3.35 \\ (48.42-64.31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.78 \pm 3.73 \\ (48.35-61.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.05 \pm 3.54 \\ (48.35-64.31) \end{gathered}$ | 0.191 | $\begin{gathered} 53.78 \pm 2.85 \\ (48.46-59.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.27 \pm 3.90 \\ (42.95-63.45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.03 \pm 3.41 \\ (42.95-63.45) \end{gathered}$ | 0.238 |
| OCPT-DP | $\begin{gathered} 36.72 \pm 4.07 \\ (25.62-46.63) \end{gathered}$ | 0.062 | $\begin{gathered} 37.31 \pm 3.31 \\ (29.32-44.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.21 \pm 3.81 \\ (26.99-45.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.26 \pm 3.55 \\ (26.99-45.66) \end{gathered}$ | 0.869 | $\begin{gathered} 36.18 \pm 4.07 \\ (27.17-45.78) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.18 \pm 4.91 \\ (25.62-46.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.18 \pm 4.49 \\ (25.62-46.63) \end{gathered}$ | 0.998 |
| JF-DP | $\begin{gathered} 34.18 \pm 4.15 \\ (25.72-56.63) \end{gathered}$ | 0.003* | $\begin{gathered} 35.22 \pm 3.43 \\ (27.29-41.41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.88 \pm 4.11 \\ (27.21-47.59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.05 \pm 3.77 \\ (27.21-47.59) \end{gathered}$ | 0.565 | $\begin{gathered} 33.51 \pm 3.20 \\ (27.60-44.61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.13 \pm 5.27 \\ (25.72-56.63) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.32 \pm 4.34 \\ (25.72-56.63) \end{gathered}$ | 0.561 |

$\mathrm{JF}-\mathrm{DP}=$ distance between the posterior most end of jugular foramen to digastric point, OCAT-Bas $=$ distance from the anterior tip of occipital condyle to basion, OCAT-Op = distance from the anterior tip of occipital
condyle to opisthion, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H}=$ occipital condyle height, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{L}=$ occipital condyle length, $\mathrm{OCPT}-\mathrm{eHC}=$ distances from posterior tip of occipital condyle to extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, OCPT-iHC $=$ distances from posterior tip of occipital condyle to intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, OCPT-JF $=$ distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to the posterior most end of jugular foramen, OCPT-Bas $=$ distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to basion, OCPT-DP $=$ distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and digastric point, ОСРТ-Op $=$ distance from the posterior tip of occipital condyle to opisthion, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{W}=$ occipital condyle width, $\mathrm{Op}-\mathrm{DP}=$ distance between the end of the posterior border of foramen magnum (opisthion) to digastric point, and $\mathrm{Op}-\mathrm{JF}=$ distance between the opisthion and posterior most end of jugular foramen. *Statistically significant difference between group.

Table 9. Mean distances of AID, PID, APD, TD, and FMI

| Parameters | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | $p$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{N}=50$ ) |  | ( $\mathrm{N}=50$ ) |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \pm \text { SD } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Min}-\max \\ (\mathbf{m m}) \end{gathered}$ | Mean $\pm$ SD <br> (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Min}-\max \\ (\mathbf{m m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \pm \text { SD } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Min}-\max \\ (\mathbf{m m}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| AID | $21.17 \pm 2.55$ | 13.53-27.54 | $21.17 \pm 2.61$ | 13.53-27.54 | $21.16 \pm 2.52$ | 15.53-25.76 | 0.984 |
| PID | $39.55 \pm 4.26$ | 28.93-51.14 | $39.83 \pm 4.57$ | - 31.67-51.14 | $39.26 \pm 3.95$ | 28.93-47.27 | 0.507 |
| APD | $34.19 \pm 2.46$ | 27.87-39.81 | $34.72 \pm 2.35$ | 27.87-39.81 | $33.66 \pm 2.47$ | 28.50-39.67 | 0.031* |
| TD | $29.17 \pm 2.14$ | 24.13-35.32 | $29.11 \pm 2.41$ | 24.13-35.32 | $29.23 \pm 1.86$ | 25.51-33.57 | 0.777 |
| FMI | $1.18 \pm 0.10$ | 0.95-1.18 | $1.20 \pm 0.11$ | 0.95-1.46 | $1.15 \pm 0.08$ | $1.00-1.37$ | 0.021* |

AID $=$ anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, APD $=$ anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum, $\mathrm{FMI}=$ foramen magnum index, $\mathrm{PID}=$ posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{TD}=$ transverse diameter of foramen magnum. *Statistically significant difference between group.

## 1. Morphological analysis and morphometry of the occipital condyle

The adult human dry skulls with intact OC from 50 males and 50 females provided by Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University were used. All skulls had no evidence of OC fracture and broken at the cranial base. The morphological types that were found are represented in Fig. 30. The most prevalent shape of OC was S-like condyle ( $26.0 \%$ ) in males and oval-like condyle ( $41.0 \%$ ) in females. Statistically significant difference of OC shape was found between sex $(p=0.037)$, but not found between sides in male ( $p=0.886$ ) and in female ( $p=0.757$ ). Prevalence of morphological types of OC is presented in Table 10.


Figure 30. Photographs showing eight shapes of occipital condyle - A: oval-like condyle; B: kidney-like condyle; C: S-like condyle; D: eight-like condyle; E: triangle condyle; F: ring-like condyle; G: two-portioned condyle, and H : deformed condyle, respectively.

Symmetrical and asymmetrical forms were observed in 46.0 \% (46/100) and $54.0 \%$ (54/100) of the skulls, respectively. As a result, OC symmetry was found in $20.0 \%(20 / 100)$ of males and 26.0 \% (26/100) of females. Prevalence of symmetrical type of OC is presented in

Table 11.

Table 10. Prevalence of morphological types of OC


Type I: oval-like condyle; type II: kidney-like condyle; type III: S-like condyle; type IV: eight-like condyle; type V: triangle condyle; type VI: ring-like condyle; type VII: two-portioned condyle, and type VIII: deformed condyle. *Statistically significant difference between group.

Table 11. Prevalence of symmetrical type of OC

| Type | Number of symmetry (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| I | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (35.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (65.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (43.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| II | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (13.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| III | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (30.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (21.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| IV | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (10.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| v | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5.0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (10.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| VI |  |  | - |
| VII | $(5.0 \%)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| VIII | (5.0\%) | $(5.0 \%)$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (4.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\stackrel{20}{(100.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Type I: oval-like condyle; type II: kidney-like condyle; type III: S-like condyle; type IV: eight-like condyle; type V: triangle condyle; type VI: ring-like condyle; type VII: two-portioned condyle, and type VIII: deformed condyle.

The OC protruded into FM in $31.5 \%(63 / 200)$. As a results, $32.0 \%(32 / 100)$ of males and $31.0 \%(31 / 100)$ of females. Furthermore, OC protrusion was identified in $29.0 \%(29 / 100)$ on the left side and $34.0 \%(34 / 100)$ on the right side (Table 12). There was no significant difference in OC protrusion between sex $(p=0.879)$, and sides in male $(p=0.668)$ and in female $(p=$ 0.517).

Table 12. Prevalence of OC protrude into FM

| Type | Number of OC protrusion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | p <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | p <br> value | 17 | Female |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Right | Total |  | Left | Right | Total |  |  |  |
| Presence | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (15.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (17.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{32}{(32.0 \%)}$ | $0.668$ | 14 <br> $(14.0 \%)$ <br> 36 <br> $(36.0 \%)$ <br> 50 <br> $(50.0 \%)$ | 17 | 31 | 0.517 | 63 | 0.879 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (17.0\%) | (31.0\%) |  | (31.5\%) |  |
| Absence | 35 | 33 | 68 |  |  | 33 | 69 |  | 137 |  |
|  | (35.0\%) | (33.0\%) | (68.0\%) |  |  | (33.0\%) | (69.0\%) |  | (68.5\%) |  |
| Total | 50 | 50 | 00 |  |  | 50 | 100 |  | 200 |  |
|  | (50.0\%) | (50.0\%) | (100.0\%) |  |  | (50.0\%) | (100.0\%) |  | (100.0\%) |  |

$\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle

The mean and range of length, width, and height of OC were $22.13 \pm 2.51$ (18.16 28.80), $10.41 \pm 1.48(7.38-15.07)$, and $7.45 \pm 1.14(5.24-10.81) \mathrm{mm}$, respectively in males, and $20.50 \pm 2.08(16.02-25.97), 10.62 \pm 1.34(7.43-13.70)$, and $7.34 \pm 1.13(4.54-9.71) \mathrm{mm}$, respectively in females, (Fig. 31). The $p$ - value for the length of OC between male and female was highly significant $(p=0.000)$, however there was no significant difference in the width ( $p=$ $0.294)$ or height ( $p=0.484$ ) of the OC. Additionally, we noticed a significant difference in OC height between the left and right sides of male $(p=0.024)$ and female ( $p=0.003$ ) (Table 8).


Figure 31. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean distances of total OC-L (left side), OC-W (left side), and OC-H (right side), respectively. $\mathrm{a}=$ the most medial point on the medial border of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{b}=$ the most lateral point on the lateral border of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{c}=$ anterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{d}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{e}=$ upper boundary of medial margin of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{f}=$ lower boundary of medial margin of occipital condyle. $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{H}=$ occipital condyle height, $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{L}=$ occipital condyle length, and $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{W}=$ occipital condyle width, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

OC was classified according to its length (Table 13). The most prevalence of OC length of sex was the moderate length ( $62.5 \%$ ). In comparison, females showed a greater prevalence of short OC types (41.0\%). There was a significant sex difference in the proportions of OC types ( $p$ $=0.001)$.

Table 13. Prevalence of type of OC length

| Type | Classification of occipital condyle according to its length |  |  |  |  |  | Total | p <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |
|  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |  |
| Short | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (12.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (13.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (25.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | (21.0\%) | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (20.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (41.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (33.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0.001* |
| Moderate | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (34.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (32.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (66.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{29}{(29.0 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (30.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (59.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ (62.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Long | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (4.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (5.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | (9.0\%) |  | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (4.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  |

*Statistically significant difference between group.

The mean AID and PID in male skulls were $21.17 \pm 2.61$ (13.53-27.54) and $39.83 \pm$ 4.57 (31.67-51.14) mm, while in female skulls they were $21.16 \pm 2.52(15.53-25.76)$ and 39.26 $\pm 3.95$ (28.93-47.27) mm (Table 9). There was no significant sex difference in AID ( $p=0.984$ ) or PID $(p=0.507)($ Fig. 32 $)$.


Figure 32. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean of total AID and PID. AID $=$ anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle, OCAT = anterior tip of occipital condyle, OCPT = posterior tip of occipital condyle, and PID = posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle.

## 2. Morphological analysis and morphometry of the foramen magnum

Morphological types of FM found in the present study are demonstrated in Fig. 33. Eight morphological types were identified among 100 FM: Hexagonal 27.0 \%, Pentagonal 12.0 \%, Biconvex 12.0 \%, Heptagonal 11.0 \%, Tetragonal $11.0 \%$, Pear $11.0 \%$, Oval $9.0 \%$, and Rounded $7.0 \%$. The irregular shape was not found in this study. There was no significant difference in FM shapes between sex $(p=0.876)$. The prevalence of each morphological type of FM is presented in

Table 14.


Figure 33. Foramen magnum in different shapes (inferior view). A: Pear; B: Oval; C: Rounded; D: Tetragonal; E: Pentagonal; F: Hexagonal; G: Heptagonal and H: Biconvex, respectively.

Table 14. Prevalence of morphological types of FM


FM $=$ foramen magnum

The mean APD and TD in male skulls were $34.72 \pm 2.35$ (27.87-39.81) and $29.11 \pm$ 2.41 (24.13-35.32) mm, respectively, while in female skulls they were $33.66 \pm 2.47$ (28.50 39.67) and $29.23 \pm 1.86(25.51-33.57) \mathrm{mm}$ (Table 9). There was a significant sex difference in APD $(p=0.031)$, but no significant sex difference in TD $(p=0.777)($ Fig. 34). Furthermore, the average FMI in males was $1.20 \pm 0.11(0.95-1.46)$ and $1.15 \pm 0.08(1.00-1.37)$ in females (Table 9). There was a significant difference in FMI between males and females ( $p=0.021$ ). Additionally, the oval shape was detected in $39.0 \%$, while the rounded shape was found in 61.0 $\%$. The prevalence of FM with an oval shape was determined to be $48.0 \%(24 / 50)$ in males and 30.0 \% (15/50) in females.


Figure 34. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean of total APD and TD. APD = anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum, $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{TD}=$ transverse diameter of foramen magnum.

The mean distance of OCAT-Bas and OCAT-Op were $11.49 \pm 1.50(8.27-15.85), 40.09$ $\pm 2.84(33.82-47.52) \mathrm{mm}$ in male and $11.48 \pm 1.36(8.57-14.34), 38.13 \pm 3.35(24.47-44.29)$ mm in female. The mean distance of ОСРТ-Bas and ОСРТ-Op were $25.50 \pm 2.25$ (20.37-31.59), $27.46 \pm 2.86(21.46-35.47) \mathrm{mm}$ in male, $24.88 \pm 2.08(19.86-32.34), 27.30 \pm 2.49(21.16-$ 32.55) mm in female (Fig. 35) (Table 8). There were significant differences between sex in OCAT- Op $(p=0.000)$ and OCPT- Bas $(p=0.047)$. However, we found a statistically significant difference in OCPT- Bas between sides $(p=0.005)$.


Figure 35. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the mean total OCAT- Bas, OCAT- Op, OCPT- Bas, and OCPT- Op in the left side. Bas = basion, OCAT = anterior tip of occipital condyle, OCPT = posterior tip of occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

## 3. The location of hypoglossal orifice and its relation to occipital condyle

The iHC was commonly found in location 3 in $46.0 \%(46 / 100)$ of male and $44.0 \%$ (44/100) of female. In contrast, eHC was found in $76.0 \%(76 / 100)$ of males and $72.0 \%(72 / 100)$ of females in location 1. There were no significant different in the location of iHC and eHc between female sides ( $p=0.292$ and 0.585 , respectively) and location of eHC between male sides ( $p=0.058$ ). However, there was a statistically significant difference in iHC location between male sides $(p=0.000)($ Table 15) (Fig. 36).

Table 15. Location of the iHC and related to part of OC in male and female skull


Location 1: anterior $1 / 3$ of OC; Location 2: Junction between anterior and middle $1 / 3$ of OC; Location 3: middle $1 / 3$ of OC; Location 4: Junction between middle and posterior $1 / 3$ of OC and location 5: Posterior $1 / 3$ of OC. $\mathrm{HC}=$ hypoglossal canal and $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle. *Statistically significant difference between group.

The symmetry of eHC and iHC location in relation to OC was found in $64.0 \%$ (males = $35.0 \%$, females $=29.0 \%$ ) and $36.0 \%$ (males $=18.0 \%$, females $=18.0 \%$ ), respectively. As a result, the most common symmetry of eHC location was found in location $1(89.06 \%)$, while the most common symmetry of iHC location was found in location 3 (61.11\%). Prevalence of symmetrical type of HC location is presented in Table 16.

Table 16. Prevalence of symmetrical type of HC location

| Location of HC related to OC | Intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal <br> (iHC) |  |  | Extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal <br> (eHC) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Location 1 | - | (5.56\%) | 1 (2.78\%) | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (88.57 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (89.65 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ (89.06 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Location 2 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (27.78 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (27.78 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (27.78 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8.57 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (6.90 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (7.81 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Location 3 | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (72.22 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (50.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (61.11 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (2.86 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (3.45 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (3.13 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Location 4 |  | $\frac{3}{(16.67 \%)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (8.33 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Location 5 | - | - | 87- | - | - | - |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Location 1: anterior $1 / 3$ of OC ; Location 2: Junction between anterior and middle $1 / 3$ of OC; Location 3: middle $1 / 3$ of OC; Location 4: Junction between middle and posterior $1 / 3$ of OC and location 5: Posterior $1 / 3$ of OC. $\mathrm{HC}=$ hypoglossal canal and $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle.


Figure 36. Inferior view of occipital bone showing relation between hypoglossal canal and occipital condyle. $\mathrm{a} 1 / 3=$ anterior one third of occipital condyle, eHC $=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular canal, $\mathrm{L} 1=$ anterior $1 / 3$ of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{L} 2=$ junction between anterior and middle $1 / 3$ of occipital condyle, L3 $=$ middle one third of occipital condyle, L4 = junction between middle and posterior $1 / 3$ of occipital condyle, L5 $=$ posterior one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{ml} / 3=$ middle one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{p} 1 / 3=$ posterior one third of occipital condyle.

The mean OCPT-iHC was $9.16 \pm 1.56(5.52-12.16) \mathrm{mm}$ in males and $8.83 \pm 1.62(4.35$ - 12.38) mm in females. There was no statistically significant difference between sex $(p=0.138)$, but there was a difference between sides $(p=0.030)$. Males had a mean OCPT-eHC of $14.10 \pm$ $1.98(8.70-18.40) \mathrm{mm}$ while females had a mean OCPT-eHC of $13.30 \pm 2.40(6.99-18.69) \mathrm{mm}$. There was a difference in OCPT-eHC between the sex $(p=0.011)$, but not between sides $(p=$ $0.519)($ Fig. 37) (Table 8).


Figure 37. The right inferolateral view of occipital bone showing total mean distances of OCPT-eHC (right side) and OCPT-iHC (left side). Bas $=$ basion, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of the occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

## 4. The relation between jugular foramen and occipital condyle

The JF was related to the anterior $2 / 3$ of the OC in $81.0 \%(162 / 200)$, the anterior $1 / 3$ of the OC in $12.5 \%(25 / 200)$, and the entire OC length in $6.5 \%$ (13/200) (Table 17) (Fig. 38). Additionally, JF was related to anterior $1 / 3$ of OC length in $17.0 \%$ of male $($ left $=15.0 \%$, right $=$ $2.0 \%$ ), and $8.0 \%$ of female (left $=6.0 \%$, right $=2.0 \%$ ), anterior $2 / 3$ of OC length in $80.0 \%$ of male $($ left $=35.0 \%$, right $=45.0 \%)$, and $82.0 \%$ of female $($ left $=41.0 \%$, right $=41.0 \%)$, and entire OC length in $3.0 \%$ of male $($ left $=0.0 \%$, right $=3.0 \%)$, and $10.0 \%$ of female $($ left $=3.0 \%$, right $=$ $7.0 \%$ ). There was a statistically significant difference in JF related to OC between sides of male ( $p=0.001$ ), but no statistically significant difference in JF related to OC between sides of female ( $p=0.165$ ).

Table 17. Extent of JF in relation to OC

| JF in relation to OC | Male |  |  | p value | Female |  |  | $\begin{gathered} p \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Right | Total |  | Left | Right | Total |  |  |
| Anterior 1/3 of OC length | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (15.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (17.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $0.001^{*}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ (6.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (2.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (8.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 0.165 | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (12.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anterior 2/3 of OC <br> length | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (35.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ (45.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (80.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (41.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (41.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ (82.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ (81.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Entire OC length | - | 3 <br> (3.0\%) | $\frac{3}{(3.0 \%)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (7.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ (10.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (6.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 100 $(100.0 \%)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ (50.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (100.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

$\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, and $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle. $*$ Statistically significant difference between group.


Figure 38. The right inferolateral view of occipital bone showing jugular foramen related to anterior two third of occipital condyle length (red line). $\mathrm{a}=$ anterior two third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{a} 1 / 3=$ anterior one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{b}=$ entire occipital condyle length, $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, $\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{ml} / 3=$ middle one third of occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion, and $\mathrm{p} 1 / 3=$ posterior one third of occipital condyle.

Males had an OCPT-JF of $16.38 \pm 2.28(10.25-21.55) \mathrm{mm}$ while females had an OCPTJF of $15.92 \pm 2.41$ (9.31-21.48) mm (Fig. 39). The mean Op-JF were $43.80 \pm 2.94$ (36.6550.08) mm in males and $42.78 \pm 2.86(36.94-49.40) \mathrm{mm}$ in females (Fig. 40). There was no significant difference of the OCPT-JF between sex $(p=0.164)$ or sides $(p=0.102)$, however there was a significant difference of the Op-JF between sex $(p=0.014)$ and no significant difference was found in sides $(p=0.080)$ (Table 8).


Figure 39. The right inferolateral view of occipital bone showing the total mean distance of OCPT-JF (right side). $\mathrm{Bas}=$ basion, extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{FM}=$ foramen magnum, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.


Figure 40. Inferior view of occipital bone showing the total mean distance of Op-JF (right side). Bas = basion, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, and $\mathrm{Op}=$ opisthion.

## 5. The prevalence of posterior condylar canal (PCC)

The PCC was found in $74.0 \% ~(148 / 200$ sides $)$ of the sides of skulls, with 75 sides of males and 73 sides of females. More frequency was found on the right side $(78.0 \%)$ than on the left side ( $70.0 \%$ ). Bilateral PCC was found in $57.0 \%$ (57/100) and unilateral PCC was $34.0 \%$ (left $=13.0 \%$, right $=21.0 \%$ ) (Fig. 28) (Table 18). There was no statistically significant difference in the presence of PCC in sex $(p=0.747)$.

Table 18. Prevalence of PCC

| Types of PCC | Number of PCC |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PCC $=$ posterior condylar canal

## 6. The distance between digastric point and surrounding structures

The mean Op-DP, OCPT-DP, JF-DP were $55.05 \pm 3.54(48.35-64.31), 37.26 \pm 3.55$ (26.99-45.66), and $35.05 \pm 3.77(27.21-47.59) \mathrm{mm}$ in males, and $54.03 \pm 3.41(42.95-63.45)$, $36.18 \pm 4.49(25.62-46.63)$, and $33.32 \pm 4.34(25.72-56.63)$ in females, respectively. There was a significant difference of the Op-DP $(p=0.038)$ and JF-DP $(p=0.003)$ between sex (Fig. 41A)
(Table 8). The triangle consists of Op-DP, JF-DP and Op-JF is presented in Fig. 41B.


Figure 41. inferior view of occipital bone showing A: The mean distances of total Op-DP, OCPT-DP, and JFDP. B: The triangle consists of Op-DP, JF-DP and Op-JF. $\quad$ Bas $=$ basion, $\mathrm{DP}=$ digastric point, $\mathrm{JF}=$ jugular foramen, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mastoid process, $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle, $\mathrm{OCPT}=$ posterior tip of occipital condyle, and Op $=$ opisthion.

## Chapter V Discussion

The OCs are two bony structures found on both side of FM on the inferior surface of the occipital bone. It is commonly characterized as an ovoid structure with a convex downward and lateral projection and a long axis that runs anteriorly and medially. The morphological or morphometric analysis of OC has been used in the majority of CVJ anatomical and biomechanical studies (1, 20-22) . Verma et al. (2016) (18) concluded that the shape of OC was also important during condylectomy. The kidney-like, triangles, and deformed types required wider condylectomy to reach the ventral lesions. The nail insertion was easier and more convenient to fix in an oval-like because of its large surface area, while it is difficult in a triangle, ring-like, and two-portioned type of OC. Naderi et al. (2005) (19) suggested that the shape of OC may affect the amount of condylectomy. In this study, the most prevalent shape of OC was oval-like condyle or type I ( $33.0 \%$ ), which was comparable with previous research $(18,19,21,27,35)$. In contrast to our findings, Arago et al. (2017) (20), found that S-like condyle or type III was the most common shape, while Bayat et al. (2014) (36), found that kidney-like condyle or type II was the most common shape. In this study, $46.0 \%$ of OC had a symmetrical shape. However, symmetrical shape was identified in $51.0 \%$ (19) and $62.0 \%$ (27) of the cases in previous studies. OC protrusion into FM with a prevalence of $20.7 \%$ (37) and $20.0 \%$ (38) of the skulls. In the case of OC protrusion, more bone resection was required during the transcondylar approach (32) . In this study, we revealed that OC protruded into FM in $31.5 \%$ of the skulls.

In the current study, the mean length of OC was $21.32 \pm 2.44 \mathrm{~mm}$, which was shorter than OC length reported by Di et al. (2019), (23.58 $\pm 1.96$ ) (1) and Saluja et al. (2016) (22.75 $\pm$ 2.90) (23). Females had a significantly shorter OC than males similar to previous reports (24) (26) (Table 1). Moreover, OC was classified into three types based on its length. In our analysis, the most common was moderate type (range; $20-26 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) ( $62.5 \%$ ) similar to previous research $(19,28)$ (Table 2). Removing the same amount of bone stock leads in increased $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 1$ joint instability in shorter condyles compared to longer ones (28). However, a longer condyle may require more extensive resection for optimal visualization (23, 27). Bejjani et al. (2000) (15)
claimed that resection of less than $70 \%$ of the length of OC would not reveal evidence of CVJ instability, although other previous studies suggested that resection of less than $50 \%$ of the length of OC would not show evidence of CVJ instability (1, 5, 17, 30, 31, 33) (Table 3). As a result, we proposed in this work that the appropriated resection should be less than 11.07 mm in male and 10.25 mm in female from the posterior tip of OC. The average width of OC was $10.51 \pm 1.41$ mm , and the average height of OC was $7.39 \pm 1.14 \mathrm{~mm}$. The dimension of OC was smaller than the results reported by Di et al. (2019) (1) and Saluja et al. (2016) (23) (Table 1). The width of OC is important in surgery because it determines how much medially the condyle can be resected (27). A patient with a large OC has limited exposure to the ventral aspect of the CVJ (40). The height of the OC is also important during condylectomy because it is necessary to determine how deep the OC must be drilled (21). Furthermore, the higher thickness of OC may improve in the successful insertion of screws during occipitocervical fixation (23).

Table 19. Comparison of AID and PID with previous studies

| Parameters | Sex | Naderi et al. (2005)(19) | Saluja et al. (2016) (23) | Cheruiyot et al. (2018) (28) | Farid et al. (2018) (34) | Current study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $(\mathrm{N}=202)$ <br> Turkey | $(N=114)$ <br> India | $(\mathrm{N}=52)$ <br> Kenya | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=75) \\ \text { Egypt } \end{gathered}$ | $(\mathbf{N}=100)$ <br> Thailand |
| AID (mm) | Male |  |  | $19.71 \pm 2.47$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 21.17 \pm 2.61 \\ (13.53-27.54) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Female | - 1 ¢ | 0 R | $19.62 \pm 2.96$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 21.16 \pm 2.52 \\ (15.53-25.76) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 21.0 \pm 2.8 \\ (13.8-32.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.81 \pm 2.93 \\ & (12.68-26.82) \end{aligned}$ | $19.66 \pm 2.70$ | $18.97 \pm 2.03$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.17 \pm 2.55 \\ (13.53-27.54) \end{gathered}$ |
| PID (mm) | Male | - | - | $39.41 \pm 2.73$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 39.83 \pm 4.57 \\ (31.67-51.14) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Female | - | - | $37.56 \pm 3.21$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 39.26 \pm 3.95 \\ (28.93-47.27) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 41.6 \pm 2.9 \\ (35.1-48.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.91 \pm 4.16 \\ (27.62-49.58) \end{gathered}$ | $38.52 \pm 3.09$ | $38.39 \pm 4.4$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.55 \pm 4.26 \\ (28.93-51.14) \end{gathered}$ |

AID $=$ anterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle
PID $=$ posterior intercondylar distance of occipital condyle

The anterior and posterior intercondylar distances demonstrate how the OC is oriented and converging, which is necessary for screw insertion during occipitocervical fixation. The tip of the OC is close to the median plane, which should be noted while applying screws to the OC. This understanding is important for avoiding damage to nearby neurovascular structures (23). As a result, shorter AID and PID may offer challenges during condylectomy by lateral approach (23). In our study, the mean AID and PID were $21.17 \pm 2.55$ (13.53-27.54) and $39.55 \pm 4.26$ (28.9351.14) mm, respectively. Our AID and PID values were longer than those of Farid and Fattah (2018) (34) but shorter than those of Naderi et al. (2005) (19) (Table 19). However, we found no difference in AID or PID between sex. In contrast, Cheruiyot et al. (2018) (28) revealed that PID was significantly longer in males than females $(p=0.002)$, this suggests that females have narrow sagittal intercondylar angles compared to males (Fig. 5). As a result, a wider sagittal condylar angle appears to be more advantageous for accessing the ventral FM (35).

Table 20. Comparison of OCAT-Bas, OCAT-Op, OCPT-Bas, and OCPT-Op with previous studies

| Parameters | Sides | Sex | Naderi et al. (2005)(19) | Kalthur et al. (2014) (27) | Saluja et al. (2016) (23) | Ilhan et al. (2017) (4) | Current study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $(\mathrm{N}=202)$ <br> Turkey | $(\mathrm{N}=71)$ <br> India | $(\mathrm{N}=114)$ <br> India | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=100) \\ \text { Turkey } \end{gathered}$ | $(\mathrm{N}=100)$ <br> Thailand |
| OCAT-Bas | Left | Male | ลงทฺรถ | $11.6 \pm 2.1$ |  | - | $\begin{gathered} 11.69 \pm 1.60 \\ (8.27-15.85) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Female | $-\mathrm{H}$ | $13.0 \pm 2.7$ | RSIV | - | $\begin{gathered} 11.60 \pm 1.28 \\ (8.83-14.34) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \pm 1.5 \\ (7.1-18.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 9.56 \pm 1.33 \\ & (6.55-12.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.2 \pm 186 \\ (8.04-15.96) \end{gathered}$ | - |
|  | Right | Male | - | $11.0 \pm 1.8$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 11.29 \pm 1.39 \\ (8.58-14.75) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Female | - | $11.3 \pm 1.3$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 11.36 \pm 1.44 \\ (8.57-14.33) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \pm 1.5 \\ (6.4-15.8) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9.74 \pm 1.78 \\ (6.37-15.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.99 \pm 2.2 \\ (7.68-17.81) \end{gathered}$ | - |
|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \pm 1.5 \\ (6.4-18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $12.0 \pm 2.0$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.65 \pm 1.56 \\ (6.37-15.39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.09 \pm 1.76 \\ (6.43-16.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.49 \pm 1.43 \\ (8.27-15.85) \end{gathered}$ |
| OCAT-Op | Left | Male | - | $40.0 \pm 3.2$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 40.27 \pm 2.92 \\ (34.68-47.52) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Female | - | $39.0 \pm 3.6$ | - | - | $38.18 \pm 3.47$ |



OCAT- Bas $=$ distance between the anterior tip of occipital condyle and basion, OCAT-Op $=$ distance between the anterior tip of occipital condyle and opisthion, OCPT-Bas $=$ distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and basion, and ОСРТ-Op = distance between the posterior tip of occipital condyle and opisthion

For surgical approaches, the distance between the posterior tip of the OC and the opisthion is also important. The total mean of OCAT- Bas, OCAT- Op, OCPT- Bas, and OCPTOp in our study was $11.49 \pm 1.43,39.11 \pm 3.25,25.19 \pm 2.18$, and $27.38 \pm 2.68 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. This is shorter than the results reported by Ilhan et al. (2017) (4). Moreover, the mean distance of OCPT - Op in this study was longer than that of Naderi et al. (2005) (19) and Saluja et al. (2016) (23), but less than that of Kalthur et al (2014) (27) (Table 20). The longer OCPT- Op distance is essential because it represents the width of surgical exposure in suboccipital craniotomy and gives a free corridor for posterolateral approach, and a longer corridor provides a larger space for a FLA (4, 27).

Table 21. Comparison of APD, TD, and FMI with previous studies

| Parameters | Sex | Muthukumar et al. (2005) (38) | Kizilkanat et al. (2006) (43) | Chethan et <br> al. (2012) <br> (37) | Kanodia et <br> al. (2012) <br> (61) | Bello et al. (2013) (62) | Singh et al. $(2019)(42)$ | Current <br> study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{5 0}) \\ \text { India } \end{gathered}$ | $(\mathrm{N}=59)$ <br> Turkey | $(\mathrm{N}=53)$ <br> India | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=100) \\ \text { India } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=240) \\ \text { Nigeria } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=120) \\ \text { India } \end{gathered}$ | $(\mathrm{N}=100)$ <br> Thailand |
| APD (mm) | Male | - | - | - | - | $34.5 \pm 3.9$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 34.72 \pm 2.35 \\ (27.87-39.81) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Female | - | - | - | - | $33.5 \pm 4.5$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 33.66 \pm 2.47 \\ (28.50-39.67) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 33.3 \\ (27-39) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.8 \pm 2.2 \\ (29.7-39.7) \end{gathered}$ | $31 \pm 2.4$ | $34.1 \pm 2.9$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.3 \pm 4.1 \\ (22.3-45.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.79 \pm 2.60 \\ (30.05-40.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.19 \pm 2.46 \\ (27.87-39.81) \end{gathered}$ |
| TD (mm) | Male | - | - | $7$ | - | $30.6 \pm 2.8$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 29.11 \pm 2.41 \\ (24.13-35.32) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Female | - |  |  | ${ }^{\prime}-$ | $28.9 \pm 3.5$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 29.23 \pm 1.86 \\ (25.51-33.57) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 27.9 \\ (23-32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.6 \pm 2.4 \\ (24.4-38.6) \end{gathered}$ |  | $27.5 \pm 2.5$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.1 \pm 3.1 \\ (19.9-38.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.25 \pm 1.83 \\ (24.25-32.32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.17 \pm 2.14 \\ (24.13-35.32) \end{gathered}$ |
| FMI | Male | - |  |  |  | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.20 \pm 0.11 \\ & (0.95-1.46) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Female | - |  |  |  | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 1.15 \pm 0.08 \\ (1.00-1.37) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Total | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1-1.3) \end{aligned}$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \pm 0.10 \\ & (0.95-1.18) \end{aligned}$ |

$\mathrm{APD}=$ anteroposterior diameter of foramen magnum, $\mathrm{FMI}=$ foramen magnum index, and $\mathrm{TD}=$ transverse diameter of foramen magnum. * Computerized tomography (CT) scans.

FM located in the center of the skull base and provides access to essential structures such as the medulla oblongata, meninges, and vertebral arteries (34). In this investigation, the most common shape of FM was hexagonal ( $27.0 \%$ ), but round shape was uncommon ( $7.0 \%$ ), which differed from prior studies $(37,39,41,42)$. The shape of FM is necessary for determining the amount of bone to be removed. A patient with a small FM, a short distance between the FM and the brainstem, and a large OC has limited exposure to the ventral aspect of the CVJ. Transcondylar approaches would be beneficial in these patients $(4,40)$. In the present study APD of FM was $34.19 \pm 2.46(27.87-39.81) \mathrm{mm}$ which were consistent with the findings of Kizilkanat et al. (2006) (43) , Kanodia et al. (2012) (61), and Bello et al. (2013) (62), while Chethan et al. (2012) (37) and Singh et al. (2019) (42) reported lower values than the present study. We discovered that the TD value was $29.17 \pm 2.14(24.13-35.32) \mathrm{mm}$, which was slightly higher than previous studies (37, 42, 61, 62), but shorter than Kizilkanat et al. (2006) (43)
investigation (Table 21). FMI can also determine the shape of FM, which can be oval or round (38). In the case of a lesion located anterior to the brainstem, if the FM was oval in shape, a wider resection would be required than a rounded one (32). A round shape provides a wider operative angle than an oval shape (41). In this study, we noticed that $39.0 \%$ of the shapes in our FMI computation were oval, whereas Muthukumar et al. (2005) (38) reported $46.0 \%$ and Sahoo et al. (2015) (63) reported 47.8\%. In this study, males showed a higher prevalence of oval shape than females by using FMI, suggesting that a wider resection of FM would be needed in males.

Table 22. Comparison of location of eHC and iHC with previous studies

| Locations | Hypoglossal canals | Sides | Sex | Anterior <br> $1 / 4$ of <br> OC | Junction <br> of $1 / 4$ <br> and 2/4 <br> of OC | $2 / 4$ of <br> OC | Junction <br> of $2 / 4$ <br> and 3/4 <br> of OC | $3 / 4 \text { of }$ OC | Junction <br> of $3 / 4$ <br> and 4/4 <br> of OC | $4 / 4 \text { of }$ OC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naderi et al. (2005) (19) <br> ( $\mathrm{N}=202$ ) <br> Turkey | eHC | Left | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (50.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ (40.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ (8.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 1 (0.5\%) | - | - | - |
|  |  | Right | Male | - | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female | 2\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SN+50 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 152 | 40 | 5 (2.5\%) | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | 1 | (77.1\%) | (20.3\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | iHC | Left | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female | วถแ้ | $2 \overline{29}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | RKOR | $18 \text { (9.1\%) }$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (19.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \\ (55.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 31(15.7\%) | - | - |
|  |  | Right | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | - | 8 (4.1\%) | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ (12.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ (56.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (25.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 2 (1.1\%) | - |
| Parvindokht et al. (2015) | eHC | Left | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Right | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | iHC | Left | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=46 \text { ) }$ |  |  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Iran |  |  | Total | - | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ (30.43 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (56.52 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (13.04 \%) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |



|  |  |  | Female | $3(3.0 \%)$ | 22 <br> $(22.0 \%)$ | 19 <br> $(19.0 \%)$ | $6(6.0 \%)$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{eHC}=$ extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, $\mathrm{iHC}=$ intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal, and $\mathrm{OC}=$ occipital condyle.

In this study, the majority of iHC was located at the middle $1 / 3$ of OC ( $45.0 \%$ ), while the majority of eHC was found at the anterior $1 / 3$ of $\mathrm{OC}(74.0 \%)$. There was no HC orifice in the posterior $1 / 3$ of OC (location 5). However, we noticed that the location of eHC had a higher symmetry in relation to OC than iHC , while the iHC location was more variable than eHC . Therefore, iHC was more likely to be injured during OC resection than eHC. Moreover, the location of eHC and iHC has been reported in other studies (19, 27, 64) (Table 22). As a result of this study, which used $50.0 \%$ or less posterior OC resection, the HC was not reached. During OC resection, it was recommended not to drill beyond HC to prevent the complications of CN XII nerve injuries and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 1$ joint instabilities. OCPT-iHC and OCPT-eHC are extremely important during transcondylar approach in determining the maximal amount of OC resection without reaching and injuring structures in $\mathrm{HC}(17,18)$.

Table 23. Comparison of OCPT-eHC and OCPT-iHC with previous studies

| Parameters | Sides | Sex | Kizilkanat et <br> al. (2006) <br> (43) | Karasu et al. (2009) (33) | Parvindokht et al. (2015) <br> (64) | Lyrtzis et al. (2017) (17) | Di et al. (2019) (1) | Current study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} (\mathbf{N}=59) \\ \text { Turkey } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{N}=20) \\ & \text { Turkey } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathbf{N}=23) \\ \text { Iran } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=141) \\ \text { Greece } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{N}=50) \\ \text { China } \end{gathered}$ | $(\mathrm{N}=100)$ <br> Thailand |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { OCPT-eHC } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Left | Male | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 14.16 \pm 2.03 \\ (9.40-18.40) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Female | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 13.13 \pm 2.19 \\ (6.99-18.69) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Total | - | - | - | - | $14.54 \pm 1.55$ | - |
|  | Right | Male |  |  |  | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 14.04 \pm 1.96 \\ (8.70-17.15) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Female |  |  |  | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 13.48 \pm 2.59 \\ (8.39-17.96) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Total | - | - |  | - | $14.68 \pm 1.69$ | - |
|  | Total |  |  | $13.22 \pm 2.24$ | $5$ | - | $14.61 \pm 1.61$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.70 \pm 2.23 \\ (6.99-18.69) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCPT-iHC } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | Left | Male |  | chemen | $\mathbb{V}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.38 \pm 1.12 \\ (5.48-12.04) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9.05 \pm 1.42 \\ (6.23-12.16) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Female | $5$ | 2- | $=-(6)$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.97 \pm 1.30 \\ (4.38-11.12) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 8.63 \pm 1.67 \\ (4.35-12.13) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \pm 2.3 \\ (8.4-17.6) \end{gathered}$ | - | $11.69 \pm 2.68$ | - | $10.23 \pm 1.14$ | - |
|  | Right | Male | 7-613 |  | 19076 | $\begin{gathered} 8.30 \pm 1.30 \\ (5.98-12.79) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9.27 \pm 1.69 \\ (5.52-12.01) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Female | - | 31RU-7114 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 8.02 \pm 1.24 \\ & (4.71-11.41) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 9.03 \pm 1.55 \\ (6.22-12.38) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} 12.2 \pm 2.2 \\ (8.2-17.4) \end{gathered}$ | - | $11.17 \pm 2.34$ | - | $10.18 \pm 1.44$ | - |
|  | Total |  | - | $8.4 \pm 1.15$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.43 \pm 2.51 \\ (7.0-20.0) \end{gathered}$ | - | $10.21 \pm 1.29$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.00 \pm 1.59 \\ (4.35-12.38) \end{gathered}$ |

OCPT-eHC $=$ distances from posterior tip of occipital condyle to extracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal,
OCPT-iHC = distances from posterior tip of occipital condyle to intracranial orifice of hypoglossal canal.

The distance between OCPT and iHC is highly important because iHC is located closer to the posterior tip of the OC than eHC. The mean OCPT-iHC in our study was $9.00 \pm 1.59 \mathrm{~mm}$, which is shorter than Di et al. (2019), (10.21 $\pm 1.29)(1)$, Kizilkanat et al. (2006) (12.3 $\pm 2.4)$ (43) , and Parvindokht et al. $(11.43 \pm 2.51)(64)$, while longer than in previous studies $(17,33)$
(Table 23).

In agreement with Verma et al. (2016) (18), we found that the majority of JFs were related to the anterior $2 / 3$ of the OC in $81.0 \%$ of cases and the anterior $1 / 3$ of the OC in $12.5 \%$ of cases. Therefore, neurovascular structures injury should be aware when performing OC resection. Verma et al. (2016) (18) revealed that the OCPT-JF was $15.73 \pm 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the right side and 16.77 $\pm 2.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ on the left side. In our study, we found that the mean distance of OCPT - JF was 16.15 \pm 2.35 mm . Our findings were higher than those reported by Kalthur et al. (2014) (15.0 $\pm 2)(27)$, therefore It's important to avoid approaching JF during condylectomy because important cranial nerves run parallel to the vascular structures.

The posterior condylar emissary vein, which connects the JF with CF, often passes via PCC $(32,36)$. It should be identified on preoperative CT and MR images before surgery because it can be large, and its perforation can cause massive venous bleeding (9). PCC was identified in 74.0 \% in this study, which was similar to Barut et al. (2009) (32), who reported that PCC was present in $71.0 \%$ and was mainly bilateral (62.0\%). Moreover, Bayat et al. (2014) (36) and Muthukumar et al. (2005) (38) report that PCC was found in $60.0 \%$ of cases, while Vanitha et al. (2015) (49) found that the PCC presented in $88.1 \%$, in which $49.0 \%$ were bilateral and $37.0 \%$ were unilateral. Additionally, PCC was present in $60 \%$ of skulls and was more frequently found on the right side ( $92.0 \%$ ) and less frequently found on the left side ( $68.0 \%$ ) (38).

The extracranial estimation of intracranial venous sinuses is essential for the neurosurgeons to minimize the venous sinus damage, which can result in blood loss or sinus obstruction, lead to venous infarction (52) . Raso et al. (2011) (53) examined the DP in 127 skulls ( 254 sides), showing that DP projected over SS in $49.6 \%$ on the right and $29.9 \%$ of cases on the left. When the DP did not project over the SS, the mean distance between this point and SS was
3.10 mm . Therefore, surface landmarks are often used in surgical planning. The DP is a visible landmark in lateral approaching to the posterior cranial fossa (54). Many studies have been conducted to assess SS by using surface landmarks such as asterion, zygomatic root, inion, mastoid process, and the top of the mastoid notch (TMN) on the skull (65-68). In this study, we measured the distance between DP and other structures including Op, OCPT, and JF because DP was easily identified on skull surface and was related to SS . The mean distance of Op-DP, OCPTDP, and JF-DP values were $54.54 \pm 3.50,36.72 \pm 4.07$, and $34.18 \pm 4.15 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. We proposed that during craniotomy, the posterolateral limit from the posterior tips of OC to DP be less than 36.0 mm and Op to DP be less than 54.0 mm to avoid SS injury. Furthermore, the safe triangular area for craniotomy includes Op-DP, JF-DP, and Op-JF is shown in Fig. 41B.

## Chapter VI Conclusion

In conclusion, the morphological analysis and morphometry of OC and its relation to surrounding structures were described in our study. CVJ is a common site for various lesions. The lesions at CVJ are difficult to manage because of their location and complex anatomic relations. In the current study, the S-like condyle was the most common shape of OC in males, whereas the oval-like condyle was the most prevalent in females. The appropriate resection should be less than $50 \%$. As a result, OC resection from the posterior tip of the OC should be less than 11.07 mm in males and 10.25 mm in females to prevent CVJ instability. Additionally, the condyle resection on the medial border from the posterior tip of the OC should be less than 9.0 mm in males and 8.5 mm in females to prevent CN XII passing through iHC. Furthermore, females had smaller OC-L, OCAT- Op, OCPT- Bas, OCPT-eHC, Op-JF, Op-DP, and JF-DP values than males. The morphological analysis and morphometric data of the OC and its relationship to the DP, FM, JF, HC, and PCC proyide a benefit for the surgeon to avoid neurovascular injury and CVJ instability. However, preoperative radiological evaluations including plain radiography, CT, and MRI are essential for surgical success.

The major limitation of our study is a lack of knowledge about the age and history of underlying diseases that may affect the measurements. Further cadaveric and radiological evaluations will provide a better understanding of the relationship between the anatomy and radiological measurements.
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## A: Box plots of the independent t-test analysis findings

1) Dimensions of occipital condyle


2) The tips of occipital condyle to basion and opisthion

3) Distances between occipital condyle and hypoglossal orifices


4) Distance between jugular foramen and occipital condyle

5) Distance between jugular foramen and opisthion

6) Distance between digastric point and surrounding structures


พาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย
7) The intercondylar distance of occipital condyle

8) Diameter of foramen magnum


## B: Scatter plot

1) Diameter of foramen magnum

2) Foramen magnum index (FMI)

Foramen magnum index

3) The intercondylar distance of occipital condyle

4) Dimensions of occipital condyle

5) The tips of occipital condyle to basion and opisthion

6) Distances between occipital condyle and hypoglossal orifices

7) Distance between jugular foramen and opisthion and posterior tip of occipital condyle

8) Distance between digastric point and surrounding structures
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[^0]:    * Type I OC-L: <20 mm, type II OC-L: $20-26 \mathrm{~mm}$, type III OC-L: >26 mm)
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