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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Risk measurement is one of the essential measures that can inform actuaries and risk managers about the degree to which the risk bearing entity. The insurance's portfolio can be called as the amount of surplus in the classical risk model. The amount of surplus process can be expressed by taking account of the inflow of premiums and the outflow of claim payments and starting with initial reserve. Therefore, we can measure risk of insurance company through many risk measures such as the ruin probability, the value at risk and the tail value at risk. In recent years, a majority of researches in actuarial science focuses on the development of risk models for different underlying distributions of the arrival of claims, claim sizes and particularly for the claim counts. Several distributions of claim counts have been explored such as Poisson distribution and Negative Binomial distribution. Besides the classical distributions, integer valued time series for claim counts are also introduced into the risk models.

Time series is a sequence of data points measured over time. Two common structures of time series models are the autoregressive (AR) and the moving average (MA) structures. The autoregressive structure assumes that the current value of the series can be explained as a linear regression of past values. The moving average structure assumes that the current value can be explained as a regression of past values of stochastic terms called white noises. The original autoregressive and moving average time series models are mostly studied under the normality assumption and applied to continuous variables of interest such as stock price markets. Later, the concepts of autoregressive moving average models were generalized to accommodate time series of counts. For example, McKenzie (1985) introduced the first autoregressive process integer valued AR(1) model as a counting process. The properties of the integer valued autoregressive (INAR) and integer valued moving average (INMA) are studied in Al-Osh and Alzaid (1987) and Alzaid and Al-Osh (1988). These models can be used as claim count models based on binomial thining operator proposed in Steutel and van Harn (1979).

Cossette and Marceau (2000) introduced the concept of time series of counts to the context of insurance risk models. In their study, they introduced the discrete time risk model with correlated classes of business and studied the impact on the finite time ruin probability and on the adjustment coefficient. Since then, several studies of the risk models based on integer valued time series have been intensively studied in literature. For example, Cossette et al. (2011) studied the classical risk models based on time series process based on the Poisson distribution. The Poisson distribution is one of extensive used distributions for count data. The one important characteristic of the Poisson distribution is that its expectation and variance are the same. This property may not be applicable for the data that exhibit overdispersion or underdispersion such as the insurance claim counts. Therefore, the alternative distributions have been proposed, for instance, Laphudomsakda and Suntornchost (2018) introduced the discrete risk model based on negative binomial moving average (NBMA) model.

However, none of these distributions is suitable for the data with excess of zeros. For instance, the insurance claim counts having small claims with deductibles and no claim discounts of automobile portfolio with excess zeros in data. Therefore, alternative distributions to accommodate zero counts have been proposed through the concept of zero inflated first introduced by Lambert (1992). The definition of zero inflated Poisson distribution is stated as follows

$$
P(X=k)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda}, \text { if } k=0, \\
(1-p) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k}}{k!}, \text { if } k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p \in(0,1)$ and $\lambda>0$. In addition, parameter $p$ represents for the proportion of zero and if $p=0$, we obtain the Poisson distribution. Later on, the zero inflated Poisson has been applied to many applications such as a manual handling injury prevention strategy trialled (Yau and Lee, 2001), the credibility premiums (Boucher and Denuit, 2008) and the number of accidents (Boucher et al., 2009). Among these applications, one well known application of the zero inflated Poisson is to model claim counts. For example, Yip and Yau (2005) proposed proposed the zero inflated Poisson distribution for the excess zeros in insurance claim count data. Zhu (2012) proposed zero inflated Poisson time se-
ries of counts (ZIP-INGARCH). Aghababaei Jazi et al. (2012) introduced the first order integer valued AR process with zero inflated Poisson distribution (ZIP-INAR) to model the count of events in consecutive points of time. Sarul and Sahin (2015) proposed the zero inflated Poisson distribution as a claim count model to take account excess zeros in data.

In this study, we apply the zero inflated Poisson to construct new discrete time risk models based on the zero inflated Poisson moving average and the zero inflated Poisson autoregressive models. Moreover, we derive probabilistic properties of the new constructed risk models, the upper bound of the ruin probability and the risk measures.

The organization of this thesis is as follows. In Chapter 2, we introduce the background knowledge used throughout this thesis. In Chapter 3, we introduce risk models based on the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average and $q^{\text {th }}$ order zero inflated Poisson moving average models, and related quantities such as the adjustment coefficient function, approximations to the value at risk and tail value at risk. Numerical results studying the trend of the ruin/probability and the risk measures are also presented in Chapter 3. In Chapter 4, we introduce risk models based on the first order zero inflated Poisson autoregressive model, and related quantities and numerical results are presented. In Chapter 5, we give discussions and conclusion of this thesis.

## จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

## CHAPTER II

## BACKGROUND KNOWLEDGE

In this chapter, we provide some definitions and properties that will be used throughout this thesis.

### 2.1 Random Variables and Probabilistic Properties

In this section, we give useful theorems and definitions and some handful techniques to obtain the probabilistic properties for random variables.

Definition 2.1. Consider a random experiment whose sample space is $S$. A random variable $X$ is a function from the sample sapce $S$ into the set or real number $\mathbb{R}$ such that for each interval $I$ in $\mathbb{R}$, the set $\{s \in S \mid X(s) \in I\}$ is an event in $S$.

Definition 2.2. The set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x=X(s), s \in S\}$ is called the space of random variable $X$.

Definition 2.3. If the space of random variable $X$ is countable, then $X$ is called a discrete random variable.

Definition 2.4. Let $R_{X}$ be the space of discrete random variable $X$. The function $f: R_{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
f(x)=P(X=x)
$$

is called the probability mass function (pmf) of $X$.

Theorem 2.5. If $X$ is a discrete random variable with space $R_{X}$ and the probability mass function $f(\cdot)$, then
(a) $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x$ in $R_{X}$, and
(b) $\sum_{x \in R_{X}} f(x)=1$.

Definition 2.6. The cumulative distribution function $F(\cdot)$ of a random variable $X$ is defined as

$$
F(x)=P(X \leq x),
$$

for all real number $x$.

Theorem 2.7. If $X$ is a random variable with the space $R_{X}$ and $f(\cdot)$ is the probability mass function of $X$, then the cumulative distribution $F(\cdot)$ can be defined as
for $x \in R_{X}$.

Theorem 2.8. The cumulative distribution function $F(\cdot)$ of a random variable $X$ has the following properties.
(a) $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x)=1$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} F(x)=0$,
(b) $F(x)$ is a non decreasing function, that is if $x<y$, then $F(x) \leq F(y)$ for all real numbers $x, y$,

$$
F(x)=\sum_{t \leq x} f(t)
$$

(c) $F(x)$ is right continuous for all $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}^{+}} F(x)=F\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Definition 2.9. The $n^{t h}$ moment about the origin of a discrete random variable $X$, as denoted by $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{n}\right)$, is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(X^{n}\right)=\sum_{x \in R_{X}} x^{n} f(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, provided the right side converges absolutely and $f(\cdot)$ is the probability mass function of $X$.

Furthermore, If $n=1$, then $\mathrm{E}(X)$ is called the first moment about the origin, or the expectation. If $n=2$, then $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)$ is called the second moment of random variable $X$ about the origin.

Definition 2.10. Let $X$ be a discrete random variable with space $R_{X}$ and probability density mass function $f(\cdot)$. The expectation or the expected value of the random variable $X$ is defined as

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=\sum_{x \in R_{X}} x f(x)
$$

The expectation is also called mean of the random variable $X$, denoted by $\mu_{X}$.

Theorem 2.11. If $a$ and $b$ are any two real numbers, then

$$
\mathrm{E}(a X+b)=a \mathrm{E}(X)+b
$$

Definition 2.12. Let $X$ be a random variable with mean $\mu_{X}$. The variance of $X$, denoted by $\operatorname{Var}(X)$, is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=E\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}
$$

Theorem 2.13. If $X$ is a random variable with mean $\mu_{X}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\left(\mu_{X}\right)^{2}
$$

Theorem 2.14. If $X$ is a random variable with variance $\operatorname{Var}(X)$ then

$$
\operatorname{Var}(a X+b)=a^{2} \operatorname{Var}(X)
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are arbitrary real constants.

Definition 2.15. Let $X$ and $Y$ be random variables with means $\mu_{X}$ and $\mu_{Y}$, respectively. The covariance function between $X$ and $Y$, denoted by $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$, is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\mathrm{E}\left(\left(X-\mu_{X}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{Y}\right)\right)=\mathrm{E}(X Y)-\mu_{X} \mu_{Y} .
$$

The correlation function between $X$ and $Y$, denoted by $\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)$, is defined as

$$
\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X) \operatorname{Var}(Y)}}
$$

Definition 2.16. Let $X$ be a discrete random variable whose probability mass function $f(\cdot)$ with space $R_{X}$. The function $m_{X}: R_{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
m_{X}(t)=\mathrm{E}\left(e^{t X}\right)=\sum_{x \in R_{X}} e^{t x} f(x)
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m_{X}(\cdot)$ is called the moment generating function of $X$.
Definition 2.17. Let $X$ be a discrete random variable whose probability mass function is $f(\cdot)$ with space $R_{X}$. The function $G_{X}: R_{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
G_{X}(t)=\mathrm{E}\left(t^{X}\right)=\sum_{x \in R_{X}} t^{x} f(x)
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $G_{X}(\cdot)$ is called the probability generating function (p.g.f.) of $X$.
Definition 2.18. Let $X$ and $Y$ be discrete random variables defined on the same sample space. The function $F_{X, Y}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined by

$$
F_{X, Y}(x, y)=P(X \leq x, Y \leq y),
$$

for all real numbers $x$ and $y$ and $F_{X, Y}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called the joint cumulative distribution function of $X$ and $Y$. The function $f_{X, Y}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)=P(X=x, Y=y),
$$

for all real numbers $x$ and $y$ and $f_{X, Y}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is called the joint probability mass function of $X$ and $Y$.

Definition 2.19. Let $X$ and $Y$ be discrete random variables with the joint probability mass function $f_{X, Y}(\cdot, \cdot)$. The marginal probability mass of $Y, f_{Y}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
f_{Y}(y)=\sum_{x \in \operatorname{Im} X} f_{X, Y}(x, y),
$$

for all real number $y$.

Definition 2.20. Let $X$ and $Y$ be discrete random variables with the joint probability mass function $f_{X, Y}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $f_{Y}(\cdot)$ is the marginal probability mass function of the random variable $Y$. The conditional probability mass function of $X$, given $Y=y$ for all values $y$ such that $f_{Y}(\cdot)>0$, defined by

$$
f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)=\frac{f_{X, Y}(x, y)}{f_{Y}(y)}
$$

for all $x \in R_{x}$.
Definition 2.21. Let $X$ be a discrete random variable and $f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)$ be the condition probability mass function of $X$, given $Y=y$. The conditional expectation of $X$, given $Y=y$ defined by

$$
\mathrm{E}(X \mid Y=y)=\sum_{x \in R_{x}} x f_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y)
$$

Definition 2.22. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be discrete random variables with the probability mass functions $f_{X_{1}}(\cdot), f_{X_{2}}(\cdot), \ldots, f_{X_{n}}(\cdot)$. They are said to be identically distributed random variables if and only if

$$
f_{X_{1}}(x)=f_{X_{2}}(x)=\ldots=f_{X_{n}}(x)
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.23. The discrete random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$, are said to be independent random variables if and only if the joint probability mass function $f_{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}}(\cdot, \cdot, \ldots, \cdot)$ can be written as

$$
f_{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f_{X_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) f_{X_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots f_{X_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right),
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$, where $f_{X_{i}}(\cdot)$ is the probability mass of $X_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$.

The random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are said to be independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) if random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ have the same probability mass function and are mutually independent.

Lemma 2.24. Let X be a discrete random variable with probability generating function $G_{X}(\cdot)$, the probabilistic properties of $X$ are listed as follows
(a) $\mathrm{E}(X)=\left.\frac{d}{d t} G_{X}(t)\right|_{t=1}$,
(b) $\operatorname{Var}(X)=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} G_{X}(t)\right|_{t=1}+\mathrm{E}(X)-(\mathrm{E}(X))^{2}$,
(c) $\operatorname{Var}(X)=\mathrm{E}(\operatorname{Var}(X \mid U))+\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{E}(X \mid U))$, where $U$ is any random variable,
(d) The skewness $S k=\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)-3 \mathrm{E}(X) \operatorname{Var}(X)-\mathrm{E}^{3}(X)}{\operatorname{Var}(X)^{3 / 2}}$.

Proof. (a) Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} G_{X}(t) & =\frac{d}{d t} \mathrm{E}\left(t^{X}\right) \\
& =\frac{d}{d t} \sum_{x \in R_{X}} t^{x} f(x) \\
& =\sum_{x \in R_{X}} x t^{x-1} f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $t=1$, so we can obtain $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{X})$.
(b) Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} G_{X}(t) & =\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \mathrm{E}\left(t^{X}\right) \\
& =\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \sum_{x \in R_{X}} t^{x} f(x) \\
& =\sum_{x \in R_{X}} x(x-1) t^{x-2} f(x) \\
& =\sum_{x \in R_{X}} x^{2} t^{x-2} f(x)-\sum_{x \in R_{X}} x t^{x-2} f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $t=1$, then we obtain $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}(X)$. Then, we add $\mathrm{E}(X)-(\mathrm{E}(X))^{2}$ into $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}(X)$, then we obtain

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}(X)+\mathrm{E}(X)-(\mathrm{E}(X))^{2}=\operatorname{Var}(X)
$$

(c) Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-(\mathrm{E}(X))^{2} \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2} \mid U\right)\right)-\mathrm{E}^{2}(\mathrm{E}(X \mid U)) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2} \mid U\right)\right)-\mathrm{E}^{2}(\mathrm{E}(X \mid U))-\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}^{2}(X \mid U)\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}^{2}(X \mid U)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2} \mid U\right)-\mathrm{E}^{2}(X \mid U)\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}^{2}(X \mid U)\right)-\mathrm{E}^{2}(\mathrm{E}(X \mid U)) \\
& =\mathrm{E}(\operatorname{Var}(X \mid U))+\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{E}(X \mid U)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Note that the formula of skewness is defined as

$$
S k \xlongequal{=} \frac{\mathrm{E}(X-\mathrm{E}(X))^{3}}{\operatorname{Var}(X)^{3 / 2}}
$$

Then, we expand the numerator to derive another version that can be calculated more easily as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
S k & =\frac{\mathrm{E}(X-\mathrm{E}(X))^{3}}{\operatorname{Var}(X)^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)-3 \mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right) \mathrm{E}(X)+3 \mathrm{E}(X) \mathrm{E}^{2}(X)-\mathrm{E}^{3}(X)}{\operatorname{Var}(X)^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)-3 \mathrm{E}(X)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}^{2}(X)\right)-\mathrm{E}^{3}(X)}{\operatorname{Var}(X)^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)-\mathrm{E}(X) \operatorname{Var}(X)-\mathrm{E}^{3}(X)}{\operatorname{Var}(X)^{3 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.25. Let $\left\{\delta_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables, $X$ be an non-negative integer valued random variable which is independent of $\left\{\delta_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$. Then the random variable

$$
N=\sum_{j=1}^{X} \delta_{j}
$$

is called a compound random variable.
Lemma 2.26. Let $N_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}$ for $i=1,2$ are compound random variables defined in Definition 2.25 where $\left\{\delta_{1, j} j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{2, j} j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ are two mutually independent sequences of random variables and are independent of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, respectively. The probabilistic properties of $N_{i}(i=1,2)$ are provided as follows.
(a) $\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)$,
(b) $\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right)^{2}$,
(c) $\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, X_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)$,
(d) $\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{2}\right) \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$,
where $\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)$ is the mean of $\left\{\delta_{i, j} j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ and $i=1,2$.

Proof. (a) For $i=1,2$, we know that $\left\{\delta_{i, j} j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ are identically distributed, then $\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i, 1}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i, 2}\right)=\ldots=\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)$. Thus, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}}\left(\delta_{i, j}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i, 1}+\delta_{i, 2}+\cdots+\delta_{i, X_{i}} \mid X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i, j}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Using Lemma 2.24 (c),we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j} \mid X_{i}\right)\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j} \mid X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} \operatorname{Var}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(\delta_{i}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, X_{i}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i} X_{i}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j} X_{i}\right)\right)-\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}^{2} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right)-\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)-\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Note that,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{Cov}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{1}} \delta_{1, j}, \sum_{j=1}^{X_{2}} \delta_{2, j}\right) \text { วิทยาลัย } \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{1}} \delta_{1, j}, \sum_{j=1}^{X_{2}} \delta_{2, j} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{1}} \delta_{1, j}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{2}} \delta_{2, j}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{1}\right) X_{2} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{2}\right)\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{2}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1} X_{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{1}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{2}\right) \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the fact that $\left\{\delta_{1, j} j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{2, j} j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ are mutually independent to obtain (2.2).

Theorem 2.27. The moment generating function of the compound random variable $S=X_{1}+\cdots+X_{N}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{S}(r)=G_{N}\left(m_{X}(r)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{N}(\cdot)$ is the probability generating function of $N$.

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(e^{r S} \mid N=n\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(e^{r\left(X_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}\right)}\right) \\
& =\left(m_{X}(r)\right)^{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\mathrm{E}\left(e^{r S} \mid N\right)=\left(m_{X}(r)\right)^{N}$, using the conditional expectation, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{S}(r) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(e^{r S} \mid N\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\left(m_{X}(r)\right)^{N}\right) \\
& =G_{N}\left(m_{X}(r)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Zero Inflated Poisson Distribution

In this section, we first introduce the concept of zero inflated distribution and the properties of zero inflated Poisson distribution used in this study. We follow the probability mass function proposed by Lambert (1992).

The concept of zero inflated model is to allow more flexibility in modeling the distribution to accommodate zero counts into model. Zero inflated model, added the probability of being zero and can be formulated with the number of distributions. Zero inflated model can be expressed as the following

$$
P(X=k)=p I_{(k)}+(1-p) f(k), k=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

where

$$
I_{(w)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, w=0 \\
0, w \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $p$ is the proportion of zero, $f(\cdot)$ is probability mass function of $Y$ where $Y$ is a random variable, taking value $0,1,2, \ldots$, then $X$ is called zero inflated version of random variable $Y$.

Definition 2.28. Let $X$ be a zero inflated Poisson random variable with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$, denoted by $X \sim \operatorname{ZIP}(p, \lambda)$. The probability mass function of $X$ defined as

$$
P(X=k)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda}, \text { if } k=0, \\
(1-p) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k}}{k!}, \text { if } k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p \in(0,1)$ and $\lambda>0$.

Lemma 2.29. The zero inflated Poisson random variable $X \sim Z I P(p, \lambda)$, defined as Definition 2.28 has the following properties.
(a) The probability generating function: $G_{X}(t)=p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-t)}$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(b) The expectation: $\mathrm{E}(X)=\lambda(1-p)$,
(c) The variance: $\operatorname{Var}(X)=\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)$,
(d) The skewness: $S k=\frac{1+3 \lambda p+2 \lambda^{2} p^{2}-\lambda^{2} p}{\sqrt{(1-p) \lambda(1+\lambda)^{3}}}$.

Proof. (a) Using Definition 2.17 and the probability mass function as in Definition 2.28, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{X}(t) & =\mathrm{E}\left(t^{X}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 0} t^{k} P(X=k) \\
& =P(X=0)+\sum_{k \geq 1} t^{k} P(X=k) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda} t^{0}+\sum_{k \geq 1} t^{k}(1-p) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k}}{k!} \\
& =p+\sum_{k \geq 0} t^{k}(1-p) \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{k}}{k!} \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(t \lambda)^{k}}{k!} \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda} e^{\lambda t} \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-t)},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) Using Lemma 2.24 (a), we obtain $\mathrm{E}(X)$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(X) & =\left.\frac{d}{d t} G_{X}(t)\right|_{t=1} \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-t)}\right)\right|_{t=1} \\
& =\left.\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-t)} \lambda\right)\right|_{t=1} \\
& =\lambda(1-p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Using Lemma 2.24 (a), we first find $\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} G_{X}(t)\right|_{t=1}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} G_{X}(t)\right|_{t=1} & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-t)} \lambda\right)\right|_{t=1} \\
& =\left.\left(\lambda(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-t)} \lambda\right)\right|_{t=1} \\
& =\lambda^{2}(1-p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we obtain $\operatorname{Var}(X)$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}(X) & =\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} G_{X}(t)\right|_{t=1}+\mathrm{E}(X)-(\mathrm{E}(X))^{2} \\
& =\lambda^{2}(1-p)+\lambda(1-p)-(\lambda(1-p))^{2} \\
& =\lambda(1-p)(\lambda+1-\lambda(1-p)) \\
& =\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Using Lemma 2.24 (d), we first consider $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)$ by applying probability generating function as follows

Let $t=1$, then

$$
\frac{d^{3}}{d t^{3}} G_{X}(t)=\mathrm{E}\left(X(X-1)(X-2) t^{X-3}\right)
$$

Then, we have

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)=G_{X}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)+3 \mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-2 \mathrm{E}(X)
$$

and from Lemma 2.24, we have that $G_{X}^{\prime \prime}(1)=\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}(X)$.
Finally, we can have

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)=G_{X}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)+3 G_{X}^{\prime \prime}(1)+\mathrm{E}(X)
$$

Moreover, we know $G_{X}^{\prime \prime}(1)=\lambda^{2}(1-p)$ and $G_{X}^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\lambda^{3}(1-p)$.
Hence,

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)=\lambda^{3}(1-p)+3 \lambda^{2}(1-p)+\lambda(1-p) .
$$

Therefore, the skewness is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S k & =\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(X^{3}\right)-\mathrm{E}(X) \operatorname{Var}(X)-\mathrm{E}^{3}(X)}{\operatorname{Var}(X)^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda^{3}(1-p)+3 \lambda^{2}(1-p)+\lambda(1-p)-3 \lambda^{2}(1-p)^{2}(1+\lambda p)-(\lambda(1-p))^{3}}{(\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p))^{3 / 2}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+1-3 \lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)-(\lambda(1-p))^{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)^{3}}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda^{2}+3 \lambda+1-3 \lambda\left(1+\lambda p-p-\lambda p^{2}\right)-\lambda^{2}\left(1-2 p+p^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)^{3}}} \\
& =\frac{1+3 \lambda p+2 \lambda^{2} p^{2}-\lambda^{2} p}{\sqrt{\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)^{3}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.3 Binomial Thining Operator

McKenzie (1985) and Alzaid and Al-Osh (1988) have proposed the model known as integer valued autoregressive (INAR) and moving average (INMA) processes. The models are constructed by using binomial thining operator. In this section, we first introduce the definition of binomial thining operator proposed by Steutel and van Harn (1979).

Definition 2.30. Let X be a non-negative integer valued random variable. For $\alpha \in(0,1)$, the ' $\alpha \circ$ ' thining operator is defined as

$$
\alpha \circ X=\sum_{i=1}^{X} \delta_{i},
$$

where $\left\{\delta_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha$ and is independent from $X$.

Lemma 2.31 (Properties of binomial thining operator). Let $X_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ be a non negative integer valued random variables, $\left\{\delta_{i, j} i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha_{i}$ and is independent from $X_{i}$. Then for $i, j=$ $1,2, \ldots$, has the following properties.
(a) $\mathrm{E}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right)$,
(b) $\mathrm{E}\left(\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right) X_{k}\right)=\alpha_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} X_{k}\right)$ for $i \neq k$,
(c) $\operatorname{Var}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right)+\alpha_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)$,
(d) $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}, X_{k}\right)=\alpha_{i} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{k}\right)$,
(e) $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}, \alpha_{k} \circ X_{k},\right)=\alpha_{i} \alpha_{k} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{k}\right)$.

Proof. (a) Since $\left\{\delta_{i, j} i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha_{i}$, then $\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{1, j}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{2, j}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i, j}\right)=\alpha_{i}$. From Lemma 2.26 (a), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) For $i \neq k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right) X_{k}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{k} \sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{k} \sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j} \mid X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{k} \mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j} \mid X_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} X_{k} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} X_{k}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} X_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Using Lemma 2.26 (b), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(\delta_{i}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right)+\alpha_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Using Lemma 2.26 (a) and (b), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}, X_{k}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right) X_{k}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{k}\right) \\
= & \alpha_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} X_{k}\right)-\alpha_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{k}\right) \\
= & \alpha_{i}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} X_{k}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) \\
= & \alpha_{i} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) Since $\left\{\delta_{i, j} i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ and $\left\{\delta_{k, l} k, l=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ are two mutually independent sequences of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with means $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{k}$, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}, \alpha_{k} \circ X_{k}\right) & =\operatorname{Cov}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}, \sum_{l=1}^{X_{k}} \delta_{k, l}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}, \sum_{l=1}^{X_{k}} \delta_{k, l} \mid X_{i}, X_{k}\right)\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{X_{k}} \delta_{k, l}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i} X_{k} \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{k}\right)\right)\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{k}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{k}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\delta_{k}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i} X_{k}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left(X_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(X_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha_{i} \alpha_{k} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.32. Let $\left\{a_{n} n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. The function $G: R_{x} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
G(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} t^{n},
$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $G(\cdot)$ is called the generating function of a sequence $\left\{a_{n} n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$.

Moreover, we will derive the joint probability generating function (joint p.g.f.) of the variables with thining operator which will be used in this study.

Lemma 2.33. Let $N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}$ be compound random variables defined as Definition 2.25 ,then

$$
N_{i}=\alpha_{i} \circ X_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{X_{i}} \delta_{i, j},
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\left\{\delta_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the p.g.f. $G_{\delta_{i}}(\cdot)$, and independent from $X_{i}$. Thus, the joint p.g.f. is given as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \circ X_{1} z_{2}^{\alpha_{2} O X_{2}} \cdots z_{n}^{\alpha_{n} \circ X_{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\sum_{j=1}^{X_{1}} \delta_{1, j}} z_{2}^{\left.\sum_{j=1}^{X_{2} \delta_{2, j}} \cdots z_{n}^{\sum_{j=1}^{X_{n}} \delta_{n, j}}\right)}\right. \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm { E } \left(z_{1}^{\sum_{j=1}^{X_{1}} \delta_{1, j}} z_{2}^{\left.\left.\sum_{j=1}^{X_{2} \delta_{2, j}} \cdots z_{n}^{\sum_{j=1}^{X_{n}} \delta_{n, j}} \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right)}\right.\right. \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{X_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\delta_{1}, j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\delta_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{2}^{\delta_{2, j}}\right) \cdots \prod_{j=1}^{X_{2}} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{n}^{\delta_{n, j}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(G_{\left(\delta_{1}\right)}^{X_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right) G_{\left(\delta_{2}\right)}^{X_{2}}\left(z_{2}\right) \cdots G_{\left(\delta_{n}\right)}^{X_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =G_{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}}\left(G_{\delta_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right) G_{\delta_{2}}\left(z_{2}\right) \cdots G_{\delta_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER III

## DISCRETE TIME RISK MODELS BASED ON THE ZERO INFLATED POISSON MOVING <br> AVERAGE

In this chapter, we first introduce the definition of the discrete time surplus process. In Section 3.1, we introduce to the ruin probability which provides a definition of the time of ruin and a method of how to obtain the approximation of the ruin probability.

In Section 3.2, we discuss the discrete time risk models based on the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average (ZIPMA(1)) model and derive its properties. The definition of the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average model is given in Definition 3.3, the model properties are defined in Lemma 3.4. The derivation of the adjustment coefficient function of ZIPMA(1) is presented in Theorem 3.6 to obtain the Lundberg adjustment coefficient to approximate the ruin probability. The proof of the unique positive solution of zero root of the adjustment coefficient is presented in Lemma 3.7. Afterward, we obtain the estimated ruin probability. Moreover, we introduce risk measurements, such as the value at risk and the tail value at risk for a better decision when conjoins with the ruin probability. Section 3.2 .3 shows the numerical experiments of the ruin probability and the risk measurements.

Moreover, we extend the model of claim counts which is the first order zero inflated moving average model to reach the $q^{\text {th }}$ order zero inflated moving average (ZIPMA $(q)$ ) model in Section 3.3. In this section, we give detail of the derivation and proof to obtain the properties, the adjustment coefficient and the unique positive solution for ZIPMA $(q)$. In Section 3.3.3, we show the numerical experiments of the ruin probability and the risk measurements in the cases of ZIPMA(2) and ZIPMA(3) risk models.

Definition 3.1. Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=u+n \pi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $u$ is the positive initial reserve of the business;
- $\pi$ is the premium rate per period;
- the sequence $C_{i, j}$ is the sequence of claim sizes in period $i$ and individuals $j$ and the sequence is independent and identically distributed distribution with moment generating function, $m_{C}(\cdot)$;
- $N_{i}$ is the claim number in period $i$.

We also denote that

- $N_{(n)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}$ is the aggregate claim number for $n$ periods;
- $W_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j}$ is the aggregate claim size for period $i$;
- $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}$ is the net loss process.


### 3.1 Approximation to the Ruin Probability of Discrete Time Risk Model

In this section, we first give the definition of the first time of ruin and the definition of ruin probability and the methods that are applied to approximation to the ruin probability.

Definition 3.2. Let $T$ be the time of ruin, the first time that the surplus becomes negative. Then $T$ is defined as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\inf \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}^{+} \mid R_{n} \leq 0\right\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ruin probability as a function of the initial capital $u$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(u)=P\left\{T<\infty \mid R_{0}=u\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ruin probability is generally difficult to calculate, we then approximate to the ruin probability which is normally applied in many researches. For example, Gray and Pitts (2012) proposed the approximation to ruin probability by using asymptotic Lundbergtype result

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty}-\frac{\ln (\Psi(u))}{u}=R,
$$

where $R$ is the Lundberg adjustment coefficient. Thus, we determine $R$ by using function called the adjustment coefficient function. Following Nyrhinen (1998) and Müller and Pflug (2001), let the adjustment coefficient function $c(\cdot)$ is defined as

$$
c(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} c_{n}(z)
$$

where $c_{n}(\cdot)$ is the logarithm function of the cumulative generating function of the aggregate net loss profit process defined by

$$
c_{n}(z)=\ln E\left(e^{z\left(S_{n}-n \pi\right)}\right) .
$$

They claimed that if we can find the unique $R>0$ such that $c(R)=0$, then the positive zero root, $R$, is the Lunberg adjustment coefficient. Then the ruin probability $\Psi(u)$ is approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(u) \simeq e^{-R u} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the main work of this study is to find the adjustment coefficient function, $c(\cdot)$, and the positve zero root, $R$, from the surplus process.

### 3.2 Discrete Time Risk Model based on the First Order Zero Inflated Poisson Moving Average (1) process

In this section, we provide the definition of the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average (ZIPMA(1)) model and derive its probabilistic properties. We firstly consider the discrete time surplus defined in Definition 3.1,

$$
R_{n}=u+n \pi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j},
$$

when the claim counts, $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, are modelled by the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average model. The definition of ZIPMA(1) and its probabilistic properties are provided in Definitions 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, respectively. In Section 3.2.1, we derive the adjustment coefficient function and the approximation to the ruin probability of the ZIPMA(1) risk model. We also provide the special case of the adjustment coefficient function when the claim sizes are exponentially distributed. In Section 3.2.2, we propose the approximation to the value at risk (VaR) of the ZIPMA(1) net loss process.

Next, we will use the zero inflated Poisson random variable with the binomial thinning operator to define the ZIPMA(1) model.

Definition 3.3. Let $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be the ZIPMA(1) model defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, k=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$. The $\alpha \circ$ thining operator is defined in Definition 3.3 as

$$
\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}=\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{i-1}} \delta_{(i-1), j},
$$

where $\left\{\delta_{(i-1), j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha$.

The concept of the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average model is that we apply the moving average model to consider the number of insured where $\epsilon_{i}$ is represented as the number of new insured in period $i$ and $\alpha$ is the probability of that the insured will reclaim. Thus, $\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}$ represents that the number of claims in period $i$ from the new claims in period $i-1$, where the probability of reclaim is $\alpha$. Hence, the interpretation of $N_{i}$ is that the number of insured in period $i$ based on the summation of the number of new insured in period $i$ and the number of reclaims from new insured in period $i-1$, where the new claims follow the zero inflated Poisson distribution.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a ZIPMA(1) model defined in Definition 3.3, then $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ has the following properties.
(a) The sequence $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a stationary process with the probability generating function of $N_{i}, G_{N_{i}}(z)=\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) The expectation of $N_{i}$ is $\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right)=\lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(c) The variance of $N_{i}$ is $\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)=\lambda(1-p)((1+p \lambda)+\alpha(1+p \lambda \alpha))$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(d) The covariance function between $N_{i}$ and $N_{i-m}$,

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)= \begin{cases}\lambda \alpha(1-p)(1+p \lambda), & \text { for } m=1, \\ \text { RNIVERSITY } & \text { for } m>1\end{cases}
$$

(e) The correlation function between $N_{i}$ and $N_{i-m}$,

$$
\operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha(1+p \lambda)}{(1+p \lambda)+\alpha(1+p \lambda \alpha)} & , \text { for } m=1 \\ 0 & , \text { for } m>1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. To prove (a),we consider the of $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Since $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$, by Lemma 2.29, the probability generating function of $N_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{N_{i}}(z) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{i}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{i}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& =G_{\epsilon_{i}}(z) G_{\epsilon_{i-1}}(1-\alpha+\alpha z) \\
& =\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right), \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, where we apply the independence between $\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}$ and $\epsilon_{i}$ to obtain (3.6) and apply Lemma 2.29 to obtain (3.7), respectively. Since the generating function $G_{N_{i}}(\cdot)$ does not depend on $i$ then $G_{N_{1}}(\cdot)=G_{N_{2}}(\cdot)=\ldots=G_{N_{i}}(\cdot)$. Therefore, $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a stationary process. In addition, the probability generating function of $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is given by

$$
G_{N_{i}}(z)=\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right),
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) The expectation of $N_{i}$ can be obtained by evaluating the derivative of $G_{N_{i}}(z)$ at $z=1$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right)= & \left.\frac{d}{d z} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1} \\
= & \left.\frac{d}{d z}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
= & \left.\left(\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)} \lambda \alpha\right)\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
& +\left.\left(\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right)\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} \lambda\right)\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
= & ((p+1-p)(1-p) \lambda \alpha)+((p+1-p)(1-p) \lambda) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Note that, $\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}^{2}\left(N_{i}\right)$. Therefore by applying the properties of the probability generating function in Lemma 2.24 as

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}^{2}\right)=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1}+\left.\frac{d}{d z} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1} .
$$

Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1}= & \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right) \\
= & \frac{d}{d z}\left(\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)} \lambda \alpha\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right)\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} \lambda\right)\right) \\
= & \left.\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left(\lambda \alpha(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)} \lambda \alpha\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
& +\left.\left(\lambda \alpha(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right)\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} \lambda\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
& +\left.\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right)\left(\lambda(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} \lambda\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
& +\left.\left(\lambda(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)} \lambda \alpha\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
= & (\lambda \alpha)^{2}(1-p)+\lambda^{2} \alpha(1-p)^{2}+\lambda^{2}(1-p)+\lambda^{2} \alpha(1-p)^{2} \\
= & \lambda^{2}(1-p)\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha(1-p)+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}^{2}\right) & =\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1}+\left.\frac{d}{d z} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1} \\
& =\lambda^{2}(1-p)\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha(1-p)+1\right)+\lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)= & \lambda^{2}(1-p)\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha(1-p)+1\right)+\lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha) \\
& -(\lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha))^{2} \\
= & \lambda(1-p)\left(\lambda(1+\alpha)^{2}-2 p \lambda \alpha+(1+\alpha)-\lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha)^{2}\right) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)\left(\lambda(1+\alpha)^{2}-2 p \lambda \alpha+(1+\alpha)-\lambda(1+\alpha)^{2}+p \lambda(1+\alpha)^{2}\right) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)\left(-2 p \lambda \alpha+(1+\alpha)+p \lambda(1+\alpha)^{2}\right) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)\left(p \lambda\left((1+\alpha)^{2}-2 \alpha\right)+(1+\alpha)\right) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)\left(p \lambda\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)+(1+\alpha)\right) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)((1+p \lambda)+\alpha(1+p \lambda \alpha)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) To obtain the covariance function between $N_{i}$ and $N_{i-m}, \operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)$, we consider into two cases: $m=1$ and $m>1$ as follows.

For $m=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-1}\right)= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, \alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-2}+\epsilon_{i-1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}, \alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-2}\right)+\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}, \epsilon_{i-1}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{i}, \alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-2}\right)+\operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{i}, \epsilon_{i-1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}, \epsilon_{i-1}\right) \\
= & \alpha \operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{i-1}\right) \\
= & \alpha \lambda(1-p)(1+p \lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the fact that $\epsilon_{i-1}$ is the zero inflated Poisson random variable and Lemma 2.29 to obtain the last equation.

For $m>1$, by using the property that $\left\{\epsilon_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right) & =\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, \alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-m-1}+\epsilon_{i-m}\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) From Lemma 2.29 and (d), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i-m}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)}{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)} \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha(1+p \lambda)}{(1+p \lambda)+\alpha(1+p \lambda \alpha)} & , \text { for } m=1 \\
0 & \text { for } m>1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2.1 Adjustment coefficient function of ZIPMA(1)

In the previous section, we have provided the definition of the discrete time surplus process based on ZIPMA(1) model. In this section, we derive the adjustment coefficient function of ZIPMA(1) by applying the method from Section 3.1 to obtain the Lundberg adjustment coefficient. Afterward, we provide a proof of the unique positive solution of zero root of the adjustment coefficient. The risk model based on ZIPMA(1) is described below

Definition 3.5. The risk model based on ZIPMA(1) can be expressed as

$$
R_{n}=u+n \pi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j},
$$

where $u$ is the positive initial reserve, $\pi$ is the premium rate per period, $N_{i}$ is modelled by ZIPMA(1) defined in (3,5) and $\left\{C_{i, j}\right\}$ is the sequence of independent and identically distributed distribution.

Theorem 3.6. Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined in Definition 3.5. The adjustment coefficient function $c(z)$ of $R_{n}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(z)=\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)-\pi z, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. We denote that $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables whose the moment generating function of $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is defined as $m_{C}(\cdot)$ and the net loss process $S_{n}$ whose the moment generating function of $S_{n}$ is defined as $m_{S_{n}}(\cdot)$. We then simplify the form of the aggregate net loss profit process $c_{n}(\cdot)$ to obtain $c(\cdot)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{n}(z) & =\log \mathrm{E}\left(e^{z(S n-n \pi)}\right) \\
& =\log \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{e^{z S_{n}}}{e^{n \pi z}}\right) \\
& =\log \left(\frac{\mathrm{E}\left(e^{z S_{n}}\right)}{e^{n \pi z}}\right) \\
& =\log m_{S_{n}}(z)-n \pi z \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
c(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m_{S_{n}}(z)-\pi z
$$

Next, we consider the moment generating function of $S_{n}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{S_{n}}(z)=\mathrm{E}\left(e^{z S_{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(e^{z \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(e^{z \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} C_{1, j}}+z \sum_{j=1}^{N_{2}} C_{2, j}+\cdots+z \sum_{j=1}^{N_{n}} C_{n, j}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(e^{z \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} C_{1, s}+z \sum_{j=1}^{N_{2}} C_{2, j}+\cdots+z \sum_{j=1}^{N_{n}} C_{n, j}} \mid N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(e^{z C_{1, j}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N_{2}} \mathrm{E}\left(e^{z C_{2, j}}\right) \cdots \prod_{j=1}^{N_{n}} \mathrm{E}\left(e^{z C_{n, j}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(m_{C}^{N_{1}}(z) m_{C}^{N_{2}}(z) \cdots m_{C}^{N_{n}}(z)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(m_{C}^{N(n)}(z)\right) \\
& =G_{N(n)}\left(m_{C}(z)\right) \text {. } \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{S_{n}}(z)=G_{N(n)}\left(m_{C}(z)\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{N(n)}(\cdot)$ is the probability generating function of $N_{(n)}$.
To obtain (3.11), we first derive the probability generating function $G_{N(n)}(\cdot)$. Since $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{N(n)}(z) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}+N_{2}+\cdots+N_{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\left(\alpha \circ \epsilon_{0}+\epsilon_{1}\right)+\left(\alpha 0 \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right)+\cdots+\left(\alpha \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n}\right)}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\alpha 0 \epsilon_{0}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i}+\epsilon_{i}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{0}} \delta_{0, j}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{i}} \delta_{i, j}+\epsilon_{i}}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 2.29, we obtain the first term of (3.12) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right)=p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{R}^{+} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{\delta_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha$ and Lemma 2.29, the probability generating function $\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{i, 1}}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{i, 2}}\right)=\ldots=$ $\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{i, j}}\right)=1-\alpha+\alpha z$, the second term of (3.12) is derived as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{0}} \delta_{0, j}}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{0}} \delta_{0, j}} \mid \epsilon_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{0}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{0, j}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left((1-\alpha+\alpha z)^{\epsilon_{0}}\right) \\
& =G_{\epsilon_{0}}(1-\alpha+\alpha z) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}, \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

For the third term of (3.12), we have that $\left\{\delta_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha$ and Lemma 2.29, then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{i}} \delta_{i, j}+\epsilon_{i}}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{i}} \delta_{i, j}+\epsilon_{i}} \mid \epsilon_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{i}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{i, j}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{i}}(1-\alpha+\alpha z)^{\epsilon_{i}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left((z(1-\alpha+\alpha z))^{\epsilon_{i}}\right) \\
& =G_{\epsilon_{i}}(z(1-\alpha+\alpha z)) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z(1-\alpha+\alpha z))}, \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Substituting (3.13)-(3.15) into (3.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{N(n)}(z)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}\right) \\
& \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z(1-\alpha+\alpha z))}\right)^{n-1}, \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
Therefore, we apply the result obtained in (3.16) into (3.11)

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{S_{n}}(z)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}\right) \\
& \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{n-1}, \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Consequently, we obtain $m_{S_{n}}(\cdot)$ from (3.17), then we put into (3.9) as the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n}(z)= & \log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}\right)+\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}\right) \\
& +(n-1) \log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)-n \pi z,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Hence, we obtain the adjustment coefficient function $c(\cdot)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(z) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} c_{n}(z)-\pi z \\
& =\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)-\pi z,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Since the premium per period, $\pi$, followed the net profit condition (NPC)(Thomas, 2009) condition and premium calculation followed the expectation value principle (EVP)(Gray and Pitts, 2012)

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi & =\mathrm{E}(W)(1+\theta) \\
& =\mathrm{E}(N) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta) \\
& =\lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta), \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

for a security loading $\theta>0, \mathrm{E}(W)$ is the expectation of the aggregate claim size, $\mathrm{E}(N)$ is the expectation of the claim number and $\mathrm{E}(C)$ is the expectation of claim size. Next, we will show that the adjustment coefficient has the unique positive zero root in $D$ where $D=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.7. The equation $c(z)=0$ has the unique positive solution in $D$, where $c(z)$ is the adjustment coefficient function defined in Theorem 3.6.

Proof. To prove the Lemma,we will show that
(a) $c(0)=0$,
(b) $\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0}<0$,
(c) $\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)>0$ for $z \in D$,
(d) $\lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty} c(z)=+\infty$.
(a) Note that

$$
c(z)=\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)-\pi z .
$$

We substitute $z=0$ into $c(z)$ defined in Theorem 3.6, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(0) & =\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(0)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(0)\right)\right)}\right)-\pi(0) \\
& =\log (p+(1-p)) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Consider

$$
\frac{d}{d z} c(z)=\frac{\left.(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}\right.}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}{p+\left(1-\lambda m_{C}^{\prime}(z)\left(-1+\alpha-2 \alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}-\pi
$$

Since we have $\pi=\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\alpha)(1+\theta)$, then, for $\theta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0} & =\frac{(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-(1-\alpha+\alpha))}(-\lambda \mathrm{E}(C)(-1+\alpha-2 \alpha))}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-(1-\alpha+\alpha))}}-\pi \\
& =\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\alpha)-(\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\alpha)(1+\theta)) \\
& =\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\alpha)(1-(1+\theta)) \\
& =-\theta \lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\alpha) \text { Eาลัย } \\
& <0 . \text { ALONGIKORNUNIVERSITY }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we obtain that $\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0}<0$.
(c) Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)= & \frac{p(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right.} \lambda\left(m_{C}^{\prime \prime}(z)(1-\alpha)\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left.p(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)} 2 \lambda \alpha\left(\left(m_{C}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}+m_{C}(z) m_{C}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\left(\lambda m_{C}^{\prime}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{2} \lambda\left(m_{C}^{\prime \prime}(z)(1-\alpha)\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left.\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{2} 2 \lambda \alpha\left(\left(m_{C}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}+m_{C}(z) m_{C}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{\left.-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)\right)\right)^{2}} .\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the properties that $m_{C}(z)>0, m_{C}^{\prime}(z)>0, m_{C}^{\prime \prime}(z)>0$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$, then $\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)>0$.
(d) We can show that the limit of $c(z)$ reaches to $+\infty$ as $z$ approaches $+\infty$. Let us first consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\lambda\left(m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)-1\right) \\
& \propto \lambda m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right) \\
& \propto \lambda m_{C}^{2}(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in D$. We know that $m_{C}(z)$ is the monotonically increasing function and continuous function in $D$, then $m_{C}^{2}(z)$ is growing up to $+\infty$ with the exponential rate, then we can conclude that $f(z)$ will grow to infinity with exponential rate which is faster than any linear trend. Hence, we obtain that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{\lambda\left(m_{C}(z)-1\right)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)}\right)-\pi z\right)=+\infty
$$

Example 3.1. In this part, we consider a special case when the claim amounts follow an exponential distribution. That is, $\left\{C_{i, j}, i \in \mathbb{N}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with parameter $\beta>0$. The moment generating
function of $\left\{C_{i, j}, i \in \mathbb{N}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is defined as $m_{C}(z)=\frac{1}{1-z / \beta}$ for $z<\beta$. Using Theorem (3.6), the adjustment coefficient function is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(z)=\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\frac{1}{1-z / \beta}\left(1-\alpha+\alpha \frac{1}{1-z / \beta}\right)\right)}\right)-\pi z, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi=\lambda(1-p)(1+\alpha) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta), 0<z<\beta$.

### 3.2.2 Approximation to the value at risk and tail value at risk of ZIPMA(1)

The value at risk at the confidence level $\gamma, \operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$, for ZIPMA(1) process in the $(1-\gamma)$ quantile of $S_{n}$ that refers to the amount of the net loss. So, the more value of $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ the higher risk of the surplus.


Figure 3.1: The graph of value at risk at confidence level $\gamma$.

As Figure 3.1, the red line represents the cumulative distribution of $S_{n}$, then we can see that at confidence level $\gamma$, we can obtain the value of the value at risk that can inform us about the estimated loss that the company may confront at confidence level $\gamma$ or a $(1-\gamma)$ probability that the loss maybe greater than the approximated value. Note that $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j}$ be the net loss process and $N_{i}$ be a ZIPMA(1) process. The $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)=\inf \left\{k \in \mathbb{R} \mid F_{S_{n}}(k)>\gamma\right\} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{S_{n}}(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of $S_{n}$. It is generally difficult to obtain the distribution of $S_{n}$ from the moment generating function given in (3.17). Therefore, we apply the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm (Gray and Pitts, 2012) to obtain an approximation of the density function of $F_{S_{n}}(\cdot)$ which can be described as the following. From (3.11), we know that

$$
m_{S_{n}}(z)=G_{N(n)}\left(m_{C}(z)\right),
$$

where $m_{C}(\cdot)$ is the moment generating function of $C_{i, j}$ for all $i, j=1,2, \ldots$
Since $C$ is exponentially distributed with pamameter $\beta$, so we first discretise distribution of $C$, then for a given discretisation parameter $h$, we have

$$
\left.f_{0}=\operatorname{Pr}(0<C \leq h / 2)\right)=1-e^{-2 h \beta},
$$

and for $k=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{k} & =\operatorname{Pr}((k-0.5) h<C \leq(k+0.5) h) \\
& =e^{-(k-0.5) h \beta}\left(1-e^{-h \beta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, check that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k}=1$, then $\left(f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots\right)$ is a discrete approximation to the distribution of $X_{1}$.
Let $\phi_{C}(\cdot)$ be the characteristic function of $C_{i, j}(i, j=1,2, \ldots)$. Therefore, we apply the FFT algorithm to approximate the characteristic function $\phi_{C}(\cdot)$ of $C_{i, j}$. We can calculate the characteristic function of $S_{n}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{S_{n}}(x)= & G_{N(n)}\left(\phi_{C}(x)\right) \\
= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\right)}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\left(1-\alpha+\alpha \phi_{C}(x)\right)\right)}\right)^{n-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
Applying the inverse FFT algorithm, we can approximate to the density of $S_{n}$, and the $F_{S_{n}}(\cdot)$. Finally, we can calculate the value of $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$.

The value at risk is usually applied by banks or a company that want to measure risk over a short time, then the tail value at risk is risk measure that is in many ways superior than the value at risk. The tail value at risk is basically a standard risk measurement which is applied in insurance companies as effective over a year or more.


Figure 3.2: The graph of tail value at risk at confidence level $\gamma$.

As Figure 3.2, it can be seen that the tail value at risk can inform about the behavior of loss or the average of loss beyond the value at risk. According to the value of the tail value at risk, insurance companies can apply these values to be one of many decisive options about the strategies and financial planning. The risk measure $\mathrm{VaR}_{\gamma}$ is a merely cutoff point and does not describe the tail behavior beyond the $\mathrm{VaR}_{\gamma}$ threshold. The tail value at risk at the confidence level $\gamma, \operatorname{TVR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ is defined as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{TVaR}_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{1-\gamma} \int_{\gamma}^{1} V a R_{w}\left(S_{n}\right) d w \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{VaR}_{w}$ is the value at risk at confidence level $w$. It is difficult to directly calculate the integral form, we then apply Riemann sum to approximate the value of the tail value at risk.

### 3.2.3 Numerical experiments of risk model based on ZIPMA(1)

In this section, we present examples to calculate the adjustment coefficient and approximation to the ruin probability of risk model based on ZIPMA(1) claim count process. We also provide the calculation of the value at risk and tail value at risk of the $12^{\text {th }}$ periods of time at the confidence levels 0.9 and 0.95 .

### 3.2.4 Calculation of the adjustment coefficient of risk model based on ZIPMA(1)

Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined in (3.1), and $\left\{N_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots n\right\}$ is ZIPMA(1) model as claim counts process as defined in Definition 3.3. Let $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with parameter $\beta$ and we obtain $c(z)$ as in Example 3.1. The parameters setting are $u=2$, $(\lambda, p)=(1.5,0.2), \beta \in\{0.5,1,2,4,32\}$ and $\theta=0.3$. Figure 3.3 shows the graph of the unique positive zero root or the adjustment coefficient. Table 3.1, Figure 3.4 and Figure 3.5 show the adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of the ruin probability of $R_{n}, \Psi_{R_{n}}(u)=\exp \left(-z_{0} u\right)$ in parentheses, for different values of $\alpha \in\{0,0.25,0.5,0.75,1\}$.


Figure 3.3: The unique positive zero root of the adjustment coefficient for ZIPMA(1).

Table 3.1: The adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of $\Psi_{R_{n}}(u)$.

| $\beta{ }^{\alpha}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 0.1017 | 0.0849 | 0.0762 | 0.0708 | 0.0669 |
|  | (0.8159) | (0.8438) | (0.8586) | (0.8679) | (0.8747) |
| 1 | 0.2035 | 0.1698 | 0.1525 | 0.1416 | 0.1339 |
|  | (0.6656) | (0.7120) | (0.7371) | (0.7533) | (0.7650) |
| 2 | 0.4070 | 0.3396 | 0.3051 | 0.2832 | 0.2678 |
|  | (0.4431) | (0.5070) | (0.5432) | (0.5675) | (0.5853) |
| 4 | 0.8140 | 0.6793 | 0.6102 | 0.5665 | 0.5357 |
|  | (0.1963) | (0.2570) | (0.2951) | (0.3220) | (0.3425) |
| 32 | 6.5125 | 5.4349 | 4.8819 | 4.5327 | 4.2858 |
|  | (0.000002) | (0.000019) | (0.000057) | (0.000116) | (0.000189) |

The value of an adjustment coefficient


Figure 3.4: The trend of the adjustment coefficient when $\alpha$ increases and the claim size decreases of ZIPMA(1).

## The value of the appoximated ruin probability



Figure 3.5: The trend of the ruin probability when $\alpha$ increases and the claim size decreases of ZIPMA(1).

Table 3.1 shows that the value of the ruin probability increases along with the increase of the values of $\alpha$, but the adjustment coefficient decreases when the value of $\alpha$ grows up. In addition, the value of the ruin probability decreases and the adjustment coefficient increases with the increase of the values of $\beta$. This result is satisfied because the greater value of $\alpha$ which is regarding to the increasing of the number of claims and the greater value of $\beta$ which is regarding to the decreasing of claim sizes. Figure 3.3 shows the unique positive zero root of $c(z)$ in case of $\beta=4$ and $\alpha=0.25$, which is the red point on the blue line and it satisfies 4 statements in Lemma 3.7 that is the trend of $c(z)$ surge to positive infinity. Figures $3.4-3.5$ show the trend of the value of the adjustment coefficient and the ruin probability along with the increase of the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

### 3.2.5 Calculation of the value at risk and the tail value at risk for risk model based on ZIPMA(1)

Let the time period $n$ be 12 and divide the domain of $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ which $\beta=0.5$ to be $5 \times 10^{5}$ parts with the length of steps are 0.0005 for the FFT distribution approximation. For the Riemann sum approximation of tail value at risk, we divide the length of steps of value at risk as $5 \times 10^{-6}$. Table 3.2, Figure 3.6 show $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TVaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ for the confidence levels $\gamma=0.90$ and 0.95 , respectively.

Table 3.2: The value of the value at risk and the tail value at risk of ZIPMA(1).

| $\alpha$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{VaR}_{0.90}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 44.1375 | 54.6690 | 64.7550 | 74.5600 | 84.1695 |
| $\operatorname{VaR}_{0.95}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 49.4405 | 61.0660 | 72.0510 | 82.647 | 92.9765 |
| $\operatorname{TVaR}_{0.90}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 51.2812 | 63.2719 | 74.5464 | 85.3923 | 95.9468 |
| $\operatorname{TVaR}_{0.95}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 56.0299 | 68.9843 | 81.0389 | 92.5661 | 103.7379 |

The value of value at risk and tail value at risk


Figure 3.6: The trend of the value at risk and tail value at risk when $\alpha$ increases at the confidence level 0.90 and 0.95 of ZIPMA(1).

From Table 3.2 and Figure 3.6, we can see that the $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ increases as $\alpha$ increases. Similarly, $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ increases as $\gamma$ increases. The great value of $\alpha$ represents that there is more probability that the new customers from the previous year will reclaim this year, it means that either company will gain more profits or face the huge loss occurred by insured. The value at risk can inform the estimated loss at confidence level $\gamma$ and the meaning of $\gamma$ is that a $(1-\gamma)$ probability that the loss will fall in value by greater than the estimated loss.

### 3.3 Discrete Time Risk Model based on $q^{\text {th }}$ Order Zero Inflated Poisson Moving Average (ZIPMA(q))

In this section, we extend the ZIPMA(1) risk model to the ZIPMA $(q)$ risk model where the discrete time surplus process is in the same form as Definition (3.1)

$$
R_{n}=u+n \pi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{n}} C_{i, j}
$$

However, the claim counts, $\left\{N_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, are modelled by the $q^{\text {th }}$ order zero inflated Poisson moving average model denoted by ZIPMA $(q)$. The definition of ZIPMA $(q)$ and probabilistic properties are provided in Definition 3.8 and Lemma 3.9, respectively. In Section 3.3.1, we derive the adjustment coefficient function and the approximation to the ruin probability of the $\operatorname{ZIPMA}(q)$ risk model. We also provide the special case of the adjustment coefficient function when the claim sizes are exponentially distributed. Next, we will use the zero inflated Poisson random variable with the binomial thinning operator to get the ZIPMA $(q)$ model.

Definition 3.8. Let $\left\{N_{n}, \underline{n} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be the $\operatorname{ZIPMA}(q)$ model defined as follows.

$$
N_{n}=\epsilon_{n}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-q}
$$

where $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$. The $\alpha \circ$ thining operator is defined in Definition 3.8 as

where for any $n \in \mathbb{N},\left\{\delta_{i, j}^{(n-1)} i=1,2, \ldots, q, n \in \mathbb{N}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha_{i}$.

In ZIPMA(1) model, we consider only the number of claims in period $i$ as a consequence of new claims in period $i$ and $i-1$. However, in real situation, the number of new claims in period $i$ could depend on new claims from other previous periods. Therefore, we extend the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average model to a more general model, the zero inflated Poisson $q^{t h}$ order moving average model ZIPMA $(q)$ where $q \in \mathbb{N}$. The terms $\alpha_{i} \circ \epsilon_{n-i}$ represents that the number of claims from the number of claims in period $n-i$, where the probability of reclaim is $\alpha_{i}$. Hence, $N_{n}$ is the number of insured in period $n$ based on the summation of the number of reclaims from period $n-1, n-2, \ldots, n-q$ and the number of new claims in period $i$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\left\{N_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be the $\operatorname{ZIPMA}(q)$ process defined in Definition 3.8, then $\left\{N_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ has the following properties.
(a) The sequence $\left\{N_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a stationary process with the probability generating function of $N_{n}, G_{N_{n}}(z)=\prod_{i=0}^{q}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{i}(1-z)}\right)$ where $\alpha_{0}=1$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) The expectation of $N_{n}$ is $\mathrm{E}\left(N_{n}\right)=\lambda(1-p)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}\right)$ where $\alpha_{0}=1$.
(c) The variance of $N_{n}, \operatorname{Var}\left(N_{n}\right)=\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\lambda(1-p) \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)$ where $\alpha_{0}=1$.
(d) The covariance function between $N_{n}$ and $N_{n-m}$,

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)= \begin{cases}\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\alpha_{m}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+m}\right) & \text { for } 1 \leq m \leq q, \\ 0 & , \text { for } m>q\end{cases}
$$

(e) The correlation function between $N_{n}$ and $N_{n-m}$ where $m<n$,

$$
\operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\alpha_{m}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+m}\right)}{\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\lambda(1-p) \sum_{i=0}^{q}\left(\alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\right)}, & 1 \leq m \leq q, \\ 0 & , m>q,\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}=1$.

Proof. To prove (a) we consider the probability generating function of $\left\{N_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Since $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$, the probability generating function of $N_{n}$ can be completed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{N_{n}}(z) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-1} \delta_{1, j}^{(n-1)}+\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n-2}} \delta_{2, j}^{(n-2)}+\cdots+\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-q} \delta_{q, j}^{(n-q)}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}} z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-1} \delta_{1, j}^{(n-1)}} z^{\left.\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-2} \delta_{2, j}^{(n-2)} \cdots z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-q} \delta_{q, j}^{(n-q)}}\right)}\right. \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n-1}-1} \delta_{1, j}^{(n-1)}}\right) \cdots\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-q} \delta_{q, j}^{(n-q)}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm { E } \left(z^{\left.\left.\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n-1}^{n-1} \delta_{1, j}^{(n-1)}} \mid \epsilon_{n-1}\right)\right) \cdots \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-q} \delta_{q, j}^{(n-q)}} \mid \epsilon_{n-q}\right)\right)}\right.\right. \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{q} \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n}-i} \delta_{i, j}^{(n-i)}} \mid \epsilon_{n-i}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{q} \mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{n-i}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{i, j}^{(n-i)}}\right)\right. \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{q} G_{\epsilon_{n-i}}\left(G_{\delta_{i, 1}}(z)\right) \\
& =\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{i}(1-z)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $G_{N_{n}}(\cdot)$ does not depend on $n$ then $G_{N_{1}}(\cdot)=G_{N_{2}}(\cdot)=\ldots=G_{N_{n}}(\cdot)$. Therefore, $\left\{N_{n} n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a stationary process. Furthermore, the probability generating function of $\left\{N_{n} n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is given by

$$
G_{N_{n}}(z)=\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{q}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{i}(1-z)}\right),
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) From Lemma 2.31 and $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{n}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\epsilon_{n}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-q}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \mathrm{E}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ \epsilon_{n-i}\right) \\
& =\lambda(1-p)+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{i} \mathrm{E}\left(\epsilon_{n-i}\right) \\
& =\lambda(1-p)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Using Lemma 2.31 and $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables, then we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{n}\right) & =\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-q}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \operatorname{Var}\left(\alpha_{i} \circ \epsilon_{n-i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(\alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\epsilon_{n-i}\right)+\alpha_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n-i}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n-i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\epsilon_{n-i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{E}\left(\epsilon_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{q} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)  \tag{3.22}\\
& =\lambda(1-p)(1
\end{array}+\lambda p\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}\right)+\lambda p \sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right), \quad \$
$$

where we apply the fact that $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is independent and identically distributed random variables to obtain (3.22).
(d) Note that $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$.

For $m=1$, using Lemma 2.31, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-1}\right)= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-q},\right. \\
\text { จิชา } & \left.\epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-3}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-(q+1)}\right) \\
& +\cdots+\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}\right)+\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}, \alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}\right) \\
& \left.+\alpha_{q-1} \circ \epsilon_{n-q}\right) \\
= & \alpha_{1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n-1}, \epsilon_{n-1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n-2}, \epsilon_{n-2}\right) \\
& +\cdots+\alpha_{q} \alpha_{q-1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n-q}, \epsilon_{n-q}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n-1}\right)\left(\alpha_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}\right) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\alpha_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}\right), \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use Lemma 2.29 (c) to obtain the last equation.

For $m \leq q$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-q},\right. \\
& \left.\epsilon_{n-m}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-(m+1)}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-(m+2)}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-(q+m)}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{m} \circ \epsilon_{n-m}, \epsilon_{n-m}\right)+\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{m+1} \circ \epsilon_{n-(m+1)}, \alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-(m+1)}\right) \\
& +\cdots+\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{q-m} \circ \epsilon_{n-(q+m)}, \alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-(q+m)}\right) \\
= & \alpha_{m} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n-m}, \epsilon_{n-m}\right)+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{m+1} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n-(m+1)}, \epsilon_{n-(m+1)}\right) \\
& +\cdots+\alpha_{q} \alpha_{q-m} \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n-(q+m)}, \epsilon_{n-(q+m)}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_{n-2}\right)\left(\alpha_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-2} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+2}\right) \\
= & \lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\alpha_{m}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+m}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use Lemma 2.29 (c) to obtain the last equation.
For $m>q$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{n}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-q},\right. \\
& \left.\epsilon_{n-m}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-(m+1)}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-(m+2)}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-(q-m))}\right) \\
= & 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) From Lemma 2.29 and (d) we know that $\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{n}\right)$ does not depend on $n$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{n}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(N_{n-m}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)}{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we get

$$
\operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)=\frac{\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\alpha_{m}+\sum_{i=1}^{q-m} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+m}\right)}{\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\lambda(1-p) \sum_{i=0}^{q}\left(\alpha_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\right)},
$$

for $m \leq q$,

$$
\operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{n}, N_{n-m}\right)=0,
$$

### 3.3.1 Adjustment coefficient function of ZIPMA( $q$ )

In the previous section, we have provided the definition of the discrete time surplus process based on ZIPMA $(q)$ model. In this section, we derive the adjustment coefficient function $c(\cdot)$, of ZIPMA $(q)$ surplus process using the method from Section 3.1 to obtain the Lundberg adjustment coefficient. Afterward, we provide a proof of the unique positive solution of zero root of the adjustment coefficient. The risk model based on ZIPMA $(q)$ is described as the following.

Definition 3.10. The risk model based on ZIPMA $(q)$ can be expressed as

$$
R_{n}=u+n \pi-\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{m}} C_{m, j}
$$

where $u$ is the positive initial reserve, $\pi$ is the premium rate per period, $N_{m}$ is modelled by zero inflated Poisson $q^{\text {th }}$ order moving average (ZIPMA $(q)$ ) defined in Definition 3.8 and $\left\{C_{m, j}\right\}$ is the sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables.

Lemma 3.11. Let $N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ be the $\operatorname{ZIPMA}(q)$ defined in Definition 3.8, then the joint probability generating function of $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{q}\left(1-z_{1}\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{q}\right)\right)}\right) \\
\text { ค. พULALO } & \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-q}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{i+q}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n-1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}\right)\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n-(q-1)}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n+1-(q-1)}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} z_{n}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n}\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Proof. The moment generating function of $S_{n}, m_{S_{n}}(\cdot)$, from (3.10) defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{S_{n}}(z) & =\mathrm{E}\left(e^{z S_{n}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(e^{z\left(W_{1}+W_{2}+\cdots+W_{3}\right)}\right) \\
& =m_{W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{n}}(z, z, \ldots, z),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $W_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j}$ defined in Definition 3.1. Then, the joint probability generating function of $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ is given by

$$
G_{N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{N_{1}} z_{2}^{N_{2}} \cdots z_{n}^{N_{n}}\right),
$$

for $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. The multivariate of the moment generating function, $m_{S_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ of ( $W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{n}$ ) can be expressed as the joint probability generating function of $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ and the moment generating function of $\left\{C_{i, j}\right\}$ denoted by $m_{C}(\cdot)$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{S_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) & =m_{W_{1}, W_{2}, \ldots, W_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \\
& =G_{N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}}\left(m_{C}\left(z_{1}\right), m_{C}\left(z_{2}\right), \ldots, m_{C}\left(z_{n}\right)\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, to obtain (3.24), we find the expression for the probability generating function, $G_{N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$. Since $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables, we firstly consider the the joint probability generating function of $\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}\right)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{n}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)= \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{N_{1}} z_{2}^{N_{2}} \cdots z_{n}^{N_{n}}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{1-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{1-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{1-q}}\right. \\
& \times z_{2}^{\epsilon_{2}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{2-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{2-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{2-q}} \\
& \vdots \\
& \times z_{n}^{\left.\epsilon_{n}+\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{n-2}+\cdots+\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-q}\right)} \\
&= \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{1-q}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{q-1} \circ \epsilon_{2-q}} z_{2}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{2-q}}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{1-1}} z_{2}^{\left.\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{2-2} \cdots z_{q}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{n-n}}\right)}\right. \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-q} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} z_{i+1}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{i}} \cdots z_{i+q}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{i}}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{n-i}^{\epsilon_{n-i}} z_{n+1-i}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-i}} \cdots z_{n}^{\alpha_{q-i} \circ \epsilon_{n-i}}\right)  \tag{3.25}\\
& \times \mathrm{E}\left(z_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For the first $q$ terms of (3.25), we apply Lemma 2.29 and the fact that $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$ to consider the first $q$ terms, we start with the first term as the following.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{1-q}}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{1-q}} \mid \epsilon_{1-q}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{1-q}} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1, j}^{\delta_{q, j}^{(1-q)}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{1}\right)^{\epsilon_{1-q}}\right) \\
& =G_{\epsilon_{1-q}}\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{1}\right) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{q}\left(1-z_{1}\right)} \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

For the second term, note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{q-1} \circ \epsilon_{2-q}} z_{2}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{2-q}}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{q-1} \circ \epsilon_{2-q}} z_{2}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{2-q}} \mid \epsilon_{2-q}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{2-q}} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\delta_{q-1}^{(2-q)}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{2}-q} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{2}^{\delta_{q, j}^{(2-q)}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\left(\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} z_{1}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{2}\right)\right)^{\epsilon_{2-q}}\right) \\
& =G_{\epsilon_{2-q}}\left(\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} z_{1}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} z_{1}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{2}\right)\right) .} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we can apply the same technique as in (3.26) and (3.27) to formulate the $q$ term as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{0}} z_{2}^{\alpha_{2} \circ \epsilon_{0}} \cdots z_{q}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{0}}\right) & = \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{q}\right)\right)} . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\prod_{i=1}^{n-q} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} z_{i+1}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{i}} \cdots z_{i+q}^{\alpha_{q} \epsilon_{i}}\right)$, we know that $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$. First, consider the case $i=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} z_{2}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{1}} \cdots z_{1+q}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{1}}\right)=\operatorname{E}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} z_{2}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{1}} \cdots z_{1+q}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{1}} \mid \epsilon_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \text { CHULALOTIGR }\left(z_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{2, j}^{\delta_{1, j}^{(1)}}\right) \cdots \prod_{j=1}^{\epsilon_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{1+q}^{\delta_{q}^{(1)}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{1+q}\right)\right)^{\epsilon_{1}} \\
& =G_{\epsilon_{1}}\left(z_{1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{1+q}\right)\right) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{2}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{1+q}\right)\right)} \text {. } \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, we apply the same technique as in (3.29) for $i=2,3, \ldots, n-q$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{n-q} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{i}^{\epsilon_{i}} z_{i+1}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{i}} \cdots z_{i+q}^{\alpha_{q} \circ \epsilon_{i}}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n-q}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{i+q}\right)\right)}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{n-i}^{\epsilon_{n-i}} z_{n+1-i}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-i}} \cdots z_{n}^{\alpha_{q-i} \circ \epsilon_{n-i}}\right)$, we apply the similar technique as in (3.28). First, we start with $i=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z_{n-1}^{\epsilon_{n-1}} z_{n}^{\alpha_{1} \circ \epsilon_{n-1}}\right)= & G_{\epsilon_{n-1}}\left(z_{n-1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n-1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}\right)\right)} . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we can apply to obtain the general form for $i=2,3, \ldots, q-1$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod_{i=1}^{q-1} \mathrm{E}\left(z_{n-i}^{\epsilon_{n-i}} z_{n+1-i}^{\alpha_{1} \epsilon_{n-i}} \cdots z_{n}^{\alpha_{q-i} \epsilon_{n-i}}\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}\left(G_{\epsilon_{n-i}}\left(z_{n-i}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n+1-i}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i} z_{n}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{3.32}\\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{q-1}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n-i}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n+1-i}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i} z_{n}\right)\right)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the last term of (3.25), we have that $\left\{\epsilon_{t}, t=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. zero inflated Poisson random variables with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z_{n}^{\epsilon_{n}}\right)=p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n}\right)} . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.26) - (3.33) into (3.25),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z_{1}^{N_{1}} z_{2}^{N_{2}} \cdots z_{n}^{N_{n}}\right)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{q}\left(1-z_{1}\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{q}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{i=1}^{n-q}\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{i}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z_{i+q}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n-1}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n}\right)\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n-(q-1)}\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z_{n+1-(q-1)}\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} z_{n}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z_{n}\right)}\right) . \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.12. Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined in Definition 3.10. The adjustment coefficient function $c(\cdot)$ of $R_{n}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(z)=\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} m_{C}(z)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)-\pi z, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $\alpha_{0}=1$.


Proof. We denote that $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables whose the moment of generating function, $m_{C}(\cdot)$.

Note that,

$$
c(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m_{S_{n}}(z)-\pi z .
$$

From Lemma 3.11, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} z^{N_{2}} \cdots z^{N_{n}}\right)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{q}(1-z)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} z\right)\right)}\right)^{n-q} \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z\right)\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-z\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} z\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} z\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (3.24), we can obtain the moment generating function of $S_{n}$, by replacing $z$ by $m_{C}(z)$ in (3.36) as

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{S_{n}}(z)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{q}\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} m_{C}(z)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} m_{C}(z)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)^{n-q} \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} m_{C}(z)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}\right) . \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, we obtain $m_{S_{n}}(\cdot)$ from (3.37), then we put into the adjustment coefficient function as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(z) & =\lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m_{S_{n}}(z)-\pi z \\
& =\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} m_{C}(z)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)-\pi z .
\end{aligned}
$$

The premium per period, $\pi$, follows the explanation in (3.18). Let $D=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right\}$. We will show that the adjustment coefficient has the unique positive zero root in $D$ for $q \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.13. Let $q \geq 1$, the adjustment coefficient function of $\operatorname{ZIPMA}(q)$ has the unique positive solution of the equation $c(z)=0$ in $D$.

Proof. To simplify the notation,

$$
A_{i}(z):=1-\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{i} m_{C}(z) .
$$

Then, we obtain
and

where $\alpha_{0}=1$.
We can simplify the adjustment coefficient function defined in Theorem 3.12 as

$$
\begin{align*}
c(z) & =\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} m_{C}(z)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} m_{C}(z)\right)\right)}\right)-\pi z \\
& =\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-A_{0}(z) A_{1}(z) \cdots A_{q}(z)\right)}\right)-\pi z \\
& =\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)-\pi z . \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to Lemma 3.7 to prove the Lemma, then we will show that
(a) $c(0)=0$,
(b) $\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0}<0$,
(c) $\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)>0$ for $z \in D$,
(d) $\lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty} c(z)=+\infty$.
(a) Note that

$$
c(z)=\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)-\pi z
$$

We substitute $z=0$ into $c(z)$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(0) & =\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=1}^{q} A_{i}(0)\right)}\right)-\pi(0) \\
& =\log (p+(1-p)) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Note that

$$
\frac{d}{d z} c(z)=\frac{(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)} \lambda\left(\sum_{s=0}^{q} \prod_{i=0, i \neq s}^{q} A_{s}^{\prime}(z) A_{i}(z)\right)}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}}-\pi
$$

Since we have $\pi=\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}\right)(1+\theta)$, then for $\theta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0} & =\frac{(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(0)\right)} \lambda\left(\sum_{s=0}^{q} \prod_{i=0, i \neq s}^{q} A_{s}^{\prime}(0) A_{i}(0)\right)}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(0)\right)}}-\pi \\
& =\frac{(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-1)} \lambda\left(\sum_{s=0}^{q} \alpha_{s} \mathrm{E}(C)\right)}{p+(1-p) e^{\lambda(1-1)}}-\pi \\
& =\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C) \sum_{s=0}^{q} \alpha_{s}-\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta) \sum_{s=0}^{q} \alpha_{s} \\
& =\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)\left(\sum_{s=0}^{q} \alpha_{s}-\sum_{s=0}^{q} \alpha_{s}-\theta \sum_{s=0}^{q} \alpha_{s}\right) \\
& =-\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)\left(\theta \sum_{s=0}^{q} \alpha_{s}\right) \\
& <0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we obtain that $\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0}<0$.
(c) Since $z \in D, A_{i}(z)>0, A_{i}^{\prime}(z)>0$ and $A_{i}^{\prime \prime}(z)>0$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)= & \frac{p(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\left(2 \lambda \sum_{x=0}^{q} \sum_{y=x+1}^{q} \prod_{i=0, i \neq x, y}^{q} A_{x}^{\prime}(z) A_{y}^{\prime}(z) A_{i}(z)\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\left(\lambda \sum_{s=0}^{q} \prod_{i=0, i \neq s}^{q} A_{s}^{\prime \prime}(z) A_{i}\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\left(\lambda \sum_{s=0}^{q} \prod_{i=0, i \neq s}^{q} A_{s}^{\prime}(z) A_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{\left.-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)\right)^{2}}\right.} \\
& +\frac{\left.(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)^{2}\left(2 \lambda \sum_{x=0}^{q} \sum_{y=x+1}^{q} \prod_{i=0, i \neq x, y}^{q} A_{x}^{\prime}(z) A_{y}^{\prime}(z) A_{i}(z)\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left.(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)^{2}\left(\lambda \sum_{s=0}^{q} \prod_{i=0, i \neq s}^{q} A_{s}^{\prime \prime}(z) A_{i}\right)}{\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can conclude that $\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)>0$.
(d) We can show that the limit of $c(z)$ reaches to $+\infty$ as $z$ approaches $+\infty$. Let us first consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =\lambda\left(\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)-1\right) \\
& \propto \lambda \prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z) \\
& \propto \lambda m_{C}^{q+1}(z) \prod_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in D$. We know that $m_{C}(z)$ is the monotonically increasing function and continuous function in $D$, then $m_{C}^{q+1}(z)$ is growing up to $+\infty$ with the exponential rate, then we can conclude that $f(z)$ will grow with exponential rate which is faster than any linear trend. Hence, we can make the conclusion as

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)-\pi z\right)=+\infty
$$

Example 3.2. In this part, we consider a special case when the claim amounts follow an exponential distribution. That is $\left\{C_{i, j}, i \in \mathbb{N}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. exponentially distributed random variables with parameter $\beta>0$. The moment generating function of $\left\{C_{i, j}, i \in \mathbb{N}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is defined as $m_{C}(z)=\frac{1}{1-z / \beta}$ for $z<\beta$. Using Theorem 3.6, the adjustment coefficient function is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(z)=\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\prod_{i=0}^{q} A_{i}(z)\right)}\right)-\pi z, \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}(z)=1-\alpha_{i}+\frac{\alpha_{i}}{1-z / \beta}$ and $\pi=\lambda(1-p)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta), 0<z<\beta$.

### 3.3.2 Approximate to the value at risk and tail value at risk of ZIPMA $(q)$

The value at risk at the confidence level $\gamma, \operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ and the tail value at risk at the confidence level $\gamma, \operatorname{TVaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ for ZIPMA $(q)$ process can be approximated by the similar technique as in ZIPMA(1). Therefore, we consider the characteristic function of $S_{n}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{S_{n}}(x)= & G_{N(n)}\left(\phi_{C}(x)\right) \\
= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha_{q}\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} \phi_{C}(x)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} \phi_{C}(x)\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} \phi_{C}(x)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q}+\alpha_{q} \phi_{C}(x)\right)\right)}\right)^{n-q} \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} \phi_{C}(x)\right)\right)}\right) \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\left(1-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{1} \phi_{C}(x)\right) \cdots\left(1-\alpha_{q-1}+\alpha_{q-1} \phi_{C}(x)\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\right)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

### 3.3.3 Numerical experiments of risk model based on ZIPMA $(q)$

In this section, we show examples to calculate the adjustment coefficient and approximation to the ruin probability of risk model based on ZIPMA $(q)$ claim count process
where we consider a special case when $q=2$ and $q=3$. That is the ZIPMA(2) and ZIPMA(3), respectively. In addition, the two risk measurements of $12^{\text {th }}$ period of time at the confidence levels 0.9 and 0.95 are also provided.

### 3.3.4 Calculation of the adjustment coefficient of risk model based on ZIPMA(2)

Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined in (3.1), and $\left\{N_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots n\right\}$ is a sequence of ZIPMA(2) claฟim count process defined in Definition 3.8. Let $D=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right\}$and $z<\beta$, and $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the exponential distribution with parameter $\beta$ and we obtain $c(z)$ as in Example 3.2. The parameters setting are $u=2,(\lambda, p)=(1.5,0.2)$, $\beta=4$ and $\theta=0.3$. Table 3.3 shows the adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ for different values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in\{0,0.25,0.50,0.75,1\}$ and the value of upper bound of the ruin probability of $R_{n}, \Psi_{R_{n}}(u)=\exp \left(-z_{0} u\right)$ in parentheses. Figure 3.8-3.9 show the trend of the adjustment coefficient and the value of upper bound of the ruin probability, respectively.


Figure 3.7: The unique positive zero root of the adjustment coefficient for ZIPMA(2).

Table 3.3: The adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of $\Psi_{R_{n}}(u)$ of ZIPMA(2).

| $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.8140 | 0.6793 | 0.6102 | 0.5665 | 0.5357 |
|  | $(0.1963)$ | $(0.2570)$ | $(0.2951)$ | $(0.3220)$ | $(0.3425)$ |
| 0.25 | 0.6793 | 0.5927 | 0.5418 | 0.5074 | 0.4821 |
|  | $(0.2570)$ | $(0.3056)$ | $(0.3383)$ | $(0.3624)$ | $(0.3812)$ |
| 0.5 | 0.6102 | 0.5418 | 0.4988 | 0.4687 | 0.4460 |
|  | $(0.2951)$ | $(0.3383)$ | $(0.3687)$ | $(0.3916)$ | $(0.4098)$ |
| 0.75 | 0.5665 | 0.5074 | 0.4687 | 0.4408 | 0.4196 |
|  | $(0.3220)$ | $(0.3624)$ | $(0.3916)$ | $(0.4141)$ | $(0.4320)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.5357 | 0.4821 | 0.4460 | 0.4196 | 0.3992 |
|  | $(0.3425)$ | $(0.3813)$ | $(0.4098)$ | $(0.4320)$ | $(0.4500)$ |

The value of an adjustment coefficient


Figure 3.8: The trend of the adjustment coefficient according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of ZIPMA(2).

The value of the approximated ruin probability


| $\alpha_{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -- | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| +- | 0.5 |
| $-\times-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.9: The trend of the ruin probability according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of ZIPMA(2).

Figure 3.7 shows the unique positive zero root of $c(z)$ in the case of $\beta=4$, $\alpha_{1}=0.25 \alpha_{2}=0$, which is the red point on the blue line and it satisfies 4 statements in Lemma 3.13 that is the trend of $c(z)$ surge to positive infinity. Table 3.3 and Figures 3.8-3.9 show that the value of ruin probability increases while the adjustment coefficient decreases. Besides, the ruin probability dependently grows as a function of the level $\alpha_{i}, i=1,2$. Therefore, the ZIPMA(2) risk model with two periods of claim count seems to have a high value of the ruin probability than the ruin probability from ZIPMA(1) risk model.

### 3.3.5 Calculation of the value at risk and the tail value at risk for risk model based on ZIPMA(2)

In this part, we show calculations of the value at risk and tail value at risk of a risk model based on $\operatorname{ZIPMA}(q)$ when $q=2$. Let the time period $n$ be 12 and divide the domain of $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ which $\beta=4$ to be $5 \times 10^{5}$ parts with the length of steps are 0.0005 for the FFT distribution approximation. For the Riemann sum approximation of tail value at risk, we divided the length of steps of value at risk as $5 \times 10^{-6}$. Tables $3.4-3.5$ show $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ for the different values
of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in\{0,0.25,0.5,0.75,1\}$ and the value of $\operatorname{TVaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ (in parentheses) for the confidence levels $\gamma=0.90$ and 0.95 , respectively.

Table 3.4: The value of the value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(2).

| $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 5.5200 | 6.8200 | 8.0600 | 9.2800 | 10.4600 |
|  | $(6.40828)$ | $(7.8785)$ | $(9.26798)$ | $(10.6081)$ | $(11.9146)$ |
| 0.25 | 6.8400 | 8.1200 | 9.3600 | 10.5600 | 11.7600 |
|  | $(7.90664)$ | $(9.34731)$ | $(10.726)$ | $(12.0633)$ | $(13.3708)$ |
| 0.5 | 8.1000 | 9.3600 | 10.6000 | 11.8200 | 13.0200 |
|  | $(9.31545)$ | $(10.7463)$ | $(12.1235)$ | $(13.4635)$ | $(14.7757)$ |
| 0.75 | 9.3200 | 10.5800 | 11.8200 | 13.0400 | 14.2400 |
|  | $(10.6707)$ | $(12.0995)$ | $(13.4796)$ | $(14.825)$ | $(16.1438)$ |
| 1 | 10.5200 | 11.8000 | 13.0400 | 14.2600 | 15.4600 |
|  | $(11.9897)$ | $(13.4204)$ | $(14.8055)$ | $(16.1574)$ | $(17.4835)$ |

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.9


Figure 3.10: The trend of the value at risk according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ at the confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(2).

The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.9


Figure 3.11: The trend of the tail value at risk according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ at the confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(2).

Table 3.5: The value of the value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(2).

| $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 6.1800 | 7.6000 | 8.9600 | 10.2800 | 11.5600 |
|  | $(7.00104)$ | $(8.58557)$ | $(10.0686)$ | $(11.4909)$ | $(12.8722)$ |
| 0.25 | 7.6400 | 9.0400 | 10.3800 | 11.6800 | 12.9600 |
|  | $(8.6197)$ | $(10.1672)$ | $(11.6373)$ | $(13.0564)$ | $(14.439)$ |
| 0.5 | 9.0000 | 10.4000 | 11.7400 | 13.0400 | 14.3400 |
|  | $(10.1259)$ | $(11.6616)$ | $(13.1303)$ | $(14.553)$ | $(15.9414)$ |
| 0.75 | 10.3200 | 11.7200 | 13.0600 | 14.3800 | 15.6600 |
|  | $(11.5663)$ | $(13.0996)$ | $(14.572)$ | $(16.0014)$ | $(17.398)$ |
| 1 | 11.6200 | 13.0200 | 14.3600 | 15.6800 | 16.9800 |
|  | $(12.9624)$ | $(14.4982)$ | $(15.9769)$ | $(17.4142)$ | $(18.8199)$ |

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.95


| $\alpha_{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -- | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.12: The trend of the value at risk according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ at the confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(2).

## The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95



| $\alpha_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| -0 | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| - | 0.5 |
| $--x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.13: The trend of the tail value at risk according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ at the confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(2).

Tables 3.4-3.5 and Figures 3.10-3.13 show that the value of $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}$ and $\mathrm{TVaR}_{\gamma}$ are increasing together with the increase of the values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and confidence level $\gamma$. In the other words, the increasing of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ which means that there are more the number of new claims will continuously claim in the current
year. Consequently, the company will receive either high earned premiums or massive claims. The confidence level $\gamma$ can inform us about the probability that the loss will undergo over the estimated loss with a probability $(1-\gamma)$.

### 3.3.6 Calculation of the adjustment coefficient of risk model based on ZIPMA(3)

Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined in (3.1), and $\left\{N_{i}, i=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots n\}$ be a sequence of ZIPMA(2) claim count process defined in Definition 3.8. Let $D=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right\}$and $z<\beta$, and $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the exponential distribution with parameter $\beta$ and we obtain $c(z)$ as in Example 3.2. The parameters setting are $u=2,(\lambda, p)=$ $(1.5,0.2), \beta=4$ and $\theta=0.3$. Figures 3.15-3.19 show the trend of the adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ for the different values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \in\{0,0.25,0.50,0.75,1\}$ and the value of upper bound of the ruin probability of $R_{n}, \Psi_{R_{n}}(u)=\exp \left(-z_{0} u\right)$. Table 3.6 shows the value of the adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the value of upper bound of the ruin probability in parentheses.


Figure 3.14: The unique positive zero root of the adjustment coefficient for ZIPMA(3).

The value of an adjustment coefficient


The value of the approximated ruin probability


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -- | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.15: The trend of the adjustment coefficient and the approximated ruin probability when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases of ZIPMA(3).


Figure 3.16: The trend of the adjustment coefficient and the approximated ruin probability when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.25$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases of ZIPMA(3).

The value of an adjustment coefficient


The value of the approximated ruin probability


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -0 | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| -- | 1 |

Figure 3.17: The trend of the adjustment coefficient and the approximated ruin probability when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.5$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases of ZIPMA(3).

The value of an adjustment coefficient


The value of the approximated ruin probability


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| -- | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| +- | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.18: The trend of the adjustment coefficient and the approximated ruin probability when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.75$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases of ZIPMA(3).

The value of an adjustment coefficient


The value of the approximated ruin probability


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -- | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\theta$ | 1 |

Figure 3.19: The trend of the adjustment coefficient and the approximated ruin probability when fixed $\alpha_{1}=1$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases of ZIPMA(3).

Table 3.6: The adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of $\Psi_{R_{n}}(u)$ of ZIPMA(3)

|  | $\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1}=0$ | 0 | 0.8140 | 0.6793 | 0.6102 | 0.5665 | 0.5357 |
|  |  | $(0.1963)$ | $(0.2570)$ | $(0.2951)$ | $(0.3220)$ | $(0.3425)$ |
|  | 0.25 | 0.6793 | 0.5927 | 0.5418 | 0.5074 | 0.4821 |
|  |  | $(0.2570)$ | $(0.3056)$ | $(0.3383)$ | $(0.3624)$ | $(0.3812)$ |
|  | 0.5 | 0.6102 | 0.5418 | 0.4988 | 0.4687 | 0.4460 |
|  |  | $(0.2951)$ | $(0.3383)$ | $(0.3687)$ | $(0.3916)$ | $(0.4098)$ |
|  | 0.75 | 0.5665 | 0.5074 | 0.4687 | 0.4408 | 0.4196 |

Table 3.6: (continued) The adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of $\Psi_{R_{n}}(u)$ of ZIPMA (3)


Table 3.6: (continued) The adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of $\Psi_{R_{n}}(u)$ of ZIPMA(3)


Figure 3.14 shows the unique positive zero root of $c(z)$ in case $\beta=4$, $\alpha_{1}=0.5$ and $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}=0$, which is the red point on the blue line and it satisfies 4 statements in Lemma 3.13 that is the trend of $c(z)$ surge to positive infinity. Figures 3.15-3.19 shows the similar trend to Figures 3.8-3.9 that the ruin prob-
ability is increasing while the adjustment coefficient is decreasing along with the increasing of level $\alpha_{i}$.

### 3.3.7 Calculation of the value at risk and the tail value at risk for risk model based on ZIPMA(3)

In this part, we show a calculation of the value at risk and tail value at risk of a risk model based on $\operatorname{ZIPMA}(q)$ when $q=3$. Let the time period $n$ be 12 and divide the domain of $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ which $\beta=4$ to be $5 \times 10^{5}$ parts with the length of steps are 0.0005 for the EFT distribution approximation. For the Riemann sum approximation of tail value at risk, we divide the length of steps of value at risk as $5 \times 10^{-6}$. Figures $3.20-3.29$ show the trend of $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TVaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ for the different values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \in\{0,0.25,0.5,0.75,1\}$ at the confidence levels $\gamma=0.90$ and 0.95 , respectively. Table $3.7-3.8$ show the the value of $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TVaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ in parentheses at the confidence levels $\gamma=0.90$ and 0.95 , respectively.


Figure 3.20: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).


Figure 3.21: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.25$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).
The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.90


The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.90


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -0 | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.22: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.50$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.90


The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.90


|  | $\alpha_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | 0 |
| $\triangle$ | 0.25 |
|  | . 5 |
|  | 0.75 |
|  | 1 |

Figure 3.23: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.75$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.90


The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.90


Figure 3.24: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=1$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.95


The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -0 | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.25: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(3).


Figure 3.26: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.25$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(3).

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.95


The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95


Figure 3.27: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.50$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(3).

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.95


The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -- | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| -- | 1 |

Figure 3.28: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=0.75$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(3).

The value of value at risk at confidence level 0.95


The value of tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95


| $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| -0 | 0 |
| $-\Delta-$ | 0.25 |
| + | 0.5 |
| $-x-$ | 0.75 |
| $-\rightarrow$ | 1 |

Figure 3.29: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk when fixed $\alpha_{1}=1$ and either $\alpha_{2}$ or $\alpha_{3}$ increases at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(3).

Table 3.7: The value of value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).

|  | $\alpha_{2}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1}=0$ | 0 | 5.8900 | 7.2700 | 8.5900 | 9.8800 | 11.1600 |
|  |  | $(6.81278)$ | $(8.35703)$ | $(9.82485)$ | $(11.2452)$ | $(12.6327)$ |
|  | 0.25 | 7.2800 | 8.6400 | 9.9600 | 11.2600 | 12.5300 |
|  |  | $(8.38418)$ | $(9.90613)$ | $(11.3671)$ | $(12.7875)$ | $(14.1783)$ |
|  | 0.5 | 8.6200 | 9.9800 | 11.3000 | 12.5900 | 13.8700 |
|  |  | $(9.87097)$ | $(11.3868)$ | $(12.8493)$ | $(14.2745)$ | $(15.672)$ |
| $\alpha_{1}=0.75$ | 9.9300 | 11.2800 | 12.6000 | 13.9100 | 15.1900 |  |
|  |  |  | $(11.3061)$ | $(12.8228)$ | $(14.2902)$ | $(15.7225)$ |

Table 3.7: (continued) The value of value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).


Table 3.7: (continued) The value of value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.90 of ZIPMA(3).

| $\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.5600 | 17.9000 | 19.2200 | 20.5200 | 21.8100 |
|  | $(18.6565)$ | $(20.1498)$ | $(21.6126)$ | $(23.0511)$ | $(24.4692)$ |

Table 3.8: The value of value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA (3).

|  | $\alpha_{2}{ }^{\alpha_{3}}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1}=0$ | 0 | 6.5800 | 8.0800 | 9.5100 | 10.9000 | 12.2600 |
|  |  | (7.42384) | (9.08114) | (10.6428) | (12.1461) | (13.6092) |
|  | 0.25 | 8.1000 | 9.5900 | 11.0100 | 12.4000 | 13.7700 |
|  |  | (9.11413) | (10.7437) | (12.2971) | (13.8006) | (15.2679) |
|  | 0.5 | 9.5500 | 11.0300 | 12.4600 | 13.8500 | 15.2200 |
|  |  | (10.6986) | (12.3208) | (13.8763) | (15.3857) | (16.8615) |
|  | 0.75 | 10.9600 | 12.4300 | 13.8700 | 15.2700 | 16.6400 |
|  |  | (12.2194) | (13.8428) | (15.4045) | (16.9228) | (18.408) |
|  | 1 | 12.3300 | 13.8100 | 15.2500 | 16.6600 | 18.0400 |
|  |  | (13.6969) | (15.3255) | (16.8958) | (18.4239) | (19.9196) |
| $\alpha_{1}=0.25$ | 0 | 8.1300 | 9.6000 | 11.0200 | 12.4100 | 13.7700 |
|  |  | (9.14689) | (10.7641) | (12.309) | (13.8059) | (15.2679) |
|  | 0.25 | 9.6300 | 11.0900 | 12.5100 | 13.8900 | 15.2600 |
|  |  | (10.7987) | (12.4019) | (13.9439) | (15.4431) | (16.9103) |
|  | 0.5 | 11.0700 | 12.5300 | 13.9500 | 15.3400 | 16.7100 |
|  |  | (12.3693) | (13.9702) | (15.5158) | (17.0214) | (18.4962) |
|  | 0.75 | 12.4700 | 13.9300 | 15.3600 | 16.7500 | 18.1300 |
|  |  | (13.8866) | (15.4905) | (17.0426) | (18.5565) | (20.0407) |

Table 3.8: (continued) The value of value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(3).


Table 3.8: (continued) The value of value at risk and tail value at risk at confidence level 0.95 of ZIPMA(3).

|  | 0.25 | 13.8800 | 15.3400 | 16.7600 | 18.1500 | 19.5300 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(15.4223)$ | $(17.0135)$ | $(18.5589)$ | $(20.0695)$ | $(21.5527)$ |  |
| 0.5 | 15.3300 | 16.7800 | 18.2000 | 19.6000 | 20.9800 |  |
|  | $(17.0016)$ | $(18.5912)$ | $(20.1386)$ | $(21.6529)$ | $(23.1412)$ |  |
| 0.75 | 16.7400 | 18.2000 | 19.6200 | 21.0200 | 22.4100 |  |
|  | $(18.5378)$ | $(20.129)$ | $(21.6804)$ | $(23.2002)$ | $(24.6946)$ |  |
| 1 | 18.1300 | 19.5900 | 21.0200 | 22.4200 | 23.8100 |  |
|  | $(20.0411)$ | $(21.6358)$ | $(23.1924)$ | $(24.7187)$ | $(26.2200)$ |  |

Figures 3.20-3.29 and Table 3.7-3.8 show that the value of $\mathrm{VaR}_{\gamma}$ and $\mathrm{TVaR}_{\gamma}$ are increasing together with the increase of the values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and confidence levels $\gamma$.


## CHAPTER IV

## DISCRETE TIME RISK MODEL BASED ON THE ZERO INFLATED POISSON AUTOREGRESSIVE

In this chapter, we give the definition of the discrete time surplus process as in Definition 4.1. In Section 4.1, we apply the another prospective model of time series, which is the autoregressive model. In this section, we provide details of the definition of the zero inflated Poisson autoregressive model in Definition 4.2, its probabilistic properties in Lemma 4.3, the adjustment coefficient in Theorem 4.5 and the proof of the unique positive solution in Lemma 4.6. Finally, the numerical experiments of the ruin probability and risk measurements are shown in Section 4.1.5.

Definition 4.1. Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=u+n \pi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

- $u$ is the positive initial reserve of the business;
- $\pi$ is the premium rate per period;
- the sequence $C_{i, j}$ is the sequence of claim sizes in period $i$ and individuals $j$ and the sequence is independent and identically distributed distribution with moment generating function, $m_{C}(\cdot)$;
- $N_{i}$ is the claim number in period $i$.

We also denote that

- $N_{(n)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}$ is the aggregate claim number for $n$ periods;
- $W_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j}$ is the aggregate claim size for period $i$;
- $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}$ is the net loss process.


### 4.1 Discrete time risk model based on first order zero inflated Poisson autoregressive

. In this section, we provide the definition of zero inflated Poisson autoregressive (ZIPAR) model and derive its probabilistic properties. We consider the discrete time surplus defined in Definition 4.1, when the claim counts, $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, are modelled by the zero inflated Poisson first order autoregressive denoted by ZI$\operatorname{PAR}(1)$. The definition of $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ and its probabilistic properties are provided in Definitions 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, respectively. In Section 4.1.1, we derive the adjustment coefficient function and the approximation to the ruin probability of the ZIPAR(1) risk model. We consider a special case of the adjustment coefficient function when the claim sizes are exponentially distributed. In Section 4.1.2, we derive an approximation to the value at risk (VaR) of the ZIPAR(1) net loss process.

The concepts of zero inflated Poisson first order autoregressive model is quite different from zero inflated Poisson moving average model. In the model of zero inflated Poisson autoregressive, we consider the number of claim where $N_{i-1}$ is the number of claim in period $i-1$ and $\alpha$ is the reclaim probability. Thus, $\alpha \circ N_{i-1}$ is the number of insured in period $i-1$ will reclaim in period $i$ with a probability $\alpha$ and $\epsilon_{i}$ is the number of new insured in period $i$. Hence, the number of insured in period $i, N_{i}$, is based on the summation of the number of new claims in period $i$ and the number of reclaims from period $i-1$ when the reclaim probability is $\alpha$.

The definition of the zero inflated Poisson first order autoregressive (ZIPAR(1)) is presented as follows.

Definition 4.2. The zero inflated Poisson first order autoregressive, $N=\left\{N_{i}, i \in\right.$ $\mathbb{N}\}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\alpha \circ N_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{1}$ follows the zero inflated Poisson with parameters $p$ and $\lambda, \alpha \circ$ is the thining operator and $\left\{\epsilon_{i}, \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables.

We assume the probability generating function of $\left\{\epsilon_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is defined as

$$
G_{c_{i j}}(z)=\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}},
$$

where $p, \lambda>0$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\left\{\epsilon_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is independent of $N_{i}$ for every $i$. The $\alpha \circ$ thining operator is defined as follows.

$$
\alpha \circ N_{i-1}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{i-1}} \delta_{(i-1) 1 j} .
$$

Following Joe (1997), the dependence structure of the $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ model can be expressed as follows. First, note that for $Z_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$ follow the Bernoulli with parameters $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$, respectively. Then,

$$
Y_{i} Z_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } Y_{i}=1, Z_{i}=1 \text { with probability } \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \\
0 \text { otherwise, with probability } 1-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence $Y_{i} Z_{i}$ can be considered as a Bernoulli ( $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$ ) random variable. Then,

$$
\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right) \circ N \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i},
$$

where $N$ is the count random variable and $X_{i}$ is the Bernoulli random variable
with parameter $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{1} \circ\left(\alpha_{2} \circ N\right) & \stackrel{d}{=} \alpha_{1} \circ \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{1} \circ Y_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{Y_{i}} Z_{j} \\
& \stackrel{d}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} Z_{j} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equation is obtained from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{Y_{i}} Z_{i} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } Y_{i}=1, Z_{i}=1 \text { with probability } \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \\
0 \text { otherwise, with probability } 1-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& =Z_{i} Y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$
\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right) \circ N \stackrel{d}{=} \alpha_{1} \circ\left(\alpha_{2} \circ N\right) .
$$

Consequently, the expressions of $N_{2}, N_{3}, \ldots$ are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{2}= & \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i}+\epsilon_{2} \\
N_{3}= & \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i}+\sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon_{2}} \delta_{32 i}+\epsilon_{3} \\
& \vdots \\
N_{n}= & \sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i} \cdots \delta_{n i}+\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon_{j}} \prod_{k=j+1}^{n} \delta_{k j i}+\epsilon_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The random variables $\left\{\delta_{21 j}, \delta_{31 j}, \delta_{32 j}, \ldots, \delta_{n 1 j}, \delta_{n 2 j}, \ldots, \delta_{n(n-1) j}, j=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ are i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with mean $\alpha$. Furthermore, we give details about
the construction in the dependence structure by understanding the multiplication of the $\alpha \circ$ thining operator. Having defined the $Z \operatorname{IPAR}(1)$ process, its probabilistic properties can be obtained as in Lemma 4.3 below

Lemma 4.3. Let $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be the $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ model defined in Definition 4.2, then $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ has the following. properties.
(a) The sequence $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a stationary process with the probability generating function of $N_{i}, G_{N_{i}}(z)=p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) The expectation of $N_{i}$ is $\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right)=\lambda(1-p)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(c) The variance of $N_{i}$ is $\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)=\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(d) The covariance function between $N_{i}$ and $N_{i-m}$,

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)=\alpha^{m} \lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p),
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
(e) The correlation function between $N_{i}$ and $N_{i-m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)=\alpha^{m}, \\
& \text { CHULALONGIORNI UNIVERSITY }
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. To prove (a), we consider the probability generating function of $\left\{N_{i}, i \in\right.$ $\mathbb{N}\}$, let $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\left\{\epsilon_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be the processes defined in Definition 4.2 and use the fact that $N_{i}$ and $\epsilon_{i}$ are independent, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{N_{i}}(z) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{i}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\alpha \circ N_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{i}}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\alpha \circ N_{i-1}}\right) \\
& =G_{\epsilon_{i}}(z) G_{N_{i-1}}(1-\alpha+\alpha z) \\
& =\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}}\right)\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-(1-\alpha+\alpha z))}\right) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $G_{N_{i}}(\cdot)$ does not depend on $i$ then $G_{N_{1}}(\cdot)=G_{N_{2}}(\cdot)=\ldots=$ $G_{N_{i}}(\cdot)$. Therefore, $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a stationary process. In addition, the probability generating function of $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is given by

$$
G_{N_{i}}(z)=\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}\right),
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
(b) Since $G_{N_{i}}(z)=\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{i}}\right)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we can use the p.g.f. $G_{N_{i}}(z)$ obtained in (a) and the properties of the probability generating function to find $\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}\right) & =\left.\frac{d}{d z} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1} \\
& =\left.\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} \lambda\right)\right|_{z=1} \\
& =\lambda(1-p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) To obtain the variance of $N_{i}$, we first compute the second moment $\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}^{2}\right)$ by applying the properties of the probability generating function as the following.

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}^{2}\right)=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1}+\left.\frac{d}{d z} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1} .
$$

Note that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1} & =\left.\left((1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} \lambda\right)(\lambda)\right|_{z=1} \\
& =(1-p) \lambda^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}^{2}\right) & =\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1}+\left.\frac{d}{d z} G_{N_{i}}(z)\right|_{z=1} \\
& =(1-p) \lambda^{2}+(1-p) \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(N_{i}^{2}\right)-\mathrm{E}^{2}\left(N_{i}\right) \\
& =(1-p) \lambda^{2}+(1-p) \lambda-((1-p) \lambda)^{2} \\
& =(1-p) \lambda(\lambda+1-(1-p) \lambda) \\
& =\lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) To obtain the formula for the covariance function by applying the independence of $\epsilon_{i}$ and $N_{i}$ and use Lemma 2.29, for $i=1,2, \ldots$.

For $m=1$, using Lemma 2.31

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-1}\right) & =\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ N_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, N_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ N_{i-1}, N_{i-1}\right)+\operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_{i}, N_{i-1}\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ N_{i-1}, N_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\alpha \operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\alpha \lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the property that $\epsilon_{i}$ and $N_{i-1}$ are independent to obtain (4.4), and use (c) to obtain (4.5).

For $m>1$, by using the independence between $\epsilon_{i}$ and $N_{i-m}$ for all $i$ and $m>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ N_{i-1}+\epsilon_{i}, N_{i-m}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha \circ N_{i-1}, N_{i-m}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha^{2} \circ N_{i-2}+\alpha \circ \epsilon_{i-1}, N_{i-m}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha^{2} \circ N_{i-2}, N_{i-m}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
= & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha^{m} \circ N_{i-m}, N_{i-m}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{m} \operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i-m}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{m} \lambda(1-p)(1+\lambda p) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where we apply (c) to obtain (4.6).
(e) From (c), we know that $\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)$ does not depend on $i$ and the result from (d), then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Corr}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right) & =\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i-m}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(N_{i}, N_{i-m}\right)}{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)} \\
\text { คุพาลงแALONGIKO } & =\frac{\alpha^{m} \operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i-m}\right)}{\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)} \\
& =\alpha^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.1.1 Adjustment coefficient function of ZIPAR(1)

In this section, we derive the adjustment coefficient function of the zero inflated Poisson AR(1) by applying the method from Section 3.1 to obtain the Lundberg adjustment coefficient. Then, we provide a proof of the unique posi-
tive solution of zero root of the adjustment coefficient. The risk model based on ZIPAR(1) can be expressed as follows.

Definition 4.4. The risk model based on $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ can be expressed as

$$
R_{n}=u+n \pi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} C_{i, j},
$$

where $u$ is the positive initial reserve, $\pi$ is the premium rate per period, $N_{i}$ is modelled by zero inflated Poisson first order autoregressive $(\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1))$ and $\left\{C_{i, j}\right\}$ is the sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables representing claim sizes in period $i$ and individuals $j$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $R_{n}$ be the discrete time surplus process defined in Definition 4.4. Under the condition that $\alpha m_{C}(z)<1$, the adjustment coefficient function $c(\cdot)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(z)=\log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)}}\right)-\pi z \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $\bar{\alpha}=1-\alpha$.

Proof. From (3.10), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(z) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log m_{S_{n}}(z)-\pi z \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(G_{N(n)}\left(m_{C}(z)\right)\right)-\pi z
\end{aligned}
$$

Then to obtain the adjustment coefficient function, we will first obtain $G_{N(n)}\left(m_{C}(z)\right)$ as the following. Since $\left\{\epsilon_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is independent and identically distributed and independent of $N_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{N(n)}(z)= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}+N_{2}+\cdots+N_{n}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}+\alpha \circ N_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\alpha \circ N_{2}+\epsilon_{3}+\cdots+\alpha \circ N_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}+\alpha \circ N_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\alpha^{2} \circ N_{1}+\alpha \circ \epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}+\cdots+\alpha^{n-1} \circ N_{1}+\cdots+\alpha \circ \epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}+\alpha \circ N_{1}+\cdots+\alpha^{n-1} \circ N_{1}}\right) \times \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{2}+\alpha \circ \epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\alpha^{n-2} \circ \epsilon_{2}}\right) \\
& \times \cdots \times \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n-1}+\alpha \circ \epsilon_{n-1}}\right) \times \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain the last term of (4.8) as we apply the p.g.f. of $\left\{\epsilon_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ from Definition 4.2 as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{n}}\right)=\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}}, \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{R}^{+} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to find the expression of $\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{i} \circ N_{1}}\right)$, we then apply $\left\{\delta_{i j k}\right\}_{i, j, k=1,2, \ldots}$ in Definition 4.2 and the p.g.f. of $\left\{\delta_{i j k}\right\}$ which is the sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables, $G_{\delta_{i j k}}(z)=\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{i j k}}\right)=\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z$ and $N_{1}$ follows the zero inflated Poisson with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$ to provide the development from periods $n=$ $1,2,3,4$.

For $n=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{0} \alpha^{i} \circ N_{1}}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}}\right) \text { ลัย } \\
\text { CHULALONGIKOP} & =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n=2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{1} \alpha^{i} \circ N_{1}}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} z^{\alpha \circ N_{1}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i}} \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\delta_{21 i}}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}}(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z)^{N_{1}}\right) \\
& =G_{N_{1}}(z(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z)) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n=3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{2} \alpha^{i}{ }^{N_{1}}}\right) & =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} z^{\alpha \circ N_{1}} z^{\alpha^{2} \circ N_{1}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i}} \mid N_{1}, \delta_{21 i}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} z^{\delta_{21 i}} \mathrm{E}\left(\left(z^{\delta_{21 i}}\right)^{\delta_{31 i}}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}} z^{\delta_{21 i}}\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{\delta_{21 i}}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha} z^{\delta_{21 i}}+\alpha z^{2 \delta_{21 i}}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z)+\alpha\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{2}\right)\right)^{N_{1}}\right) \\
& =G_{N_{1}}\left(z\left(\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z)+\alpha\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =G_{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha} z+\alpha \bar{\alpha} z^{2}+\alpha^{2} z^{3}\right) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha} z+\alpha \bar{\alpha} z^{2}+\alpha^{2} z^{3}\right)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n=4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{3} \alpha^{i} \circ N_{1}}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} z^{\alpha \circ N_{1}} z^{\alpha^{2} \circ N_{1}} z^{\alpha^{3} \circ N_{1}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z ^ { N _ { 1 } } \mathrm { E } \left(z ^ { \sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { N _ { 1 } } \delta _ { 2 1 i } } \mathrm { E } \left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i}} \times\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i} \delta_{41 i}} \mid N_{1}, \delta_{21 i}, \delta_{31 i}\right) \mid N_{1}, \delta_{21 i}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{\delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i}}\right) \mid N_{1}, \delta_{21 i}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i}} \mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha} z^{\delta_{21 i} \delta_{31 i}}+\alpha z^{2 \delta_{21 i} \delta_{3 i i}}\right) \mid N_{1}, \delta_{21 i}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{\delta_{21 i}}\right)+\alpha\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{2 \delta_{21 i}}\right)\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{{N_{1}}_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha}^{2} z^{\delta_{21 i}}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{2 \delta_{11 i}}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{\delta_{21 i}}+\alpha^{2} z^{3 \delta_{21 i}}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha} z^{\delta_{21 i}}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{2 \delta_{21 i}}+\alpha^{2} z^{3 \delta_{21 i}}\right) \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha}(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z)+\bar{\alpha} \alpha\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2}\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{3}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha} z+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{2}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} z^{3}+\alpha^{3} z^{4}\right)\right) \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left(\left(\bar{\alpha} z+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{2}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} z^{3}+\alpha^{3} z^{4}\right)^{N_{1}}\right) \\
& =G_{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha} z+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{2}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} z^{3}+\alpha^{3} z^{4}\right) \\
& =p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha} z+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{2}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} z^{3}+\alpha^{3} z^{4}\right)\right)} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we deduce the following general form for case $n$. Since $\left\{\delta_{i j k}\right\}_{i, j, k=1,2, \ldots}$ in Definition 4.2 and the p.g.f. of $\left\{\delta_{i j k}\right\}$ which is the sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables and $N_{1}$ follows the zero inflated Poisson with parameters $p$ and $\lambda$, then we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{i} \circ N_{1}}\right)= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} z^{\alpha \circ N_{1}} z^{\alpha^{2} \circ N_{1}} z^{\alpha^{3} \circ N_{1}} \cdots z^{\alpha^{n-1} \circ N_{1}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i}} \cdots z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \cdots \delta_{n 1 i}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z ^ { N _ { 1 } } \mathrm { E } \left(z ^ { \sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { N _ { 1 } } \delta _ { 2 1 i } } \cdots \mathrm { E } \left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \cdots \delta_{(n-1) 1 i}}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \cdots \delta_{(n) 1 i}} \mid N_{1}, \delta_{21 i}, \ldots, \delta_{(n-1) 1 i}\right) \cdots \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z ^ { N _ { 1 } } \mathrm { E } \left(z ^ { \sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { N _ { 1 } } \delta _ { 2 1 i } } \cdots \mathrm { E } \left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} \delta_{21 i} \cdots \delta_{(n-1) 1 i}}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha}+\alpha z^{\delta_{21 i} \cdots \delta_{(n-1) 1 i}}\right) \mid N_{1}, \delta_{21 i}, \ldots, \delta_{(n-2) 1 i}\right) \cdots \mid N_{1}\right)\right) \\
& \vdots \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{N_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{1}}\left(\bar{\alpha}+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z+\bar{\alpha} \alpha^{2} z^{2}+\cdots+\alpha^{n-1} z^{n-1}\right)\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(\left(\bar{\alpha} z+\bar{\alpha} \alpha z^{2}+\bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}^{2} z^{3}+\cdots+\alpha^{n-1} z^{n}\right)^{N_{1}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-1} z^{n}\right)\right) \\
N_{1} \tag{4.10}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus, we obtain the first term and the last term of (4.8), then we will find the rest by applying the development of expression (4.10). We use the fact that the p.g.f. of $\left\{\epsilon_{i}\right\}$ follows Definition 4.2 and $\left\{\delta_{i j k}\right\}$ is the sequence of i.i.d. Bernoulli random
variables defined in Definition 4.2. Let first consider

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha^{i} \circ \epsilon_{2}}\right)= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{2}+\alpha \circ \epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\alpha^{n-2}{ }_{o \epsilon_{2}}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{2}} z^{\alpha \circ \epsilon_{2}} \cdots z^{\alpha^{n-2}{ }_{o \epsilon_{2}}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z^{\epsilon_{2}} z^{\sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon_{2}} \delta_{22 i}} \cdots z^{\sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon_{2}} \delta_{22 i} \delta_{32 i} \cdots \delta_{(n-1) 2 i}}\right) \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left(z ^ { \epsilon _ { 2 } } \mathrm { E } \left(z ^ { \sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { \epsilon _ { 2 } } \delta _ { 2 2 i } } \cdots \mathrm { E } \left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon_{2}} \delta_{22 i} \delta_{32} \cdots \delta_{(n-1) 2 i}}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=1}^{\epsilon_{2}} \delta_{22 i} \delta_{32 i} \cdots \delta_{(n-1) 2 i}} \mid \epsilon_{2}, \delta_{22 i}, \ldots, \delta_{(n-2) 2 i}\right) \cdots \mid \epsilon_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \vdots \\
= & \underbrace{\bar{\alpha}}_{\epsilon_{2}(\bar{\alpha}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \alpha^{2} z^{z^{i+1}}\right)+\alpha^{n-2} z^{n-1}) \\
= & \frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-2} z^{n-1}\right)\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-2} z^{n-1}\right)\right)} .} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, we also obtain terms of $\epsilon_{3}, \epsilon_{4}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1}$ by applying the technique in (4.11), then we obtain the general form for each $\epsilon_{j}$ for $j=2, \ldots, n-1$ in (4.8) as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(z^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-j} \alpha^{i} \circ \epsilon_{j}}\right)=\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} z^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} z^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.9)-(4.11) and (4.12) for $j=2,3, \ldots, n-1$ into (4.8), then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{N(n)}(z)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-1} z^{n}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} z^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}}{\left.p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} z^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} z^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}\right)}\right. \\
& \times\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda(1-z)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha(1-z)}}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the moment generating function of $S_{n}$ is defined as (4.13) by replacing $z=m_{C}(z)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{S_{n}}(z)= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha^{i} m_{C}(z)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-1} m_{C}(z)^{n}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\left.p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} m_{C}(z)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} m_{C}(z)^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right.}\right)}{\left.p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} m_{C}(z)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} m_{C}(z)^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}\right)}\right. \\
& \times\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}}\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.14), we obtain the adjustment coefficient function $c_{n}(z)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n}(z)= & \log \left(\left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda}\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha^{i} m_{C}(z)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-1} m_{C}(z)^{n}\right)\right)\right)\right. \\
& \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} m_{C}(z)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} m_{C}(z)^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}}{\left.p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} m_{C}(z)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} m_{C}(z)^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}}\right)\right)-n \pi z .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption that $\alpha m_{C}(z)<1$, thus we have $\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{i}$ is the geometric sequence. Then we can rearrange the equation as follows.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
c_{n}(z)= & \left.\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)\left(\frac{1-\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-2}}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)+m_{C}(z)\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-1}\right.\right.}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Finally, we thus obtain the adjustment coefficient function is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(z)= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} c_{n}(z)-\pi z \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(\log \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)\left(\frac{1-\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-2}}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)+m_{C}(z)\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-1}\right)\right.}\right)\right) \\
& +\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \log \left(\frac{\left.p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)\left(\frac{1-\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-(j+1)}}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)+m_{C}(z)\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-j}\right)\right.}\right)}{\left.p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)\left(\frac{1-\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-(j+1)}}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)+m_{C}(z)\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n-j}\right)\right)}\right)}\right. \\
& +\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-m_{C}(z)\right)}}\right)-\pi z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha m_{C}(z)<1$, then the limit of $\left(\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{n}$ as $n$ approaches to infinity is a zero value, for the first and third terms of $c(\cdot)$, their limit approach to zero and for the second term, we then apply the Cesaro mean theorem (Peyerimhoff, 1969). Hence, we obtain

$$
c(z)=\log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)}}\right)-\pi z .
$$

The premium per period, $\pi$, follows the net profit condition (NPC) (Thomas, 2009) condition and premium calculation followed the expectation value principle (EVP) (Gray and Pitts, 2012) as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi & =\mathrm{E}(W)(1+\theta) \\
& =\mathrm{E}(N) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta) \\
& =\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

for a security loading $\theta>0, \mathrm{E}(W)$ is the expectation of the aggregate claim size, $\mathrm{E}(N)$ is the expectation of the claim number and $\mathrm{E}(C)$ is the expectation of claim size. Next, we will show that the adjustment coefficient has the unique positive zero root in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Lemma 4.6. From the expression for the adjustment coefficient function of the $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$, the equation $c(z)=0$ has the unique positive solution in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 3.7 to prove the Lemma, then we will show that
(a) $c(0)=0$,
(b) $\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0}<0$,
(c) $\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)>0$, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,
(d) There exists $z^{*} \in D$ such that $\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} c(z)=+\infty$.
(a) Note that

$$
c(z)=\log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\frac{\hat{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha_{C}(z)}\right)}}\right)-\pi z .
$$

We substitute $z=0$ into $c(z)$ defined in Theorem 4.5, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(0) & =\log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{C} m_{C}(0)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(0)}\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(0)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(0)}\right)}}\right)-\pi(0) \\
& =\log \left(\frac{p+(1-p)}{p+(1-p)}\right) \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Before giving the proof of the statements (b), (c) and (d), we define the notations that helps to simplify the notations as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(z) & =(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)} \\
E_{\alpha}(z) & =(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}\right)} \\
E^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{d}{d z} E(z) \\
& =E(z) T(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{d}{d z} E_{\alpha}(z) \\
& =\alpha E_{\alpha}(z) T(z) \\
E^{\prime \prime}(z) & =\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} E(z) \\
& =E(z) T^{\prime}(z)+E^{\prime}(z) T(z) \\
& =E(z) T^{\prime}(z)+E(z) T^{2}(z) \\
E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z) & =\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} E_{\alpha}(z) \\
& =\alpha E_{\alpha}(z) T^{\prime}(z)+\alpha E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z) T(z) \\
& =\alpha E_{\alpha}(z) T^{\prime}(z)+\alpha^{2} E_{\alpha}(z) T^{2}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(z) & =\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}^{\prime}(z) \lambda}{\left(1-\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{2}} \\
T^{\prime}(z) & =\frac{\left(1-\alpha m_{C}(z)\right) \lambda \bar{\alpha} m_{C}^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 \lambda \alpha \bar{\alpha}\left(m_{C}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}{\left(1-\alpha m_{C}(z)\right)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we notice that $E(z)>0, E_{\alpha}(z)>0, E^{\prime}(z)>0, E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)>0, E^{\prime \prime}(z)>$ 0 and $E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)>0$ with $T(z)>0$ and $T^{\prime}(z)>0$.
(b) Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d z} c(z) & =\frac{d}{d z} \log \left(\frac{p+E(z)}{p+E_{\alpha}(z)}\right)-\pi \\
& =\left(\frac{p+E_{\alpha}(z)}{p+E(z)}\right)\left(\frac{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right) E^{\prime}(z)-(p+E(z)) E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)^{2}}\right)-\pi
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have $\pi=\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta)$, then for $\theta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0} & =\left(\frac{p+E_{\alpha}(0)}{p+E(0)}\right)\left(\frac{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(0)\right) E^{\prime}(0)-(p+E(0)) E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(0)}{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(0)\right)^{2}}\right)-\pi \\
& =\left(\frac{p+E_{\alpha}(0)}{p+E(0)}\right)\left(\frac{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(0)\right) E(0) T(0)-(p+E(0)) \alpha E(0) T(0)}{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(0)\right)^{2}}\right)-\pi \\
& =(1-p) \frac{\lambda \bar{\alpha} m_{C}^{\prime}(0)}{\bar{\alpha}^{2}}-(1-p) \frac{\lambda \alpha \bar{\alpha} m_{C}^{\prime}(0)}{\bar{\alpha}^{2}}-(1+\theta) \lambda(1-p) E(C) \\
& =\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)-\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C)(1+\theta) \\
& =-\lambda(1-p) \mathrm{E}(C) \theta \\
& <0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we obtain that $\left.\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right|_{z=0}<0$.

(c) Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)=\frac{d}{d z}\left(\frac{d}{d z} c(z)\right) \\
& =\frac{d}{d z}\left(\left(\frac{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right) E^{\prime}(z)-(p+E(z)) E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))}\right)-\pi\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\left(p E^{\prime \prime}(z)+E_{\alpha}(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)-p E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)-E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{\left(p E^{\prime}(z)+E^{\prime}(z) E_{\alpha}(z)-p E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)-E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right)\left(p E^{\prime}(z)+p E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& -\frac{\left(p E^{\prime}(z)+E^{\prime}(z) E_{\alpha}(z)-p E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)-E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right)\left(E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)+E^{\prime}(z) E_{\alpha}(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{p^{3}\left(E^{\prime \prime}(z)-E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)+p^{2}\left(E_{\alpha}(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)-E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)+(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p^{3}\left(E(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)-E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)+E_{\alpha}(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)+p^{2}\left(-E_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)-E^{\prime 2}(z)+E_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p\left(E(z) E_{\alpha}(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)-E^{2}(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)+E_{\alpha}^{2}(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p\left(-E(z) E_{\alpha}(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)+E(z) E_{\alpha}(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)-E(z) E_{\alpha}(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p\left(-E^{\prime 2}(z) E_{\alpha}(z)-E^{\prime 2}(z) E_{\alpha}(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p\left(E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}(z)+E(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}(z)\right)+\left(E(z) E_{\alpha}^{2}(z) E^{\prime \prime}(z)-E^{2}(z) E_{\alpha}(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\left.\left(-E^{\prime 2}(z) E_{\alpha}^{2}(z)\right)+E^{2}(z) E_{\alpha}^{\prime 2}(z)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{p^{3}\left(E^{\prime \prime}(z)-E_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)+p^{2}\left(2 E(z) E_{\alpha}(z)\left(T^{\prime}(z)+T^{2}(z)-\alpha\left(T^{\prime}(z)+\alpha T^{2}(z)\right)\right)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p\left(T^{\prime}(z)(1-\alpha)\left(E^{2}(z) E_{\alpha}(z)+E(z) E_{\alpha}^{2}(z)\right)+E(z) E_{\alpha}^{2}(z) T^{2}(z)\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{E(z) E_{\alpha}(z)\left(E(z) E_{\alpha}(z) T^{\prime}(z)(1-\alpha)-p \alpha^{2} T^{2}(z)\left(E(z)-E_{\alpha}(z)\right)\right)}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p\left(E(z) E_{\alpha}(z) T^{\prime}(z)\left(E(z)-\alpha E_{\alpha}(z)\right)\right.}{\left(\left(p+E_{\alpha}(z)\right)(p+E(z))\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the assumption $\alpha m_{C}(z)<1$ and $T(z), T^{\prime}(z), E(z)$ and $E_{\alpha}(z)$ which are increasing functions and we know that $E(z)-E_{\alpha}(z)>0$. Then for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we know that $1-\alpha$ and $1-\alpha^{2}$ are greater than 0 . For the third term, we notice
that $E(z)-E_{\alpha}(z)$ is close to zero when $\alpha$ is growing up and on top of that it is weighted by $\alpha^{2}$ and $p$, then the third term is positive. Hence, we can conclude that $\frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} c(z)>0$.
(d) We want to show that the limit of $c(z)$ reaches to $+\infty$ as $z$ approaches to some $z^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Let us first consider

$$
f(z)=\lambda\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}-1\right) \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

Next, we will show that $f(z)$ is the nonnegative function and the increasing function by considering as follows.

$$
\frac{\bar{\alpha} m_{C}(z)}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)}-1=\frac{m_{C}(z)-1}{1-\alpha m_{C}(z)} .
$$

We then follow the assumption that $\alpha m_{C}(z)<1$ and also hold $1-\alpha m_{C}(z)>0$, then we obtain $f(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$as the nonnegative function. Since $m_{C}(z)$ is increasing function in $\mathbb{R}^{+}$and $0<m_{C}(z) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Thus, there exists $z^{*} \in D$ such that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} m_{C}(z)=\frac{1}{\alpha}
$$

Then, we obtain that $1-\alpha m_{C}(z)$ is decreasing and continuous function. We also obtain

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} 1-\alpha m_{C}(z)=0
$$

and $1-\alpha m_{C}(z) \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq z \leq z^{*}$. Therefore,

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} f(z)=\infty
$$

Consequently,

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} \frac{p+(1-p) e^{f(z)}}{p+(1-p) e^{\alpha f(z)}}=\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} e^{(1-\alpha) f(z)}=\infty
$$

then, we obtain

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} \log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{f(z)}}{p+(1-p) e^{\alpha f(z)}}\right)=\infty
$$

Hence, we can conclude that

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z^{*}} \log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{f(z)}}{p+(1-p) e^{\alpha f(z)}}\right)-\pi z=\infty
$$

Example 4.1. We let the claim amounts follow the exponential distribution. That is $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. exponentially distributed with parameter $\beta>0$. The moment generating function of $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is denoted as $m_{C}(z)=\frac{1}{1-z / \beta}$ for $\bar{z}<\beta$. By Theorem (4.5), the adjustment coefficient function is provided as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(z)=\log \left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\frac{\alpha(1-z / \beta)}{1-\alpha(1-z / \beta)}\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\frac{\alpha(1-z / \beta)}{1-\alpha(1-z / \beta)}\right)}}\right)-(1-p) \frac{\lambda}{\beta}(1+\theta) z . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1.2 Approximation to the value at risk and the tail value at risk of ZIPAR(1)

In this section, we conduct the approximation to the value at risk and the tail value at risk at confidence level $\gamma$ for $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ process by the similar techniques as in ZIPMA(1). Therefore, we consider the characteristic function of $S_{n}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{S_{n}}(x)= & G_{N(n)}\left(\phi_{C}(x)\right) \\
= & \left(p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha^{i} \phi_{C}(x)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-1} \phi_{C}(x)^{n}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& \times \prod_{j=2}^{n-1}\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} \phi_{C}(x)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} \phi_{C}(x)^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}}{\left.p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\left(\bar{\alpha}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-(j+1)} \alpha^{i} \phi_{C}(x)^{i+1}\right)+\alpha^{n-j} \phi_{C}(x)^{n-(j-1)}\right)\right)}\right)}\right. \\
& \times\left(\frac{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\right)}}{p+(1-p) e^{-\lambda \alpha\left(1-\phi_{C}(x)\right)}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

### 4.1.3 Numerical experiments of the risk model based on $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$

In this section, we show some examples of numerical calculations of the adjustment coefficient and approximation to the ruin probability of a risk model based on the $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ claim count process. In addition, the two risk measurements of $12^{\text {th }}$ period of time at the confidence levels 0.9 and 0.95 are also provided.

### 4.1.4 Calculation of the adjustment coefficient of the risk model based on ZIPAR(1)

We are setting the components of the risk model as follows; $\left\{N_{i}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is the $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ model, $\left\{C_{i, j}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. exponentially distributed with parameter $\beta$ and we obtain $c(z)$ as in Example 4.1. The parameters setting are $u=2,(\lambda, p)=(1.5,0.2)$ and the security loading $\theta=0.3$. Table 4.1, Figures 4.1-4.2 show the adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of of the ruin probability as $\Psi(u)=\exp \left(-z_{0} u\right)$ in parentheses, for different values of $\alpha \in\{0,0.25,0.5,0.75,0.995\}$.

Table 4.1: The adjustment coefficient $z_{0}$ and the approximation of $\Psi_{R_{n}}(u)$ of $\operatorname{ZIAR}(1)$.

| $\lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 0.995 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 0.1016 | 0.7401 | 0.0479 | 0.0235 | 0.0007 |
|  | (0.8160) | (0.8623) | (0.9085) | (0.9540) | (0.9986) |
| 1 | 0.2032 | 0.1494 | 0.0957 | 0.0469 | 0.0013 |
|  | (0.6660) | (0.7415) | (0.8256) | (0.9103) | (0.9973) |
| 2 | 0.4063 | 0.2989 | 0.1914 | 0.0938 | 0.0025 |
|  | (0.4436) | (0.5500) | (0.6818) | (0.8288) | (0.9948) |
| 4 | 0.8125 | 0.5977 | 0.3828 | 0.1875 | 0.0050 |
|  | (0.1960) | (0.3025) | (0.4649) | (0.6871) | (0.9899) |
| 32 | . 5000 | 4.7813 | 3.0625 | 1.5001 | 0.0401 |
|  | (0.000002) | (0.00007) | (0.0022) | (0.0498) | (0.9229) |

The value of an adjustment coefficient


Figure 4.1: The trend of the adjustment coefficient when $\alpha$ increases and the claim size decreases of $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$.

## The value of the appoximated ruin probability



Figure 4.2: The trend of the ruin probability according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$.

The results are as we would expect that the estimated ruin probability increases with the dependence parameter $\alpha$ is growing up. In other words, $\alpha$ is represented as the probability of the former portfolio will reclaim again in next year. The more value of $\alpha$, the more impact on the current portfolio. Moreover, we are given the situations that claim sizes become smaller, then the approximate to the ruin probability decreases.

### 4.1.5 Calculation of the value at risk and the tail value at risk for the risk models based on ZIPAR(1)

We conduct the numerical calculation for the two risk measurements that are the value at risk and the tail value at risk. The setting parameters are the same as in section 4.1.4 with selecting $\beta=4$. Table 4.2 and Figure 4.3 show $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ and $\operatorname{TVaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{12}\right)$ for the confidence levels $\gamma=0.90$ and 0.95 , respectively.

Table 4.2: The value of the value at risk and the tail value at risk of $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$.

| $\alpha$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{VaR}_{0.90}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 5.5200 | 5.8200 | 6.3000 | 7.1600 | 9.0600 |
| $\operatorname{VaR}_{0.95}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 6.1800 | 6.6200 | 7.2800 | 8.5200 | 11.0600 |
| $\operatorname{TVaR}_{0.90}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 6.4082 | 6.8863 | 7.6366 | 8.9965 | 11.7707 |
| $\operatorname{TVaR}_{0.95}\left(S_{12}\right)$ | 7.0010 | 7.5938 | 8.5287 | 10.2214 | 13.5804 |

The value of a value at risk and tail value at risk


Figure 4.3: The trend of the value at risk and the tail value at risk according to the changes of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$.

From Table 4.2, we can see that the $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ increases as $\alpha$ increases. Similarly, $\operatorname{VaR}_{\gamma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ increases as $\gamma$ increases. The interpretation of the increasing of value $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are likewise in ZIPMA(1) and ZIPMA $(q)$.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 5.1 Conclusions

This research aims to construct the classical risk model based on zero inflated Poisson time series as a claim count process. According to the behavior of customers with deductible amount in contracts tend to not state the claims that less than or equal to deductible amount in order to get discount in premiums in the next year. Consequently, it generated more zero claims in data than expected. By analysing the insurance data issues in an excess zeros that caused overdispersion in the data, this thesis shows how to tackle this problems. To overcome this issues, we proposed the zero inflated Poisson time series such as the first order zero inflated Poisson moving average ZIPMA(1), the $q^{\text {th }}$ order zero inflated Poisson moving average ZIPMA $(q)$ and the first order zero inflated Poisson autoregressive ZIPAR(1) as claim counts model in the classical risk models and generally extended ZIPMA(1) to be more practical model as ZIPMA(q). We found that these new risk models are appropriate for the overdispersion data. Regarding to the variances that are greater than the expectations. We also provided the derivation of the adjustment coefficient functions of ZIPMA(1), ZIPMA $(q)$ and $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$ risk models and prove the existence of their unique positive solutions. We present a method for calculating the value of the ruin probability, the value at risk and the tail value at risk. Finally, we compare the result from ZIPMA(1), ZIPMA(2), ZIPMA(3) and ZIPAR(1). The value of $\alpha_{M A}=\alpha_{A R}=\{0,0.25,0.5,0.75,0.995\}$ and we set up the value of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ from ZIPMA(2) and ZIPMA(3) are that $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\{0,0.25,0.5,0.75,0.995\}$ in order to compare with ZIPMA(1)
and $\operatorname{ZIPAR}(1)$.


Figure 5.1: The ruin probability from ZIPMA versus ZIPAR

Figure 5.1 shows that the value of ruin probability from ZIPMA is growing up with the higher order. The higher order of ZIPMA means that we have the number of new claims from more previous periods and if we have the number of new claims from every previous periods in insurance data, then the whole data is applied, then it will result that in a higher order of ZIPMA, the value of ruin probability will approach to ZIPAR(1).

### 5.2 Future Work

Further research is needed to determine the risk sharing between 2 companies or more than 3 companies. Regarding to the real world, most of insurance business is basically doing activity such risk diversification as reinsurance. Then, if we can find the ruin probability between 2 companies or more than 3 companies such as the insurance company and reinsurance company, then it can be one the options to make a decision for financial planning or business strategies. Thus, one direction of
future study is to consider multivariate zero inflated Poisson time series or another model to solve the data issues.
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